

MS Research

**China's Strategic Response to the Quadrilateral Security
Dialogue (Quad): Assessing Security Dynamics in The Indo-
Pacific Region**



RESEARCHER

Muhammad Essa
226-FSS/MSIR/F22

SUPERVISOR

Dr. Tauqeer Hussain Sargana

**DEPARTMENT OF POLITICS & INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCE
INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY ISLAMABAD PAKISTAN
(2024)**

**China's Strategic Response to the Quadrilateral Security
Dialogue (Quad): Assessing Security Dynamics in The Indo-
Pacific Region**

Muhammad Essa

Reg No. 226-FSS/MSIR/F22

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the **MS Degree** in Discipline)
Social Sciences with specialization in **International Relations** at the Faculty of
Social Sciences International Islamic University Islamabad.

Supervisor

September 2024

Dr. Tauqeer Hussain Sargana

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

Dedication

I dedicate this manuscript to my cherished parents, whose steadfast support and financial backing have been indispensable throughout this endeavor. I also extend my deepest gratitude to my educators, whose insightful guidance has profoundly shaped my professional development and scholarly aspirations.

Title of the thesis:

China's Strategic Response to the Quadrilateral
Security Dialogue (Quad): Assessing Security
Dynamics in The Indo-Pacific Region

Name of the Student:

Mohammad Essa

Registration No:

226-FSS/MSIR/F22

Accepted by the Faculty of Social Sciences and **Department of Political
Science and International Relations, International Islamic University**, Islamabad,
Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master of Science degree in
social sciences with specialization in International Relations.

Viva Voce Committee

Dean

Head of the Department

External Examiner

Supervisor

Member

September 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Abbreviations	i
FORWARDING SHEET	ii
ABSTRACT.....	III
CHAPTER ONE	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT	2
1.2 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY	2
1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	3
1.4 RESEARCH QUESTIONS	3
1.5 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	3
1.6 RESEARCH GAP.....	8
1.7 THEORETICAL/ CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	8
1.7.1 Neorealism	8
1.8 METHODOLOGY	9
1.8.1 Research Design	9
1.8.2 Data Collection.....	9
1.8.3 Data Analysis	10
1.8.4 Instrumentalism:	10
1.8.5 Population:	10
1.8.6 Sampling:	11
1.8.6.1 Purposive sampling:.....	11
1.8.6.2 Convenience Sampling:	11
1.8.7 Operational Definition(s):	11
1.8.8 Ethical Considerations:	12
1.8.9 Limitations:	12
1.9 ORGANIZATION OF STUDY:	12
1.9.1 Chapter 1: Introduction:.....	12
1.9.2 Chapter 2: China's Perception of the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD)	13
1.9.3 Chapter 3: China's Response via exercising Soft and Hard Power means to QUAD	13
1.9.4 Chapter 4: Implications for Indo-Pacific Regions.....	13
1.9.5 Chapter 5: Conclusion.....	13
CHAPTER 2: CHINA'S PERCEPTION OF THE QUADRILATERAL SECURITY DIALOGUE (QUAD)	14
2.1 INTRODUCTION	14
2.1.1 Key Factors Influencing China's Perception of the QUAD.....	16
2.1.1.1 Strategic Competition with the United States and US Perspective.....	17
2.1.1.1.1 US and China Relations	18
2.1.1.2 Japan's Security Policies and Japanese Perspective.....	19
2.1.1.2.1 Japan and China relations	20
2.1.1.3 India's Role, Relations and Indian Perspective	22
2.1.1.3.1 India and China Relations.....	24
2.1.1.4 Australia's Strategic Position and Australian Perspective	27
2.1.1.4.1 Australia China Relation.....	28
2.1.2 Relevance/Application of Neo-Realist perspective	31
2.1.2.1 Security Dilemma:	32

2.1.2.2	Geopolitical Interests and Balance of Power:	33
2.1.2.3	Historical Context Regarding Power Shifts:	33
2.1.2.4	Regional Dominance and Coalition Formation:	33
2.1.3	<i>China's Perception of the QUAD</i>	34
CHAPTER 3:.. CHINA'S RESPONSE VIA EXERCISING SOFT AND HARD POWER MEANS TO QUAD.....		36
3.1	INTRODUCTION:	36
3.2	CHINA'S HARD POWER RESPONSES	38
3.2.1	<i>China's Hard Power Response Through Military</i>	39
3.2.2	<i>Chinese Air Force</i>	42
3.2.3	<i>Chinese Navy</i>	45
3.3	CHINA'S SOFT POWER RESPONSES	46
3.3.1	<i>Soft Power Through Utilizing Cultural and Economic Diplomacy</i>	49
3.3.1.1	Media Production.....	49
3.3.1.2	Cultural diplomacy.....	50
3.3.1.3	Confucius Institutes	50
3.3.1.4	People to People Contact	51
3.3.1.5	Economic Diplomacy.....	52
3.3.1.6	Connectivity and Integration.....	53
3.3.1.7	foreign aid and Assistance	53
3.4	MOTIVATIONS BEHIND CHINA'S RESPONSES.....	54
3.5	RELEVANCE/APPLICATION OF NEO-REALIST PERSPECTIVE.....	56
CHAPTER 4: IMPLICATIONS FOR INDO-PACIFIC REGION		58
4.1	INTRODUCTION:	58
4.2	SECURITY IMPLICATIONS FOR REGIONAL STABILITY	60
4.2.1	<i>Arms race</i>	60
4.2.2	<i>Alliances and Diplomatic Tensions</i>	62
4.2.3	<i>Economic Coercion and Dependence</i>	63
4.2.4	<i>Clash of interests between the Quad and ASEAN</i>	64
4.3	POTENTIAL FOR CONFLICTS IN THE INDO-PACIFIC	67
4.3.1	<i>Cybersecurity threats and information warfare</i>	68
4.3.2	<i>Taiwan Strait concerns and relations</i>	70
4.3.3	<i>South China Sea disputes</i>	71
4.4	RELEVANCE/APPLICATION OF NEO-REALIST PERSPECTIVE.....	74
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION.....		76
5.1	FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS.....	80
REFERENCES.....		83

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

QUAD – Quadrilateral Security Dialogue

PRC – People's Republic of China

ASEAN – Association of Southeast Asian Nations

US – United States

PLA – People's Liberation Army

PLAN – People's Liberation Army Navy

PLAAF – People's Liberation Army Air Force

BRI – Belt and Road Initiative

CPC – Communist Party of China

CCP – Chinese Communist Party

NATO – North Atlantic Treaty Organization

UN – United Nations

IPEF – Indo-Pacific Economic Framework

SCS – South China Sea

FORWARDING SHEET

Mohammad Essa's thesis partially meets the criteria for the Master of Science degree in Social Sciences, with a specialization in International Relations. I have overseen and directed the research process and am satisfied with the quality of the work produced. I endorse its submission for further review in compliance with the regulations of the International Islamic University (IIU).

Date: September, 2024

Signature: _____

Supervisor:

Dr. Tauqeer Hussain Sargana

Signature: _____

ABSTRACT

This thesis examines China's strategic response to the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD) within the context of security dynamics in the Indo-Pacific region. As the QUAD comprising the United States, Japan, India, and Australia emerges as a pivotal multilateral framework aimed at counterbalancing China's rising influence, this study explores the multifaceted nature of China's reactions, characterized by the interplay of soft and hard power strategies. Employing a neorealist lens, the analysis reveals that China perceives the QUAD not merely as a diplomatic alliance but as a direct challenge to its regional hegemony, prompting a recalibration of its foreign policy initiatives. The findings underscore China's reliance on an array of military enhancements and assertive territorial claims, particularly in the South China Sea, alongside an extensive diplomatic outreach and economic initiatives that seek to reinforce its soft power stature. This research contributes to a nuanced understanding of the complex security landscape in the Indo-Pacific, elucidating the implications of China's strategic maneuvers for regional stability and international relations. Ultimately, this study highlights the critical need for a comprehensive examination of the evolving power dynamics, offering insights into the potential trajectories of conflict and cooperation in a rapidly shifting geopolitical environment.

Keywords: QUAD, Indo-Pacific, Diplomatic Military

CHAPTER ONE

Introduction

The Indo-Pacific region, spanning the vast expanses of the Asia-Pacific and the Indian Ocean, has surged to the forefront of global geopolitical attention in recent years. Within this complex and dynamic theater, the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD) has emerged as a notable strategic forum in 2007 but remained dormant for over a decade before resurfacing as a prominent fixture in contemporary international relations (Mastanduno, 2019). This resurgence of the QUAD is not a mere revival; it signifies its renewed significance as a platform for addressing evolving regional challenges and opportunities.

This research posits that the QUAD's resurgence, frequently viewed as a reaction to evolving regional dynamics and China's ascendance, has provoked diverse responses, especially from Beijing. This has created a complex interaction of strategies, policies, and responses, the effects of which extend throughout the Indo-Pacific's convoluted geopolitical environment (Holslag, 2020).

The QUAD serves as a decisive reaction to the identified challenges and possibilities in the Indo-Pacific, highlighting the region's changing geopolitical dynamics and influencing the strategies of its member states and other significant regional actors, particularly China (Holslag, 2020). China's strategic responses in diplomatic, military, and economic spheres are based on its assessment of the QUAD's regional influence and objectives. These answers have significant consequences for the security dynamics in the Indo-Pacific, where power balances are changing, regional rivalries are escalating, and great power politics are prominent (Sahin, 2020).

This thesis seeks to tackle essential enquiries within this framework. What is China's perception of the QUAD, and what measures has it implemented in reaction to the resurgence of this forum? Additionally, how do these strategic responses influence the overarching security dynamics of the Indo-Pacific, especially concerning the regional balance of power and the likelihood of security dilemmas? This paper aims to deliver a comprehensive

evaluation of the Indo-Pacific's changing security dynamics by carefully analysing China's diplomatic, military, and economic tactics in response to the QUAD.

The research employs a theoretical framework based on Realism and Neorealism, which are rooted in the concepts of power politics, national interests, and the structural distribution of power within the international system. These theories provide a framework for analysing the intentions, objectives, and repercussions of China's strategic reaction to the QUAD, directing our examination of the complex and dynamic geopolitics of the Indo-Pacific region.

1.1 Problem Statement

The Indo-Pacific region has become a pivotal stage for geopolitical rivalry, particularly with the rise of the QUAD, which seeks to balance China's growing influence. In response to the QUAD's actions, China employs a multifaceted approach, combining soft and hard power strategies that not only challenge the strategic aims of the QUAD members but also significantly alter the regional security landscape.

Yet, the complexities of China's strategic responses remain underexplored, especially regarding their implications for regional stability and the potential for conflict. This research aims to fill that gap by closely examining China's perceptions and actions in relation to the QUAD, thereby shedding light on the dynamics of power politics in this contested area. A thorough understanding of these dynamics is crucial for both policymakers and scholars as they navigate the intricacies of a multipolar world marked by strategic rivalry and evolving alliances.

1.2 Significance of the Study

The significance of the topic, "China's Strategic Response to the QUAD," is multifaceted and crucial in the realms of international relations and regional security. Firstly, it carries geopolitical implications, potentially reshaping power dynamics in the Indo-Pacific, with far-reaching effects on regional stability and global balance of power. Understanding China's stance and its responses to the QUAD is paramount for anticipating future diplomatic and military strategies, contributing to conflict prevention, and assessing economic impacts.

Additionally, it sheds light on alliance dynamics among key players and informs policy decisions, offering valuable insights to policymakers. Lastly, academically, this research enriches the discourse on international relations and security studies, making it a significant and timely subject of investigation.

1.3 Objectives of the study

1. To understand China's perceptions of the QUAD
2. To analyze China's response strategies to the QUAD in terms of diplomatic, military, and economic measures
3. To assess security implications of China's responses to the QUAD for regional stability, the balance of power, and the potential for conflicts

1.4 Research Questions

1. What are the key factors influencing China's perception of the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD) and its objectives in the Indo-Pacific region?
2. How has China strategically responded to the QUAD through soft and hard power means, what motivates these responses?
3. What are the security implications of China's responses to the QUAD for regional stability, and the potential for conflicts in the Indo-Pacific region?

1.5 LITERATURE REVIEW

The evolving security landscape of Indo-Pacific region, coupled with the rise of the QUAD, has garnered considerable attention in contemporary academic discourse. This literature review seeks to analyze the existing scholarship on the formation of the QUAD, China's strategic response, and the broader implications for regional security. By synthesizing the contributions of prominent scholars in the field, this review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the strategic interactions shaping this critical region.

The Indo-Pacific serves as a crucial venue for international relations in the 21st century, significantly influencing global power dynamics and security frameworks. China, as a swiftly ascending global power, wields significant influence on the geopolitical landscape of this

region. Its reaction to the QUAD, a significant security project including the Australia, United States, Japan, and India, has extensive ramifications. This literature study critically analyses significant research about China's strategic countermeasures and evaluates the wider security ramifications for the Indo-Pacific, taking into account both the regional power dynamics and the developing security architecture.

Sahin's seminal work, *China's Reaction to the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue*, offers a detailed examination of Beijing's calculated and multifaceted response to the QUAD. Sahin's analysis underscores China's perception of the QUAD as a direct strategic challenge to its geopolitical interests in the Indo-Pacific, framing it within the broader context of China's evolving security calculus. This study is pivotal in providing a nuanced understanding of China's defensive posture and proactive counterstrategies, which are essential to comprehending the shifting power dynamics in the region (Sahin, 2020).

Holslag's comprehensive analysis, *The Politics of Hostility in Sino-American Relations*, situates the QUAD within the broader framework of U.S.-China relations, which are deeply intertwined with the Indo-Pacific security architecture. Holslag's exploration of the geopolitical rivalry between these two major powers provides critical insights into how bilateral tensions may shape China's strategic choices and responses to multilateral security frameworks such as the QUAD. This broader context is indispensable for understanding the long-term security implications for the region (Holslag, 2020).

Yuan and Lanteigne's influential study of China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative offers a crucial perspective on China's economic diplomacy and its strategic countermeasures in response to the QUAD's growing influence. Their analysis highlights the importance of China's infrastructure investments and regional connectivity projects, particularly in South Asia, as part of a broader strategy to counterbalance the QUAD's security objectives. This work enriches our understanding of the interplay between economic and strategic interests in shaping China's response to regional security initiatives (Yuan & Lanteigne, 2018).

Cha's foundational work, *Powerplay*, provides an essential historical context to the development of the American alliance system in Asia, a system that underpins the regional security architecture within which the QUAD operates. Cha's examination of the origins and evolution of this alliance framework offers valuable insights into the strategic considerations

that shape the current power dynamics in the Indo-Pacific. This historical perspective is instrumental in analyzing China's reaction to the QUAD within the broader context of regional alliance politics and U.S.-led security arrangements (Cha, 2017).

Mearsheimer's theoretical framework in *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics* offers a robust lens through which to interpret China's behavior, particularly from the perspective of offensive realism. By applying Mearsheimer's theory of great power competition, this review provides a deeper understanding of China's strategic responses to the QUAD, especially in light of its aspirations as a rising global power. Mearsheimer's insights into the dynamics of power competition and strategic positioning are crucial for analyzing China's military, economic, and diplomatic strategies in the region (Mearsheimer, 2001).

Sarkar's article, *China and QUAD 2.0*, provides a critical examination of China's perception of the re-emergence of the QUAD as a strategic framework. Sarkar's exploration of China's motivations for either engaging with or resisting the QUAD highlights the evolving nature of China's strategic posture in the region. His analysis offers key insights into China's broader ambitions for reshaping the Indo-Pacific security order, contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of the region's shifting power dynamics (Sarkar, 2020).

Paik and Park's research focuses on the QUAD's exploration of non-military roles, including regional balancing strategies and infrastructure investment, and China's strategic response to these initiatives. Their work provides a detailed examination of how China interprets these developments and responds to the broader strategic and economic challenges posed by the QUAD. This nuanced analysis is essential for understanding the multifaceted competition that characterizes the Indo-Pacific region, particularly in terms of China's balancing strategies (Paik & Park, 2020).

Buchan and Rimland's comprehensive study on the historical and potential future trajectories of the QUAD delves into the "diamond" format of the dialogue, providing critical insights into China's perceptions of the QUAD's strategic objectives. Their examination of the QUAD's past evolution and potential future developments offers a valuable perspective on how China views the dialogue's long-term intentions and its impact on regional security structures. This analysis is essential for understanding China's concerns about the QUAD's potential to reshape the Indo-Pacific security landscape (Buchan & Rimland, 2020).

D'Ambrogio's analysis of the ideological aspect of the QUAD emphasises the values-driven framework that supports the security discourse. His examination of the QUAD's structure as a coalition of democracies in the Indo-Pacific offers a vital perspective for assessing China's ideological and geopolitical issues. D'Ambrogio's research is crucial for comprehending the potential discord between China's governance and regional hegemony and the democratic principles upheld by the QUAD, hence influencing its strategic actions (D'Ambrogio, 2021).

Hagstrom and Nordin's examination of China's Politics of Harmony provides an extensive critique of China's soft power tactics in influencing global opinions. Their analysis elucidates China's diplomatic and cultural instruments for sustaining influence in the Indo-Pacific, essential for comprehending its reaction to security measures such as the QUAD. This study offers a significant insight into China's endeavours to exert influence via non-military avenues, enhancing the overall comprehension of its strategic stance in the region (Hagstrom & Nordin, 2020).

Zhang's examination of Xi Jinping's interaction with ancient Chinese philosophy provides a distinctive philosophical framework for understanding China's foreign policy strategies. Zhang's work critically analyses the impact of classical Chinese philosophies on contemporary diplomatic and strategic choices, offering essential insights into the intellectual underpinnings of China's conduct in international affairs. This viewpoint is especially beneficial for comprehending China's reaction to Western-centric initiatives such as the QUAD and its overarching strategy for regional governance (Zhang, 2015).

Zeng's research on the Soft Power of the Chinese Dream elucidates China's ambitions for regional dominance and its strategic employment of soft power. Zeng's analysis of China's "Chinese Dream" initiative offers critical insights into the nation's regional aspirations and its reaction to the QUAD alliance. Comprehending China's soft power strategy is essential for understanding its long-term objectives in the Indo-Pacific and its stance on international security frameworks (Zeng, 2014).

China and the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD) have attracted significant scrutiny in contemporary research, indicative of the rising geopolitical tensions in the Indo-

Pacific area. Researchers have increasingly examined the ramifications of China's ascendance for regional security, highlighting the interaction of soft and physical force measures as China endeavours to assert its influence while addressing perceived threats from the QUAD.

Neorealism offers a fundamental paradigm for comprehending these dynamics, asserting that the anarchic character of the international system necessitates that states prioritise their security and power (Waltz, 1979). This viewpoint is reflected in modern analysis that emphasise China's concerns regarding the QUAD as a coordinated attempt to constrain its regional aspirations (Allison, 2017; Green & Medeiros, 2020). Beijing regards the QUAD, consisting of the United States, Japan, India, and Australia, as a strategic alliance intended to compromise its sovereignty, especially concerning initiatives such as the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), which China considers vital to its economic and geopolitical aims (Smith, 2020).

The literature highlights the dual nature of China's reaction, which includes soft power activities like cultural diplomacy and commercial collaborations, as well as hard power strategies such as military modernisation and forceful territorial claims (Nye, 2004; Patalano, 2021). Recent research indicates that whereas soft power improves China's regional image and promotes interdependencies, hard power activities, especially in disputed regions such as the South China Sea, escalate tensions and elevate the risk of violence (Cho & Jeong, 2008; Nye, 2012).

The interplay between China's strategies and the QUAD's coordinated activities has necessitated a reassessment of regional security frameworks. Academics contend that the QUAD's emphasis on a "free and open Indo-Pacific" contests China's narrative and could instigate a security conundrum that intensifies regional instability (Reilly, 2012; Smith, 2020). As regional actors traverse this complex environment, the necessity for efficient crisis management and conflict resolution strategies becomes progressively vital, especially in light of the dangers of miscalculation and escalation (Patalano, 2021).

The current research demonstrates the intricate and developing relationship between China and the QUAD, situated within the wider context of power politics and regional security dynamics. The interaction of soft and hard power measures utilised by China demonstrates its dual aims of preserving regional dominance while mitigating perceived encirclement by the

QUAD. As these processes progress, additional research is crucial to comprehend the ramifications for the geopolitical landscape of the Indo-Pacific.

1.6 Research Gap

This study highlights the research gap central to the thesis, as the QUAD underscores a significant challenge to China's dominance militarily, politically, and economically. The prospective influence of China over the QUAD is essential for evaluating security dynamics and repercussions in the Indo-Pacific region. The thesis seeks to address this gap by conducting a comprehensive analysis of China's strategic behavior towards the QUAD, thereby enhancing the understanding of the region's changing geopolitical scenario.

1.7 Theoretical/ Conceptual Framework

The theoretical framework of this research draws upon the concepts of Realism and Neorealism, providing a lens through which to analyze China's strategic responses to the QUAD and their security implications in the Indo-Pacific region. Furthermore, Theory of Realism applies toward hashing a great level of understanding China's strategic responses from a perspective that emphasizes power politics, national interests, and the pursuit of security and dominance. While the focus of study will be highly revolving around Neorealism which can be applied to assess the security implications by examining how the structural distribution of power in the Indo-Pacific influences China's behavior and the actions of QUAD members.

1.7.1 Neorealism

Neorealism, a derivative of Realism, emphasises the structural allocation of power within the international system. It asserts that the actions of nations are shaped by the comparative power of neighbouring states, resulting in security challenges and policies aimed at power acquisition (Waltz, 1979). In this research, Neorealism is relevant for evaluating the security ramifications of China's reaction to the QUAD. Analysing the structural distribution of power in the Indo-Pacific enhances our comprehension of how China's interpretation of the QUAD as a collective security framework affects its conduct.

If China perceives the QUAD as a threat to its regional supremacy, Neorealism can clarify its reactions to alterations in the balance of power. The utilisation of Neorealism as the theoretical framework for this research facilitates an in-depth examination of China's strategic reactions to the QUAD. By concentrating on power politics, national interests, and the structural distribution of power, we seek to offer a comprehensive and equitable understanding of the security dynamics in the Indo-Pacific region and China's influence in shaping them.

1.8 METHODOLOGY

This study utilises a comprehensive mixed-methods technique to critically examine China's strategic reaction to the QUAD and the security dynamics of the Indo-Pacific region. It amalgamates qualitative and quantitative approaches, merging comprehensive content analysis of policy papers, academic articles, and official communications with statistical examination of economic, military, and diplomatic data. The qualitative aspect is enhanced by theme coding, which identifies patterns from key informant interviews and case studies. This multi-faceted methodology guarantees methodological precision, augmenting the trustworthiness, validity, and thoroughness of the study's results.

1.8.1 Research Design

The research design is primarily a qualitative case study design. It aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of China's response to the QUAD by examining diplomatic, military, and economic aspects. This approach aligns with the need for an in-depth exploration of the topic.

1.8.2 Data Collection

- i. Document Analysis: Extensive analysis of official government documents, policy statements, and reports from Chinese government sources, QUAD member countries, and international organizations will be conducted. These documents will provide insights into China's official stance and actions regarding the QUAD.
- ii. Interviews: Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with experts in international relations, Chinese foreign policy, and regional security. These interviews will provide qualitative data on expert opinions and insights.

- iii. Surveys: Online surveys will be administered to gather quantitative data on public opinion and perceptions regarding China's response to the QUAD. The survey will be designed to collect data from a diverse sample of respondents within the Indo-Pacific region.

1.8.3 Data Analysis

Qualitative data derived from document analysis and interviews will undergo thematic analysis, a method that systematically identifies, analyzes, and interprets patterns and themes within the data. This approach, as outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006), facilitates a nuanced understanding of China's strategic response by uncovering recurrent themes, underlying narratives, and key motifs. Through this analytical framework, the research aims to distill complex qualitative data into coherent insights, providing a deeper, more structured comprehension of China's multifaceted reactions to the QUAD and the broader geopolitical shifts in the Indo-Pacific.

Quantitative survey data will be analyzed using statistical software. Descriptive statistics and inferential statistics will be applied to identify trends and relationships in the data.

In this section, the research design will be outlined, describing the overall approach and structure of the study. It will elucidate whether the research is qualitative, quantitative, mixed-methods, case study, or another appropriate design, with a justification for the chosen approach.

1.8.4 Instrumentalism:

To using instrumentalism method, Scholars and students from Pakistan and China will be interviewed for enhancing integrity and validity of the research work related to QUAD and China's responses.

1.8.5 Population:

The population under consideration consists of diverse stakeholders involved in India's soft power and economic diplomacy initiatives. This includes policymakers, diplomats, cultural institutions, trade promotion organizations, academics, and individuals engaged in

India's international relations. The population spans a broad spectrum to encompass various perspectives and experiences relevant to the research focus.

1.8.6 Sampling:

The sampling methodology will be detailed, including the techniques and criteria used to select a representative subset of the population for the study.

1.8.6.1 Purposive sampling:

Purposive sampling is a deliberate and non-random sampling technique where specific individuals are chosen based on their expertise, relevance, or unique insights into the research topic. It is used to gather in-depth information from experts or individuals with specialized knowledge. In the context of the study, it might involve selecting high-ranking diplomats and renowned scholars in international relations to gain expert perspectives on India's diplomatic strategies.

1.8.6.2 Convenience Sampling:

Convenience sampling entails selecting participants based on their immediate availability and ease of access to the researcher, making it a pragmatic and expedient approach to data collection. However, this method inherently risks introducing significant sampling bias, as the sample may not adequately reflect the broader population. Such bias undermines the external validity and generalizability of the findings, raising concerns about the representativeness and robustness of the conclusions. Despite its practicality, reliance on convenience sampling can compromise the methodological rigor of the research, potentially skewing results and limiting their applicability to wider contexts.

1.8.7 Operational Definition(s):

This section will outline the operational definitions of key concepts, variables, or constructs within the research. It will clarify how these concepts are measured and how they will be interpreted in the context of the study.

1.8.8 Ethical Considerations:

Adherence to ethical guidelines will be paramount throughout the research process. Informed consent will be diligently obtained from all interview participants, with stringent measures in place to maintain the confidentiality of their identities. Moreover, the survey design will incorporate robust safeguards to ensure the protection of both the privacy and anonymity of all respondents, thereby upholding the highest standards of ethical integrity in data collection and analysis.

1.8.9 Limitations:

It is crucial to recognize the potential limitations inherent in this research. One significant limitation may arise from the restricted availability and accessibility of official Chinese government documents, which could hinder comprehensive analysis. Additionally, the representativeness of the survey sample might be a challenge, as it may not fully capture the diversity of opinions present across the Indo-Pacific region, where political and cultural contexts vary considerably.

To mitigate these limitations, this study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining both qualitative and quantitative data to achieve a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of China's strategic response to the QUAD and its implications for security dynamics in the Indo-Pacific. The integration of these methods ensures a more holistic perspective on the issue, enhancing the reliability and validity of the findings by triangulating data from multiple sources.

1.9 ORGANIZATION OF STUDY:

This study is divided into five (5) chapters which are following as:

1.9.1 Chapter 1: Introduction:

The chapter focusses on the essential components cultivated in the study's proposal. Ingredients referenced in the study's background concerning the problem statement, significance of the study, research questions, objectives, delimitations, literature review, theoretical framework, and methodology in a thorough manner.

1.9.2 Chapter 2: China's Perception of the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD)

Chapter two with its board focus to above-stated perceptions of the QUAD with respect to the security of state.

1.9.3 Chapter 3: China's Response via exercising Soft and Hard Power means to QUAD

Chapter of the study delves into responding the military and economic response that will create challenges pertinent to China's growth.

1.9.4 Chapter 4: Implications for Indo-Pacific Regions

Chapter three will broadly discuss the implications for the Indo-Pacific region. Moreover, the case studies will be primarily discussed pertinent to Indo-Pacific states.

1.9.5 Chapter 5: Conclusion

Chapter 2:

China's Perception of the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD)

2.1 Introduction

The modern iteration of this coalition, termed Quad 2.0, was established in November 2017, primarily aimed at countering China's increasing assertiveness, which has significantly shifted the strategic balance in the Indo-Pacific region (Borah, 2021). In response, Beijing sought to enhance its geopolitical influence across the Indo-Pacific with the help of Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), particularly focussing on its Maritime Silk Road project (Green, 2022).

Since taking office, President Xi Jinping of China has executed an assertive foreign policy both regionally and globally. This has been facilitated by the nation's remarkable economic expansion and the dominant nationalist sentiment among the Chinese populace. The increase has been deemed radical by other significant nations in the region, prompting apprehensions regarding its possible implications for their own security. In the framework of international politics, governments often engage in counterbalancing against a state perceived as a possible threat to their security. Consequently, the Quad nations have become increasingly motivated and collaborative in tackling these occurrences together.

The ascendancy of a potent China has engendered manifold challenges for the Quad alliance to address. The burgeoning Chinese hegemony via the BRI has emerged as a paramount concern among the Quad nations. Several scholars contend that the BRI, particularly through its maritime endeavors, harbors the potential to subvert the extant power equilibrium in the Indo-Pacific sphere (Thanasis & Polemis, 2019).

During this epoch, heightened strategic maneuvers among the Quad states precipitated two seminal developments. Chiefly, Australia's integration into the Malabar exercise, a pivotal military undertaking, infused a martial dimension into the Quad, reflecting a conspicuous divergence from India's erstwhile hedging strategy (Salil, 2020).

In March 2021, President Joe Biden organized a significant summit with the leaders of Quad states. The alliance of the Australia, United States, India, and Japan, known as QUAD,

constitutes a significant strategic partnership in international geopolitics that has garnered considerable attention. This analysis, interpreted through a neo-realist perspective, will scrutinise the principal factors influencing China's strategy and objectives concerning the QUAD. This chapter aims to elucidate China's perception of the QUAD as a potential danger to its regional aspirations by examining its historical context, geopolitical ambitions, and security dynamics. The discourse will concentrate on the strategic choices and responses that influence China's Indo-Pacific foreign policy.

2.2: QUAD Strategic Objectives

The principal the aspect that affected the decision to reinstate the quadrilateral in the four capitals is the structural context, marked by the diminishing importance of the United States and the ascendance of China as a formidable major power. Randall Schweller posits that the notion of a 'third-image' shift may elucidate the unforeseen election of Donald Trump as President of the United States in 2016. Randall L. (2017)

The election of Trump has heightened the US demand for enhanced burden sharing, sometimes articulated in transactional terms, regarding the maintenance of regional security arrangements in Europe and the Indo-Pacific. Refer to Hal Brands, 2018. During the tenure of the 45th president of the United States, a possible transition towards 'transactional multilateralism' in the global order is evident. This indicates that America's participation in multilateral agreements may be motivated less by liberal internationalism and more by a desire to foster collaboration among other nations in support of U.S. interests. If the quad succeeds, it will represent the first significant endeavour of its type.

Alliance arrangements, like as the Quad, possess politico-military relevance solely when they emerge inside a particular geopolitical setting where the prevailing balance of power is threatened. The increasing assertiveness of China has established a foundation for the Quad. The fundamental concept and primary aim of the Quad are inherently linked to safeguarding the crucial maritime routes of the Indo-Pacific, ensuring their protection against external military or political domination. The Quad is fundamentally a strategic coalition aimed specifically against diminishing Chinese influence in the Indo-Pacific region.

Central to the Quad's goal is the reinforcement of a rules-based global order, founded on the principles of unrestricted navigation and the maintenance of an open and liberal

economic environment. Additionally, the alliance aims to create alternate debt financing methods, serving as a counterbalance to any hegemonic entrapment by external forces for the regional governments. Additionally, the leaders of the Quad periodically assemble to discuss various current global issues, including critical technologies, climate change, disaster management, connectivity infrastructure, cybersecurity, maritime governance, educational collaboration, humanitarian aid, and pandemic-related challenges.

2.1.1 Key Factors Influencing China's Perception of the QUAD

China's perception of the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD) is shaped by a confluence of historical, geopolitical, and strategic factors. Historically, China has been highly sensitive to coalitions that it perceives as encircling or containing its rise, an attitude rooted in its experiences of foreign interventions and territorial fragmentation during the "Century of Humiliation."

The QUAD, consisting of the Australia, United States, India, and Japan, evokes memories of past alliances formed to constrain China's regional influence, thus reinforcing Beijing's view that the QUAD represents a modern iteration of containment strategies. This historical context heavily influences China's strategic calculations, as it seeks to avoid scenarios that could replicate past threats to its sovereignty and territorial integrity.

Geopolitically, the QUAD's alignment with the Indo-Pacific strategy, which promotes a "free and open Indo-Pacific," poses a direct challenge to China's ambitions for regional dominance. China's perception of the QUAD as a counterweight to its influence stems from its fear that the coalition could disrupt its access to key sea lanes and undermine its efforts to establish a China-centered order in the region. This perception is further fueled by the strategic positioning of QUAD members, all of which are either geographically close to China or have vested interests in regional stability, making the coalition's actions particularly concerning to Beijing.

Another significant factor shaping China's view of the QUAD is the military and security cooperation among its members. The regular joint military exercises, intelligence-sharing agreements, and naval patrols conducted by the QUAD are perceived as direct threats

to China's security environment. Beijing sees these activities as part of a broader effort to militarily encircle it, particularly in contested areas like the South China Sea and Taiwan Strait.

Furthermore, the involvement of the United States a long-standing rival amplifies China's concerns, as it sees Washington as orchestrating the QUAD to curb its rise as a global power. This perception has led China to respond with increased militarization and assertiveness in the region, further escalating tensions and shaping its strategic posture towards the QUAD.

2.1.1.1 Strategic Competition with the United States and US Perspective

In recent times, the U.S has consistently championed the Indo-Pacific concept, a geopolitical framework that has persisted as a cornerstone of American foreign policy, despite earlier speculations of declining influence during the Trump administration. Under President Trump, significant strides were made in formalizing an Indo-Pacific strategy, with the release of several key policy documents: the 2017 National Security Strategy, the Indo-Pacific Strategy Report of June 2019, and the Free and Open Indo-Pacific Framework issued in November 2019. These documents underscored the U.S. commitment to maintaining a balance of power in the region and reaffirmed its strategic interests in countering China's growing influence.

This strategic focus has been notably maintained by the Biden administration, despite the subsequent presidential transition. This continuity is clearly demonstrated by President Biden's initial international involvement, which took the form of a virtual summit with the Quad leaders, so reinforcing the lasting importance of the Indo-Pacific policy framework (Paskal, 2021).

Since World War II, the United States has adhered to a strategic policy aimed at preventing the rise of a dominant power or alliance in Asia, an objective rooted in Halford Mackinder's "world island" theory. To preserve its influence over the Eurasian landmass, the U.S. has systematically built a network of alliances, reinforcing its position as a central actor in regional security. This network, particularly with key allies like Japan and South Korea, ties U.S. credibility to its capacity to provide military and strategic support, thereby maintaining a balance of power that counters potential hegemons such as China (Medcalf, 2020).

The rapid ascent of China, along with its unique political system and economic model, presents a significant challenge to the United States and its strategic interests. This rise jeopardises the United States' efforts to maintain a global system primarily supported by American hegemony and rooted in liberal democratic principles (Medcalf, 2020).

The unfavourable perception of China is intensified by contentious issues such as economic rivalry and trade disputes, the Taiwan conflict, the situation in Hong Kong, and the Uighur matter. These circumstances have led the United States to classify China as a strategic foe. U.S Indo-Pacific strategy is propelled by a bipartisan agreement to partner with aligned nations and counter China's repressive agenda, regarded as a menace.

The United States' concept of 'Free and Open Indo-Pacific' is defined by the lack of coercion and the capacity to uphold fundamental rights, effective governance, and transparency. This contrasts with the Chinese political model. The notion of 'openness', particularly concerning China, pertains to a rules-based framework that does not endorse the existence of predominant national enterprises. (Glosserman, 2018).

2.1.1.1.1 US and China Relations

In U.S perspective, China's growing influence can be analyzed via the lens of neorealism. The necessity to limit China's expansionist behaviours in the Indo-Pacific requires the strategic involvement of the Quad. The United States, as the foremost military force in this coalition, is assumed to function on the principles of defensive realism. The Indo-Pacific area has gained significance in the strategic competition between China and other global entities, highlighting the growing importance of the Quad alliance. The cited official publications offer essential insights into the strategic objectives advocated by the Quad states.

The primary aim of the Biden Administration, as articulated in its strategic communications, is to strengthen and maintain the wellbeing of American citizens, as emphasised in The White House (2021c). The Administration's foreign policy objectives encompass a wide range of aims, including the reduction of climate change effects, the elimination of terrorism, the alleviation of cyber threats, the opposition to increasing authoritarianism, and the worldwide promotion of democratic values.

This document demonstrates a sophisticated comprehension of the changing global security framework, in which the transformation of power dynamics is thoroughly analysed. In this environment, China's significant assertiveness is acknowledged as a substantial issue, with Beijing's ability to utilise its political, economic, and technological influence presenting a direct danger to the established international order (The White House, 2021c).

During the early phases of the Biden Administration, maritime tensions in the South China Sea significantly intensified, highlighted by the simultaneous deployment of American and Chinese aircraft carriers to the area by April 2021. This deployment indicated a significant escalation of the geopolitical crisis. The United States conducted cooperative naval drills with the Philippine Navy, demonstrating its commitment to regional stability and the protection of Philippine sovereignty. This geopolitical manoeuvre tacitly bolstered the overarching interests of ASEAN member states, whose marine boundaries are ensnared in China's extensive and contested claims (London, 2021).

Washington is growing increasingly apprehensive regarding the critical situation in Taiwan. Beijing's aspiration to reunify Taiwan with the mainland is becoming further evident. Recently, naval exercises have been undertaken near the island, accompanied by occurrences of aircraft transiting over it. The airspace of Taiwan suggests China's potential use of coercive measures to attain reunification. The United States reaffirmed its commitment to safeguarding the democratic island (The White House, 2021).

The prior study indicates a significant escalation of geopolitical tensions between the United States and China. The U.S. initiative to bolster the Quad corresponds with the tenets of defensive realism, indicating a strategic objective to fortify its regional allies in reaction to China's assertive tactics. Washington's endeavours to solidify its worldwide influence and resist China's aspirations highlight the essential function of the Quad in its overarching plan to sustain and assert power internationally.

2.1.1.2 Japan's Security Policies and Japanese Perspective

The Japanese perspective on the Indo-Pacific region has been profoundly shaped by the foundational contributions of Prime Minister Shinzo Abe, particularly his articulation of the concept in the early 21st century. In his pivotal address to the Indian Parliament in 2007, Abe

underscored the strategic significance of the "confluence of two seas," thereby establishing the intellectual and strategic framework for Japan's vision of the Indo-Pacific. This conceptualization is deeply rooted in Japan's historical context, characterized by its geographic isolation, limited natural resources, and a post-World War II reliance on a rules-based international order for economic revitalization.

Furthermore, Japan's self-imposed constraints on military engagement have significantly influenced its strategic posture, positioning the maintenance of a stable, rules-based regional order as a cornerstone of its national interests. In this regard, Japan faces pressing security challenges in East Asia, particularly from North Korea, Russia, and China, which intensify its focus on the Indo-Pacific. These dynamics compel Japan to advocate for enhanced regional cooperation and security frameworks that not only address immediate threats but also reinforce its strategic vision within the broader geopolitical landscape.

Economically, the unregulated and accessible oceans are vital for Japan's existence, due to its significant dependence on imported energy supplies like oil, gas, and coal. This dependence compels Japan to thwart China's dominance in the South China Sea and generates strong incentives for Japan to safeguard Taiwan and secure the adjacent waters from any possible Chinese aggression.

Historically, Japan has relied on U.S for security, as demonstrated by the deployment of the 7th Fleet in Yokosuka and the extended deterrence framework that supports their alliance. This alliance can be accurately characterised as a "shield and spear" configuration.

In recent years, Japan has diverged from its traditional stance because to the unforeseen conduct of its allies by the Trump administration. Consequently, Japan is currently endeavouring to forge a network of alliances to alleviate threats. This policy is commonly perceived as influencing Japan's perspective on the Indo-Pacific area. Japan, confronted with the alliance dilemma during the Trump presidency, had to address the apprehensions of potential abandonment or entrapment. This resulted in the formation of enhanced relations between India and ASEAN (Sahu, 2020).

2.1.1.2.1 Japan and China relations

Japan, a longstanding ally of the United States in Eastern Asia, has been a reliable advocate for the Security Dialogue on the Quadrilateral Front. Because of its close geographical closeness to China, Japan has been directly witnessing the more assertive foreign policy of its neighbor. The following information is designed to illustrate that Japan aims to improve the Quad since it has, there are substantial doubts regarding China's geopolitical intentions in the East China Sea.

In February 2021, Motegi Toshimitsu, Japan's Minister for Foreign Affairs, engaged a telephone chat with Antony Blinken, the Secretary of State of the United States. The discourse between the two officials culminated in a mutual accord to collaborate on advancing the initiative of a 'Free and Open Indo-Pacific.'

This strategic dialogue also includes the fortification of bilateral relations with Australia and India, two key stakeholders in the area. Japan articulated substantial concerns regarding China's assertive maneuvers in the East China Sea and the recent enactment of its Coast Guard Law, which have engendered considerable apprehension within the international community (Japan's Foreign Affairs, 2021).

The momentousness of the Japan-U.S alliance was profoundly accentuated by the historic visit of Japanese Prime Minister Suga Yoshihide. The formal communiqué, issued on April 16, 2021, in the wake of this unprecedented diplomatic exchange, meticulously underscored the enduring significance of the bilateral partnership between the United States and Japan. The communiqué reaffirmed the United States' steadfast commitment to Japan's security within the framework of the Japan-U. S Treaty of Mutual Cooperation and Security, as expounded in the document titled "Cooperation and Security," published by The White House in 2021 (The White House, 2021g).

This document explicitly articulated that the Senkaku Islands fall within the treaty's legal purview, thereby underscoring the treaty's relevance in light of China's escalated encroachments and its untenable claims over these territories.

Additionally, they accused China for destabilizing the established system of international governance and employing economic pressure as a means of influence. attain its objectives in the area. Japan has expressed its worries on various aspects of Chinese affairs.

Instances of aggression include territorial disputes in the South China Sea, tensions in the Taiwan Strait, political unrest in Hong Kong, and human rights issues in Xinjiang. It became obvious that both President Biden and PM Suga shared the aim to strengthen the Quad. (The White House, 2021g).

The implementation of China's new Coast Guard Law in February 2021 has generated significant concern and increased anxiety among countries adjacent to Beijing's maritime territory. The legal provision allowing the Coast Guard to employ force raises apprehensions that China may aggressively confront foreign vessels trespassing its asserted territorial waters. The uncertainty regarding China's territorial claims, especially in the East China Sea, intensifies tensions concerning the Senkaku Islands one of the disputed areas in the region (Kawashima, 2021).

As an avid champion of the Quad from its inception, Japan's response to these recent developments is likely to further cement its commitment to reinforcing its military partnerships. This includes bolstering its commitment to the collective security and protection of the other Quad members, in the face of growing regional tensions.

2.1.1.3 India's Role, Relations and Indian Perspective

The Indian viewpoint on the Indo-Pacific area can be elucidated by examining its domestic catalysts for economic progress and security. The aforementioned causes, coupled with external challenges, have prompted a shift in India's conventional foreign policy from strategic autonomy to multi-alignment.

India's strategic location in the Indian Ocean necessitates viewing the adjacent region as an extension of its sphere of influence, significantly impacting its economic vitality and national security. The Indian Ocean Region (IOR) is vital, comprising 90% of India's trade and energy imports. India's dependence on maritime routes for 70% of its trade and over 80% of its oil imports renders the strategic chokepoints and maritime corridors in this region critically important (Shinghal, 2019).

India's understanding of the Indo-Pacific has significantly evolved, highlighting both its marine trade interests and its necessity for peace and stability. India's security calculus is significantly shaped by its geographical and strategic location, positioned precariously between two nuclear-armed neighbours with a history of conflict.

Historically, Pakistan has been regarded as the primary threat to India's national security; however, recent geopolitical changes have significantly transformed this viewpoint. The intensification of hostilities, notably the border standoffs that began in 2017 and resulted in extraordinary casualties on both sides in 2020, has transformed China into a significant long-term strategic opponent. Although historical emphasis has primarily been on the Himalayan frontier, there is a growing recognition of the marine realm as a vital area of strategic importance for India. This transition highlights the increasing significance of maritime security in the context of India's geopolitical concerns.

India's Indo-Pacific strategy is both proactive and reactive, focussing on enhancing regional stability and cultivating cooperative connections with like-minded countries. This strategy establishes India as a crucial actor in building a rules-based order in the Indo-Pacific, thereby enhancing its status as a net security provider while tackling common issues such as piracy, illicit fishing, and the effects of climate change on maritime ecosystems.

The major structural concern affecting India's strategic outlook is China's emergence as a significant naval force, which increasingly threatens India's maritime interests in the Indian Ocean. China's claim that the Indian Ocean is outside India's authority is emphasised by its dedicated efforts to enhance marine infrastructure and safeguard essential maritime routes in the Indo-Pacific region (Panda, 2018).

India perceives concerns from China as inherently connected to Beijing's ambitions for regional dominance and its desire to challenge U.S. influence worldwide. This desire is evident in China's efforts to impede India's advancement, particularly through its obstruction of India's membership in the Nuclear Suppliers Group (NSG) and its strategic partnership with nuclear-capable Pakistan, intended to diminish India's dominance in South Asia (Medcalf, 2020).

The ramifications of this dynamic are significant, as India contends with a transforming geopolitical environment marked by a more assertive Chinese presence. The Indian Ocean has

become a pivotal arena for this competition, since China's naval growth directly confronts India's historical supremacy. The progression of this maritime rivalry requires a reassessment of India's security strategies, especially considering China's expanding naval prowess and its strategic endeavours like the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), which advance its geopolitical objectives in the region.

Furthermore, the historical backdrop of Indo-Pakistani hostilities has conventionally characterised Pakistan as India's primary security danger; but recent developments have shifted this viewpoint, establishing China as a long-term strategic opponent. The intensification of border conflicts, especially those initiated in 2017 and culminating in a pivotal moment in 2020 with considerable casualties on both sides, has solidified this perspective.

India has traditionally focused on land concerns along the Himalayan border, but there is a growing acknowledgement of the strategic importance of the maritime realm. This transition highlights the imperative for India to augment its maritime security framework and formulate a holistic policy that tackles both conventional and emergent threats within this intricate geopolitical context.

In conclusion, India's strategy regarding China's naval supremacy must involve a comprehensive approach that enhances its naval capabilities and cultivates cooperative alliances with regional partners to guarantee stability and security in the Indian Ocean. This approach is crucial for India to protect its national interests while managing the complexities of the changing power dynamics in the Indo-Pacific region.

2.1.1.3.1 India and China Relations

India, the world's most populous democracy, confronts numerous geopolitical problems in its relations with China. The two primary powers have engaged in a succession of military conflicts along their disputed Himalayan border, intensifying pre-existing tensions. The geopolitical situation is further complicated by China's ambitious 'Maritime Silk Road' plan, which progressively intrudes upon the Indian Ocean, an area historically considered a strategic domain within India's sphere of influence. Simultaneously, India and China occupy crucial positions within international frameworks like SCO and the BRICS consortium.

India's association with the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad) is notable, however it is overshadowed by Japan's more emphatic dedication, especially in terms of naval military collaboration. The joint exercises executed by the United States and the Malabar exercise highlight the persistent significance of these security alliances. This analysis seeks to demonstrate that India possesses geopolitical concerns similar to those of other Quad countries regarding China's strong stance.

In February 2021, a telephone conversation between Biden and Narendra Modi expressed a shared commitment to strengthen cooperative initiatives. This dialogue highlighted the essential concept of a 'Free and Open Indo-Pacific,' stressing the ideals of liberty and the safeguarding of territorial integrity. Furthermore, it underscored the heightened significance of the Quad, indicating a collective dedication to regional security and stability (The White House, 2021b).

India's strategic considerations are further complicated by China's expanding naval capabilities, which provide a growing threat to India's maritime interests in the region. The Chinese narrative contends that the Indian Ocean is not under India's control, a position reinforced by their investments in maritime infrastructure and commercial routes throughout the Indo-Pacific (Panda, 2018).

From an Indian viewpoint, this sense of threat is fundamentally associated with China's ambitions for dominance in Asia and its overarching challenge to U.S. global supremacy. China's desire is evidenced by its attempts to hinder India's membership in the Nuclear Suppliers Group (NSG) and its strategic partnership with Pakistan, which aims to reduce India's dominance in South Asia (Medcalf, 2020).

The ramifications of these dynamics are significant; India must manoeuvre through a progressively competitive landscape where its traditional emphasis on terrestrial concerns is now augmented by an imperative to safeguard its marine interests. The developing Sino-Indian rivalry requires a thorough reevaluation of India's security strategy, especially considering China's aggressive maritime development and its strategic efforts to gain influence in vital maritime chokepoints.

In conclusion, as India faces the complex difficulties presented by China's emergence as a naval power, it must implement a proactive strategy that enhances its naval capabilities and cultivates stronger alliances with regional partners. This strategy is essential for protecting India's national interests and fostering a stable, Indo-Pacific region. The cited evidence clarifies India's alignment with the Quad, albeit it lacks the specific emphasis on China found in the strategic positions of the United States or Japan. It is essential to acknowledge the underlying geopolitical tension that defines the India-China relationship.

Unlike Japan's maritime issues with China, India's conflict with China is mostly rooted in the Himalayan area, where their common border is the focal point of their strategic competition. In recent decades, this high-altitude region has been the centre of various conflicts and confrontations, which have consequently eclipsed the marine aspects of their geopolitical rivalry. This distinction underscores a clear divergence in the nature and scope of India's strategic priorities relative to those of its Quad partners.

The year 2020 was notably turbulent for Sino-Indian ties, characterised by severe violence and bloodshed. During this time, a succession of minor armed skirmishes and confrontations occurred along the disputed boundary, with the threat of a larger conflict hovering menacingly. During the peak of these wars, both governments deployed significant military forces to the region (Markey, 2021).

The Sino-Indian border dispute exemplifies wider geopolitical factors that go beyond simple territory assertions. The ambiguous characteristics of their 3,440-kilometer boundary have historically created a conducive atmosphere for conflict. The challenging landscape marked by rivers, lakes, and snowcaps hinders demarcation attempts and frequently confronts soldiers at multiple locations along the frontier. Consequently, infrastructure expansion by both nations has intensified tensions; India's construction of new highways to high-altitude air bases has been regarded as provocative by China.

As both nations manage this contentious relationship, it is crucial to acknowledge that their strategic rivalry extends beyond military engagements to include economic and diplomatic aspects. The relationship between military posturing and economic dependency complicates interactions; although trade between India and China has thrived surpassing \$136 billion in recent years the persistent territorial disputes continue to strain relations.

In summary, India's strategic considerations regarding China is significantly shaped by its historical experiences along the Himalayan border. India, confronted with a more aggressive China, must implement a comprehensive strategy that tackles both urgent security issues and enduring geopolitical objectives. This approach will require improved military preparedness and continuous diplomatic efforts to alleviate tensions and promote stability in this vital region.

2.1.1.4 Australia's Strategic Position and Australian Perspective

Australia has consistently demonstrated uniqueness in its geography, history, and cultural perspectives. This island nation has ample natural resources and heavily depends on maritime routes for trade, energy transport, and the exchange of people and knowledge. Furthermore, there exists a unique demographic distribution that benefits the east coast, along with a historical legacy of continually being a middle power with a strong ally initially Britain and then the United States. The Australian viewpoint on the Indo-Pacific may be analysed within this context. The referenced source is Medcalf (2020).

Australia's engagement in the region can be ascribed to two primary factors. Initially, there is an intention to form a coalition of intermediate nations as a precautionary strategy against China and to diminish reliance on the United States. Secondly, Australia possesses its own strategy for establishing its place within the region.

The Australian conception of security is heavily reliant on its partnership with the United States. The ANZUS pact ensures Australia's access to US support during crises, with the exchange of resources and information. Australia has recently taken on the role of forming small security dialogue groups in a minilateral context. Australia aims to cultivate robust regional resistance to Chinese campaigns, including the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), which encompasses the South Pacific. Australia regards the South Pacific as part of its sphere of influence and is partnering with allied nations, including India, Japan, and Indonesia, to realise this objective.

The Asia-Pacific map previously assisted Australia in formulating cohesive governmental policies in the region, concurrently yielding economic benefits. Australia's present focus on the Indo-Pacific region illustrates its dedication to preserving its crucial role

in that area. Moreover, it maintains an optimistic security perspective, seeking to guarantee the United States' active engagement in the region as a stabilising influence and to preserve Australia's ties with East Asia (Brewster, 2021)

2.1.1.4.1 Australia China Relation

The relationship between Australia and China has seen significant turbulence in recent years, with forecasts suggesting that the current political and diplomatic tensions between the two countries are expected to intensify in the near future. Although Australia's geopolitical circumstances are somewhat shielded from the immediate repercussions of China's maneuvers, Canberra's strong alliances with ASEAN nations and its strategic collaboration with the United States indicate a possible path towards conflict with Beijing.

An in-depth analysis of recent occurrences indicates a sophisticated comprehension of Australia's geopolitical stance. Historically, Australia has navigated the complex challenge of reconciling its security ties with the United States while managing substantial commercial relations with China. Since the 1990s, Australia's economic relationship with China has undergone steady expansion, though occasionally disrupted by political tensions. An important event transpired during the Howard Administration in 1996, when Australia's support of Taiwan led to significant diplomatic strains with Beijing (Ryan, 2020).

The year 2020 was notably difficult for Sino-Australian ties, marked by increased violence and conflict. A sequence of minor violent conflicts along disputed borders highlighted an increasing threat of larger hostilities. During the height of these hostilities, both nations sent significant armed units to their borders (Markey, 2021). Despite a little reduction in tensions by early 2021, monitoring persisted as both parties meticulously observed one another's activities. The Ladakh border region has emerged as a continual source of tension between China and India, mirroring wider regional conflicts that also involve Australia.

Furthermore, Australia's strategic involvement with ASEAN nations has acquired increased importance as it endeavours to enhance its diplomatic influence in Southeast Asia. Nicholas Moore's recent nomination as envoy to Southeast Asia illustrates Australia's dedication to improving commercial connections in this crucial region. Given that ASEAN constitutes a substantial economic entity, with a collective GDP that greatly exceeds that of

Australia, Australia's initiatives to strengthen relations with these countries are both opportune and strategic.

Although Australia's historical dependence on its alliance with the United States persists, it must manoeuvre through a progressively intricate geopolitical environment marked by escalating tensions with China. The relationship between security alliances and economic interdependence requires a revised strategy that prioritises constructive engagement with both ASEAN nations and China. By cultivating robust relationships inside Southeast Asia while upholding its allegiance to established friends, Australia may establish itself as a stabilising entity in a region characterised by uncertainty and rivalry.

Notwithstanding instances of friction, China has become Australia's main trading partner. Under President Obama's 'Pivot to Asia' strategy, Australia increasingly adopted a firmer stance towards China due to the intensification of Chinese actions in the South China Sea, raising apprehensions about regional stability. Simultaneously, China's growing economic influence began to place considerable pressures on Australia, necessitating a reassessment of Chinese investments regarding national security, since these were increasingly viewed as possible threats.

The tension in bilateral ties peaked in 2020 when Australia called for an international investigation into the origins of the COVID-19 pandemic. In response, China enacted significant taxes on Australian imports, leading to serious economic consequences for Canberra. This subsequent trade war highlighted Australia's susceptibility to China's economic dominance (Ryan, 2020).

Australia's geopolitical apprehensions over China's rise are prominent, while its economic reliance on China presents considerable challenges. Australia's departure from the initial Quad framework highlights a diminished commitment relative to other member states. The present economic dependence, along with declining political relations, generates analogous concerns; however, the results may vary.

The course of Australia-China relations has been marked by a complex interaction of economic reliance and geopolitical competition. While Australia has profited from its trading partnership with China, especially in industries like iron ore and beef, this reliance has made it

vulnerable to reprisals from Beijing. The implementation of tariffs on Australian exports, such as wine and barley, exemplifies the fragile character of this relationship and underscores Australia's growing susceptibility to external economic influences.

The broader consequences of this dynamic are substantial for Australia's strategic standing in the Asia-Pacific region. In managing its relations with China and its traditional allies, such as the United States and ASEAN states, Australia must judiciously balance its economic objectives with national security imperatives. The changing geopolitical environment requires a revised strategy that prioritises resilience to external disruptions and promotes stronger regional alliances.

In summary, Australia's future necessitates a sophisticated comprehension of its complex relationship with China, recognising both the trade potential and the inherent perils of economic dependency. Amid escalating geopolitical tensions, Australia must develop a comprehensive strategy that protects its national interests and fosters regional stability.

China's contempt for the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad) was evident in Zhao Lijian's press briefing on March 15, 2021. In response to enquiries over the recent virtual Quad meeting, the Foreign Ministry spokeswoman asserted that characterising China as a danger is a tactic aimed at undermining regional stability. Lijian implied that 'some countries' could be implicated, without explicitly referencing the United States. In 2021, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China condemned the Quad's attempts to strengthen its alliance as indicative of Cold War-era mindsets and ideological prejudices.

The united proclamation, while without expressly naming China, definitely suggests its involvement. China's concurrent actions, including the formation of working groups dedicated to vaccinations, new technologies, and climate change, subtly align with the geopolitical interests of the Quad. The precise issues confronting the Quad are not explicitly emphasised, however their existence significantly enhances the group's legitimacy and joint endeavours (Pankaj, 2021). The evidence provided supports the claim that the geopolitical interests of the Quad members progressively highlight the importance of their cooperation.

The recent meeting of the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QSD) and the release of a unified joint communiqué, coupled with the establishment of new operational subcommittees, highlight a notable enhancement of the alliance's strategic position. These trends signify a nascent power equilibrium in the Indo-Pacific, driven by the strategic actions of the Quad member states.

The Quad's actions can be thoroughly analysed through the perspective of defensive-offensive realism, a theoretical paradigm that clarifies the alliance's efforts to counteract China's increasing influence. This viewpoint asserts that coalitions like the Quad are purposefully formed to mitigate the dominance of an ascendant state, thus aiming to adjust the regional power dynamics.

Nonetheless, although the Quad's unified policy demonstrates a defensive stance against the perceived risks from China's aggression, it is essential to recognise the inherent heterogeneity in the commitment levels of the member states. Each member of the Quad contributes distinct strategic imperatives and geopolitical considerations to the alliance, leading to a diverse range of commitments and policy orientations within the coalition. This difference highlights the intricate relationship between national interests and collective security goals in influencing the Quad's overall strategy.

Simultaneously, China's strategic orientation might be interpreted as a response to its concerns with the increasing dominance of the United States in its proximate geopolitical environment. As the Quad initiates the complex task of defining its operational framework and responsibilities, the differing political imperatives and agendas of its four member states add a level of turbulence and potential instability. The intrinsic ambiguity around the Dialogue's strategic aims forces China into a continuous security dilemma. The interaction between realist ideas and geopolitical necessities is fundamental to the tensions present in the Indo-Pacific area, providing a shared basis for the collective dynamics among the participating entities.

2.1.2 Relevance/Application of Neo-Realist perspective

Neo-realism, or structural realism, is a theoretical framework in international relations that emphasises the anarchic structure of the global system, the distribution of power among

states, and the significance of state actions in preserving or modifying the balance of power. It uses the neorealism paradigm to examine the dynamics of security discussions, including the Quad security dialogue and the wider security context in the Indian Ocean region. An analysis of the aforementioned behaviors of the QUAD countries reveals that each occurrence and activity exemplify Neo-realist philosophy.

2.1.2.1 Security Dilemma:

The neorealist approach posits that China may perceive the formation of the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad) as a possible threat to its national security. This scenario engenders a security conundrum wherein China's attempts to bolster its own security may unintentionally jeopardise the security of other nations in the Indo-Pacific, particularly Quad members. Such dynamics may result in a rearrangement of alliances and potentially jeopardise the coherence of the Quad. Member states may enhance their security protocols to safeguard against anticipated Chinese aggression, so strengthening their collective defence measures.

The establishment of the Quad signifies a strategic coalition of Australia, India, Japan, and the United States, motivated by common objectives to uphold regional stability and resist China's aggressive stance. As these nations cooperate to enhance their security frameworks, they must address the complications inherent in their interactions with China. The ramifications of this changing geopolitical environment require a thorough analysis of how these alliances may adjust to effectively confront rising challenges while maintaining regional order and stability.

The Quad functions as a forum for diplomatic dialogue and military collaboration among its members, with the objective of establishing a rules-based order in the Indo-Pacific area. This approach has gained traction due to China's escalating assertiveness in maritime conflicts and its overarching geopolitical aspirations. The Quad nations have conducted collaborative military drills and improved information-sharing capabilities, demonstrating their dedication to mitigating possible threats from China.

Despite varying threat perceptions and strategic priorities among Quad members, there is agreement on the imperative to enhance cooperation to deter future Chinese efforts to change the status quo. The continuous partnership within the Quad highlights the significance of joint efforts in tackling common issues, including maritime security and regional stability.

Australia's geopolitical apprehensions regarding China's ascent are significant, yet its economic reliance on China confuses its strategic stance. The Quad serves as a crucial framework for Australia and its allies to manoeuvre through this intricate environment, reconciling economic interests with national security priorities.

2.1.2.2 Geopolitical Interests and Balance of Power:

Neo-realism emphasises the importance of geostrategic interests and elucidates how China's view of the Quad is shaped by its necessity to maintain a favourable balance of power in the Indo-Pacific region. Analysing the actions of the aforementioned Quad members reveals that their pursuits will likely result in a power imbalance in the Indo-Pacific, prompting other stakeholders, such as China, to experience insecurity and threats to their geopolitical interests.

2.1.2.3 Historical Context Regarding Power Shifts:

Neo-realism provides insight into China's perspective on the Quad by considering previous power shifts and the current unipolar/multipolar global order. Because, above describe QUAD countries action develop a cold war historical sense in Chinese minds, they declare the QUAD allylenes as an Indo-pacific NATO to contain the rise of 21st Century China.

2.1.2.4 Regional Dominance and Coalition Formation:

The analysis will investigate China's perception of the Quad's alliance-building efforts, utilising neo-realism, as a challenge to its hegemonic status and regional authority. The aforementioned actions of the QUAD nations convey a perception of containment towards China. China perceives that the United States is attempting to achieve regional hegemony by

forming a coalition with India, Japan, and Australia, hence seeking to exert control over China through these allies.

The analysis will investigate China's perception of the Quad's alliance-building efforts, utilising neo-realism, as a challenge to its hegemonic status and regional authority. The aforementioned actions of the QUAD nations convey a perception of containment towards China. China perceives that the United States is attempting to achieve regional hegemony by forming a coalition with India, Japan, and Australia, hence seeking to exert control over China through these allies.

2.1.3 China's Perception of the QUAD

Although China has publicly dismissed the Indo-Pacific strategy, it is actively engaging in a sophisticated and multifaceted approach in the region, motivated by two essential factors: its extensive geographic territory and considerable economic influence, alongside the inherent political significance of the Indo-Pacific for the Chinese Communist Party's consolidation of power. According to Medcalf (2020), China, possessing the largest population globally and a vast territorial expanse, exerts significant economic and strategic influence.

China, already a prominent global economic power, is significantly investing in the protection of its maritime routes, notably the Taiwan Strait, South China Sea, Strait of Malacca, and the Indian Ocean Region (IOR), to maintain its growth trajectory. China's recent involvement with members of the Indian Ocean Rim Association (IORA) might be viewed as a deliberate effort to consolidate control over vital chokepoints crucial for Beijing's energy transit and geopolitical strategies (Panda, 2018).

China's plan embodies a complex approach aimed at countering the Quad's influence while concurrently pushing its own interests in the Indo-Pacific region. The Chinese government acknowledges that the region is essential for its economic objectives and critical for sustaining domestic stability and legitimising the Communist Party's authority. China seeks

to strengthen its presence in critical maritime regions and cultivate partnerships with regional allies to establish a conducive environment for its geopolitical aspirations.

Although China's rejection of the Indo-Pacific strategy is apparent, its actions demonstrate a deliberate attempt to reinforce its standing in the region. The interaction between China's economic goals and its strategic necessities highlights the necessity for a thorough comprehension of regional dynamics as players aim to tackle rising issues in a progressively multipolar globe. The changing geopolitical environment requires continuous communication and collaboration among countries to maintain peace and security in the Indo-Pacific region.

In reaction to the threat of economic stagnation and increasing domestic dissent, the CCP has implemented a more authoritarian approach grounded in nationalist sentiment to mitigate potential difficulties. This viewpoint is also influencing its conduct in the Indo-Pacific area. Although it might be contended that these actions aim to safeguard national security and are thus purely defensive, the security dilemma indicates that even defensive strategies may provoke a loop of rising reactions from governments that sense a threat.

An examination of China's perception indicates that, considering the preceding debates, the actions and geopolitical strategies of the Quad nations are creating a security issue for China. Beijing perceives the Quad's actions and strategic manoeuvres as destabilising the power equilibrium in the Indo-Pacific region. As a result, China believes that the balance of power is progressively shifting against it, a change intensified by the actions of the Quad alliance.

Moreover, Beijing acknowledges that the Quad's principal objectives, indirectly contest China's authoritarian administration and seek to mitigate its ambitions and influence both regionally and globally. Consequently, from China's viewpoint, the Quad constitutes a substantial political and ideological menace, especially in its engagements with more inclusive, progressive, and democratic countries globally. China views the Quad as a manifestation of various global concerns from their standpoint.

Chapter 3:

China's Response via exercising Soft and Hard Power means to QUAD

3.1 Introduction:

The current power dynamics in the Indo-Pacific area echo the historical 'Great Game' of the 19th century that transpired in Central Asia. The current geopolitical competition is considerably more complex, shaped by various elements including economic interdependencies, technical progress, diplomatic strategies, and strategic propaganda. This region is distinguished by the emergence of multiple centres of influence that can unite against a dominant hegemonic power. In addition to the United States and China, three significant regional players India, Japan, and Australia possess considerable national power and strategic interests that fundamentally influence the regional balance

. India, characterised by its extensive demographic advantage, expanding economic capabilities, and strong military infrastructure; Japan, with its powerful economic foundation, advanced military assets, and strategic soft power; and Australia, strategically located in the southern Indo-Pacific and possessing considerable geopolitical influence each has the capacity to significantly enhance the strength and resilience of their respective alliances (Medcalf, 2020).

Power unequivocally serves a pivotal function in both the theoretical framework and practical implementation of international relations (Bilgin, 2008). Nonetheless, significant discourse continues concerning the nature and ramifications of this foundational notion. Robert Dahl, a distinguished political scientist, characterises power as the ability to compel people to engage in acts they would not otherwise consider (Dahl, 1957). In this context, the capacity to exert influence includes diverse resources such as human capital, territory, natural resources, economic power, military might, and political stability (Nye, 2014).

In the context of the continuing discussion over the essence and importance of power, Joseph S. Nye proposed the notion of "soft power." This differs from hard power, which is defined as the ability to force others to act contrary to their will through coercive measures or

incentives. Conversely, soft power entails attaining goals using attractiveness and persuasion instead of coercion or intimidation (Keohane & Nye, 1998).

It is crucial to examine how these notions of power are expressed in modern international relations. The interaction between hard and soft power informs diplomatic strategies and affects state behaviour on the international stage. As countries traverse intricate geopolitical terrains, the proficient application of both power types is essential for attaining strategic goals and sustaining influence.

The discourse on power beyond simple definitions; it includes considerations of its ethical ramifications and the obligations inherent in power relationships. Comprehending the dynamics of power in international relations not only deepens our understanding of global interactions but also guides policymakers in balancing national interests with global stability.

The complex character of power comprising both hard and soft dimensions continues to be a crucial issue in the analysis of international relations. As academics persist in examining its definitions and ramifications, it is essential to acknowledge the shifting context in which these concepts function, especially in an increasingly linked world where conventional notions of power are perpetually being contested and reinvented.

Soft power is derived from the attractiveness of one's ideas, culture, or the norms and institutions that shape the preferences of others. Ibid The term "influence" denotes the ability to convince and garner favourable attention from others through actions such as agenda-setting, persuasion, and the attainment of desired objectives. Nye, 2011 Soft power and hard power are not mutually exclusive; instead, they complement one another. Bilgin, 2008 Although hard power is essential, as Melissen states, "the efficacy of hard power depends on the backing of soft power." (Ham, 2005).

Soft power primarily originates from three principal sources: culture, political beliefs, and foreign policies. A nation's culture serves as a source of soft power when it captivates other countries. Political values enhance soft power when a nation exemplifies commitment to these principles both within its borders and on the global stage. Ultimately, foreign policies can augment a nation's soft power when regarded as legitimate and morally authoritative (Nye Jr, 2015).

3.2 China's Hard Power Responses

The traditional manifestations of hard power currency include the utilisation of military force, the enforcement of economic sanctions, and the provision of bribes. In contrast to soft power, which depends on attractiveness and persuasion, hard power utilises coercion to attain specific goals. The fundamental resources supporting hard power are primarily military and economic. Consequently, to evaluate a state's hard power, it is essential to scrutinise its military capabilities and economic might.

In recent years, China has undergone substantial economic expansion, augmenting its ability to employ economic tools such as bribery. In the last ten years, China's GDP has experienced significant growth, especially relative to other nations in the region. This economic rise has enhanced China's international stature and equipped it with the capacity to exert influence over other nations through diverse economic techniques.

China's strategy towards physical power is complex. Despite enhancements in military capabilities, a significant disparity persists between goals and actual preparedness. Reports suggest that despite China's considerable military assets, deficiencies in training and modernisation impede its efficacy (Brookings Institution). Moreover, China's military doctrine progressively integrates economic warfare tactics, utilising mechanisms like predatory loans and trade agreements to fulfil geopolitical aims (Stimson Centre).

As China expands its influence in the Indo-Pacific area, it is crucial to examine the interplay between its increasing economic might and its military aspirations. The interaction among these facets of hard power influences both China's foreign policy and the strategic considerations of other countries in reaction to Beijing's aggression.

In conclusion, although China's hard power capabilities are substantial, they are accompanied by complications that present both opportunities and threats. As China manoeuvres its position in the global arena, grasping the intricacies of its military and economic plans will be essential for understanding the wider ramifications for international relations and regional stability.

Adam Liff and John Ikenberry have clarified that China's official defence budget has undergone a significant fivefold growth in nominal yuan since 2002, making it the second largest in the world, exceeded only by the extensive U.S. military budget. Data from 2014 established a benchmark figure of 56, demonstrating the significant increase in expenditure. In the fiscal year 2021, China's defence budget was established at 1.36 trillion yuan, reflecting a 6.8 percent increase from the previous year's budget of 1.27 trillion yuan. This modification amounts to roughly \$209.16 billion, according to the current exchange rate and as documented by Funaiole et al. (2021).

Consequently, it is clear that China has substantially enhanced its hard force capabilities over the last decade. China's military capability is bolstered by the amplification of its hard power, regardless of the application of force. Huong Le Thu posits that the potential for harm or additional harm may motivate an individual to capitulate or comply (Thu, 2019). Consequently, it is not invariably necessary to exert physical force to modify an opponent's behaviour. The mere potential for employing force may suffice, contingent upon the successful establishment of psychological terror (Thu, 2019).

3.2.1 China's Hard Power Response Through Military

China's military reaction to the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad) exemplifies its overarching plan to establish supremacy in the Indo-Pacific region in response to perceived threats to its sovereignty and territorial integrity. In recent years, the People's Liberation Army (PLA) has experienced a substantial shift, marked by considerable investments in new military technologies, strategic naval capabilities, and extensive modernisation efforts.

The augmentation of the PLA Navy has been crucial in projecting China's maritime power and enforcing its territorial claims, notably in disputed regions like the South China Sea and the Taiwan Strait. This formidable military stance not only deters potential adversaries but also communicates a message of determination and resilience to regional partners and allies of the Quad, further complicating their security considerations.

Moreover, China's hard power strategy is augmented by a range of assertive military actions, encompassing extensive military drills, heightened naval patrols, and the establishment

of important military installations throughout the Indo-Pacific region. These activities demonstrate a deliberate strategy to contest the Quad's collective power and to create a new status quo that benefits Chinese interests.

Furthermore, the dual-use characteristics of specific military assets such as anti-access/area denial (A2/AD) systems highlight Beijing's objective to restrict freedom of navigation in critical maritime routes, thereby bolstering its territorial assertions while concurrently diminishing the operational efficacy of Quad forces. China's military response to the Quad reflects a larger narrative of strategic competition, emphasising the complex relationship between military capability and geopolitical aims in influencing the security dynamics of the Indo-Pacific region (Defence Intelligence Agency, 2019).

China's strategic focus on military modernisation signifies its recognition of the evolving global environment and the necessity to extend its strength beyond national boundaries. The growth of the PLA includes technological improvements, structural reorganisation, and enhanced operational capabilities, all designed to improve China's responsiveness to regional and global issues. These white papers function as both policy documents and signs of China's intention to assert its influence in critical geopolitical domains.

The increase in Chinese defence spending clearly indicates the country's dedication to modernising its military. This modernisation program is divided into two separate segments. By the conclusion of 2035, the People's Liberation Army (PLA) is anticipated to complete an extensive modernisation initiative (Pant, 2018). Following the conclusion of the second phase, China aims to elevate its military capabilities to a level equivalent to those of a premier global military power, thereby improving its strategic position and operational efficiency internationally.

In the course of modernisation, the nation will augment its military capabilities through the development of sophisticated weaponry, the establishment of a coordinated army, and the acquisition of projection capabilities potentially reaching the United States. The augmentation of China's defence budget markedly improves its ability to protect national interests internationally, particularly in critical areas like maritime security and information warfare. The augmented allocation facilitates a wide array of military advances, encompassing offensive

air operations, long-range mobility missions, and advancements in space and cyber operations (Office of the Secretary of Defence, 2018).

China has implemented a joint command structure inside its military to enhance communication and coordination across various branches, as part of its modernisation initiatives. This will promote improved cooperation and organisation within its military.

China has commenced the enhancement of its military capabilities in conjunction with its continuous modernisation initiatives. In 2017, the country inaugurated its first overseas naval base in Djibouti (Chefitz, 2018). This site is strategically beneficial because to its closeness to the Gulf of Aden, a vital passage for around 12.5 to 20 percent of annual global trade (Wang, 2018). This military post provides economic advantages through its participation in China's Belt and Road Initiative, with Djibouti receiving Chinese foreign direct investment (FDI).

The establishment of airstrips, barracks, and further military facilities in these archipelagos has elicited apprehensions regarding China's motives, particularly in light of the past territorial disputes linked to these regions (Kim, 2018). Although China contends that its efforts do not seek to exert dominance over adjacent regions, any declaration of territorial claims in the South China Sea may provoke international condemnation, given the prevailing legal frameworks that prohibit unilateral control over maritime territories.

Official Chinese literature emphasises the significance of maritime, cyber, and space domains in modern military operations. The 2015 defence white paper highlights a strategic transition from conventional land-based concerns to prioritising marine interests, underscoring the sea's vital significance in national security (PRC, 2015).

Moreover, Chinese military strategists anticipate that forthcoming armed conflicts would primarily take place in maritime environments, assigning considerable significance to space and cyberspace as "the new commanding heights" of strategic rivalry (Fravel, 2017; L, 2016). This developing military strategy underscores China's dedication to enhancing its capabilities to protect its national interests in the context of escalating geopolitical tensions.

3.2.2 Chinese Air Force

China's air force, presently the third largest worldwide and the dominant force in its region (U.S. Department of Defence, 2020), is experiencing a significant strategic transformation. The 2019 military white paper clarifies that the PLA accelerating its shift from a conventional focus on territorial air defence to a broader scope that encompasses both offensive and defensive operating capabilities. This transition aligns with the overarching strategic necessity to integrate air and space capabilities.

Concurrently with this strategic transition, the PLAAF is methodically augmenting its capabilities across various essential operational areas. These encompass the enhancement of strategic early warning systems, the implementation of precision air strikes, the strengthening of air and missile defence systems, the advancement of information warfare countermeasures, the optimisation of airborne operational tactics, the expansion of strategic force projection, and the enhancement of integrated logistical support frameworks. These activities highlight China's dedication to developing a strong and modern air force, effectively designed to meet current and future strategic demands (PRC, 2019).

In 2020, the Department of Defence (DoD) anticipated that the People's Liberation Army Air Force (PLAAF) will primarily transition into a fourth-generation force in the next years. The PLAAF has currently operationalised some 800 fourth-generation fighter aircraft, including models such as the J-10, J-11, and J-16 (U.S. Department of Defence, 2020).

Moreover, a limited quantity of fifth-generation J-20 stealth aircraft has been incorporated into active duty within the PLAAF's arsenal (U.S. Defence Intelligence Agency, 2020). Of the approximately 450 bombers and attack aircraft utilised by the PLAAF, the H-6K epitomises the highest level of technological sophistication in this classification (U.S. Department of Defence, 2020).

The enhanced operational range of these aircraft, coupled with their ability to transport up to six land-attack cruise missiles, enables the People's Liberation Army (PLA) to execute

accurate, long-range strikes from significant distances, including targets as distant as Guam (U.S. Defence Intelligence Agency, 2019).

Additionally, the H-6N, a strategic bomber introduced in 2019, seemingly possesses nuclear attack capability, as indicated by the Department of Defence (DoD) and supported by other sources. Experts predict that the H-6N, in conjunction with the upcoming H-20 a sophisticated long-range stealth bomber will be important in finalising China's nuclear triad, which encompasses land-based, air-launched, and sea-based systems for nuclear warhead deployment (U.S. DoD, August 2020).

The PLAAF utilises a variety of AEW&C aircraft, including the KJ-2000, KJ-200, and KJ-500 variants. These platforms act as "force multipliers," greatly improving operational efficiency by facilitating the concurrent observation and tracking of numerous targets. Their upgraded capabilities enable rapid target acquisition, enhanced precision in target location, and superior detection of stealth aircraft, as reported by the U.S. Defence Intelligence Agency (2019).

The PLAAF is enhancing its operational range and expeditionary capabilities by acquiring modern transport and aerial refuelling aircraft. The Y-20 strategic heavy-lift aircraft significantly improves China's airlift capability, facilitating the swift deployment of soldiers and equipment across extensive distances. The acquisition of the IL-78 aerial refuelling tanker from Russia enhances the operational endurance of the PLAAF's aviation fleet, facilitating extended sorties and augmenting its strategic reach (U.S. Defence Intelligence Agency, 2019).

The Y-20's adaptability renders it an essential component of China's military objectives. Boasting a payload capacity of about 73 tonnes, it is capable of transporting substantial military equipment, including tanks and armoured vehicles, while also being versatile for diverse duties such as aerial refuelling and command operations. The latest implementation of the WS-20 engine improves performance capabilities, facilitating operations from less-prepared airfields and considerably extending its range (EurAsian Times).

As China modernises its military capabilities, comprehending the ramifications of these advancements is crucial for evaluating the shifting dynamics of power projection in the Asia-

Pacific area. The Y-20's capacity to facilitate long-range missions and provide operational flexibility highlights its importance in China's overarching strategic goals.

Furthermore, China's procurement of two S-400 surface-to-air missile systems from Russia has significantly enhanced its long-range air defence capabilities. This improvement enables the possible interception of certain short-range ballistic missiles, so bolstering China's defensive stance against aerial threats and enhancing its deterrent capabilities in the region (Franz-Stefan Gady, 2019).

The prospective installation and strategic placement of numerous HQ-19 mid-course interceptor batteries by the PLAAF could substantially hinder aviation operations undertaken by the United States and allied nations in vital maritime regions, such as the East and South China Seas and territories near Taiwan (Janes, 2020). The HQ-19, a sophisticated mid-course interceptor created by China, is presently in the testing phase and may already be functional. This advanced system is designed to offer strong protection against ballistic missile threats, especially those with a range of up to 3,000 km (U.S. Defence Intelligence Agency, 2020).

The escalating tensions in the region underscore the strategic ramifications of China's military progress and its possible effects on U.S. objectives and regional security dynamics. The advancing capabilities of the PLAAF, especially with systems such as the HQ-19, represent China's overarching aspirations to strengthen its military stance and establish its influence in key geopolitical regions.

China is dramatically expanding its fleet of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), both armed and unarmed, thereby greatly boosting the operational capabilities of the People's Liberation Army (PLA). This growth is crucial for enhancing the PLA's capacity to execute many strategic missions, including intelligence collection, surveillance, reconnaissance (ISR), electronic countermeasures, naval aviation, and combined reconnaissance-strike operations.

The PLA is now experimenting with an innovative "large cargo unmanned aerial vehicle," recognised as a groundbreaking advancement in the worldwide UAV arena. The Department of Defence (DOD) assessments indicate that this new UAV is anticipated to

provide essential logistical support, particularly to PLA units stationed in the South China Sea (U.S. Defence Intelligence Agency, 2019).

3.2.3 Chinese Navy

The People's Liberation Army Navy (PLAN) is recognised as the foremost naval power worldwide by vessel quantity, commanding around 350 combat ships, exceeding the U.S. Navy's fleet of 293 battle force vessels (U.S. Department of Defence, 2020). The PLAN is augmented by its Naval Aviation section, which bolsters its strategic capabilities via airpower assets. Recent directions from President Xi Jinping and other PLA officers underscore the transformation of the PLAN into a fleet of superior quality, aimed at attaining global operational reach and maritime dominance (Shen Jinlong, 2020).

The Defence Intelligence Agency (DIA) has evaluated that, in specific areas, the technological prowess of the People's Liberation Army Navy (PLAN) is comparable to that of modern sophisticated navies (U.S. Defence Intelligence Agency, 2019). According to projections from the U.S. Naval Intelligence, China is expected to augment its combat-ready fleet to around 425 ships by 2030 (U.S. Office of Naval Intelligence, 2020).

The Department of Defence (DOD) emphasises that although the People's Liberation Army Navy's (PLAN) ability to conduct operations beyond the First Island Chain is currently constrained, it is steadily augmenting its operational range and platform capabilities, as demonstrated by its growing experience in distant waters and the procurement of advanced vessels (U.S. Department of Defence, 2020).

Analysts forecast that, should prevailing trends continue, the PLAN, among other military divisions, would soon function with minimal disruption across many situations (Ryan D, 2020). The DIA assesses the PLAN Marine Corps as the most capable amphibious force among the claimants in the South China Sea, possessing the operational ability to concurrently capture many islands in the Spratly archipelago (U.S. Defence Intelligence Agency, 2019).

The PLAN currently operates two aircraft carriers and is constructing a third, with reliable forecasts indicating that at least one more carrier is expected to be commissioned soon

(Lu Zhenhua, 2020). This augmentation of naval resources signifies China's strategic focus on bolstering its maritime force projection capabilities amid regional concerns.

The Liaoning, China's inaugural aircraft carrier, was commissioned in 2012 following substantial repair of a Ukrainian hull. The Shandong, China's inaugural domestically manufactured aircraft carrier, commenced operations in 2019. The expeditionary capabilities of the PLAN are being enhanced by large-deck amphibious assault ships, particularly the newly commissioned Yushen-class (Type 075) landing helicopter dock (LHD), with two additional units presently under construction. This fleet is additionally reinforced with eight Yuzhao-class (Type 071) amphibious transport docks (APDs) (Janes, 2021). These developments collectively indicate China's strategic aim to bolster its maritime presence and operational flexibility in vital geopolitical areas, thereby improving its ability to tackle regional security issues and exert influence throughout the Indo-Pacific.

Reports from 2020 reveal that the commissioning of two further Jin-class submarines raised the total count of these essential nuclear deterrents to six (Minnie Chan, 2020). The Jin-class submarines, equipped with the JL-2 submarine-launched ballistic missile, provide China's primary maritime nuclear deterrent capacity.

The Department of Defence anticipates that, within the next ten years, the People's Liberation Army Navy's submarine fleet will increase to a total of sixty-five to seventy vessels. This expected expansion will entail a systematic retirement of obsolete submarines, alongside the deployment of advanced platforms, thus maintaining a strategic balance between the decommissioning of outdated units and the incorporation of next-generation submarines (U.S. Department of Defence, 2020).

3.3 China's Soft Power Responses

Nye's analysis primarily utilises the United States as a model to clarify his thesis, thereby demonstrating numerous channels of soft power. He emphasises American popular culture as a strategic tool of influence (Nye, 2004), in conjunction with the U.S.'s intentional endeavours

to promote human rights and democratic principles as an expression of its normative power (Nye, 2004).

Nonetheless, all of these instances starkly contrast with China's present image. The augmentation of China's soft power is unlikely to be influenced by its dedication to human rights or the degree of democracy within its territory. China has been advised to adopt a more potent variant of soft power than that articulated by Nye. Kurlantzick, a scholar, asserts that "soft power has undergone a transformation" (Kurlantzick, 2007).

Kurlantzick contends that the Chinese notion of soft power includes all forms of influence unrelated to military and security issues. This encompasses popular culture, public diplomacy, and economic and diplomatic instruments, including aid, investment, and engagement in multilateral organisations. Kurlantzick designates these instruments as "carrots and sticks," a phrase originated by Nye (Kurlantzick, 2007).

China's cultural heritage has been deliberately utilised as a potent tool of soft power in the domain of cultural diplomacy. Brand Finance's 2020 research highlights the significance of cultural legacy as a vital asset in China's soft power strategy (Brand, 2020). This claim is further supported by research examining the discourses of Chinese political elites regarding soft power, which highlights that traditional Chinese culture marked by its significant historical depth, diverse traditions, symbolic representations, and extensive textual archives serves as the most formidable source of China's soft power (Li, 2008).

This significantly differs from the United States' strategy, which utilises modern culture as its primary vehicle of soft power (Wang and Lu, 2008). The contrast of these tactics illustrates differing national agendas and historical paths in the development and application of cultural impact.

Yee-Kuang Heng posits that Chinese professors infrequently participate in dialogues concerning political ideology while advocating for 'soft' power. They prioritise culture over political values (Heng, 2010). Furthermore, Heng contends that China does not explicitly promote values through its commercial diplomacy. Conversely, some assert that Chinese officials emphasise the importance of maintaining cultural, social, political, and ideological

diversity globally (Li, 2008). Consequently, a distinct difference exists regarding the Western commitment to disseminating its universal intellectual influence (Li, 2008).

Nye's concept of soft power is demonstrated by China's strategic posture in foreign policy on the world arena. Blanchard and Lu elucidate that China's utilisation of soft power is based on a carefully designed set of principles aimed at enhancing its global influence. These values include unwavering respect for sovereignty and territorial integrity, a firm commitment to non-aggression and non-interference, adherence to equality and mutual benefit, and the promotion of peaceful coexistence through multilateral involvement.

Nye asserts that values are a crucial source of soft power, although academic discussions indicate varying interpretations of China's use of these values to develop its soft power narrative. Nye posits that China's Confucian ethos, which includes principles like social harmony, ritual propriety, filial piety, and benevolent governance, significantly impacts various East Asian countries (Nye, 2012).

China implements Confucian values in its foreign policy by adopting principles that prioritise "maintaining cordial relations with neighbouring countries, promoting their prosperity, and ensuring their security," while also striving for a "harmonious world" (Cho and Jeong, 2008).

Moreover, China maintains a non-ideological approach in its diplomatic engagements, thereby enhancing its soft power by aligning its foreign policy with these fundamental principles (Blanchard and Fujia Lu, 2012). This strategic framework enhances China's diplomatic influence and appeals to a wider international audience by expressing ideas seen as fair and just.

China has vigorously advocated the principle of non-interference in its international dealings (Heng, 2010). It has also underscored themes such as "Peaceful Development" and "responsible power" (Heng, 2010). Consequently, Western nations may attain soft power by the advocacy of democratic principles, but China, conversely, cultivates soft power by exhibiting respect for diversity and a policy of non-interference.

3.3.1 Soft Power Through Utilizing Cultural and Economic Diplomacy

China has intentionally utilised a comprehensive approach to enhance its cultural and socio-economic resources with the primary aim of strengthening its soft power. This comprehensive approach includes the promotion and enhancement of cultural assets as well as significant economic and commercial activities, such as regional cooperation efforts and development projects. Shambaugh estimates that China's annual spending in soft power efforts exceeds \$10 billion (Shambaugh, 2015). This substantial financial investment highlights the extent of China's endeavours to assert its worldwide influence, utilising cultural diplomacy and economic engagement as the foundations of its soft power strategy.

3.3.1.1 Media Production

The media significantly influences, distributes, and reinforces public image by depicting China—its government, society, and developmental advancements—in a positive manner. Chinese scholars assert that the external projection of China's soft power is closely linked to the promotion of its foreign policy stances on global matters, with the objective of fostering a favourable worldwide image of China and shaping the external environment (Sayama, 2016).

To enhance its global influence, China has actively urged its mainstream media to expand their international presence and cultivate a favourable impact on global public opinion. This project corresponds with the objectives specified in the 2007 report "17th Party Congress Demands Boosting Cultural Creativity 'Soft Power'."

Under Xi Jinping's leadership, China has initiated a systematic effort to expand the influence of its media infrastructure and so augment its global presence. This approach has two primary objectives: to engage a wider international audience and present China as a peaceful and responsible global actor, and to refute the dominant Western beliefs that portray China as a possible danger. This media outreach seeks to infuse competitive storylines into a media landscape predominantly influenced by Western perspectives. The increase of Xinhua News Agency's overseas presence, comprising 170 bureaus and a substantial personnel, represents a significant advancement in this context (Shambaugh, 2015).

A significant characteristic of Chinese media is its sole ownership and regulation by the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and the state. This state-sponsored assistance affords Chinese media a distinct advantage over global competition, as it functions without the financial and commercial limitations that usually burden other media entities. The Chinese government employs a powerful assortment of media techniques and strategies, supported by the worldwide reach of its international media outlets, to disseminate its narratives and influence its image among the global audience.

3.3.1.2 Cultural diplomacy

Cultural diplomacy involves the purposeful use of cultural exchanges, encounters, and partnerships to foster mutual understanding, cooperation, and goodwill among states or groups. The historical and cultural traditions of ancient China exert significant impact and allure, resonating both regionally and globally (Glaser & Murphy, 2009). Yu Xintian, a leading advocate of this ideology, contends that enhancing a nation's cultural and social allure is vital. The more enticing a nation's cultural characteristics and paradigms, the greater the probability of their acceptance by others. Thus, this amplifies the prospects for developing and showcasing the nation's soft power and influence (Glaser & Murphy, 2009). This emphasis demonstrates a deliberate initiative to promote China's social, economic, and cultural advancement globally (Sayama, 2016).

Furthermore, China's significant investments in media networks aim to disseminate its narratives effectively, while simultaneous efforts to foster and enhance interpersonal relationships further augment its cultural influence. These many strategies enhance China's international image and create a complex framework of soft power aimed at altering global views and promoting a more favourable international context for its strategic objectives.

3.3.1.3 Confucius Institutes

The worldwide expansion of Confucius Institutes (CIs) exemplifies a crucial aspect of China's cultural diplomacy strategy. The Confucius Institute Annual Development Report indicates that at the end of 2017, more than 525 Confucius Institutes and 1,113 Confucius Classrooms had been constructed globally. These organisations, along with their operational

structures, have organised almost 42,000 cultural events, collectively drawing an audience of 12.72 million individuals globally (Confucius Institute Annual Development Report, 2017).

This comprehensive network of cultural engagement highlights China's dedication to promoting its cultural legacy while serving as a strategic tool for augmenting its worldwide influence and cultivating international goodwill. The creation of these institutions signifies China's effort to foster a favourable international climate that supports its wider geopolitical ambitions.

The principal aim of the CIs is to promote the dissemination of Chinese language education and cultural awareness, while enhancing social cohesiveness. Furthermore, they assist in the formulation of educational curriculum. The bulk of the CIs function through collaborative agreements with local institutions such as universities, secondary schools, and higher secondary schools. The principal target demographic comprises young individuals and students. These institutions aim to create a platform that cultivates interest in Chinese culture via various activities and encourages student participation in cultural and educational exchange programs. The primary aim of this initiative is to advocate for Chinese culture as distinctive, harmonious, and rich in values (Lo & Pan, 2016).

Confucius Institutes (CIs) are widely distributed across numerous locations globally. Nevertheless, many critics in Western nations contend that these institutions suppress discussions on sensitive issues such as Tibet, Xinjiang, Taiwan, and Hong Kong. Furthermore, they scrutinise the curriculum and constrain intellectual autonomy (Sayama, 2016). Notwithstanding criticism and scepticism, CIs are progressively increasing globally, albeit at a measured rate, and achieving their purpose as a tool of soft power to enhance China's attractiveness.

3.3.1.4 People to People Contact

Intercultural contacts and the cultivation of interpersonal ties have become essential in developing a favourable worldwide view of China. Notwithstanding recent steps to implement this policy, China's endeavours in this area remain embryonic and mostly restricted to

conventional state-operated diplomatic avenues (Sayama, 2016). China has systematically developed many platforms to promote its cultural, linguistic, and traditional legacy internationally. This encompasses a diverse range of cultural exchange initiatives, including sports, visual arts, performing arts, music, film, and literature.

China has established many platforms and centres for conversation, both official and non-governmental, to promote engagement and collaboration between Chinese representatives and the world community. Prominent instances of such platforms encompass the Beijing Forum, the Boao Forum for Asia, and the China Development Forum. These venues are crucial in fostering a positive picture of China (Shambaugh, 2015).

Furthermore, China has made substantial investments in educational exchanges, scholarships, and research collaborations, thereby bolstering its status as a worldwide educational centre. The Chinese government awards some 20,000 scholarships each year to international students in many fields, including science, technology, arts, and social sciences (Shambaugh, 2015). Scholarships dedicated to the study of Chinese language, literature, and culture are available through Confucius Institutes and numerous foreign organisations.

3.3.1.5 Economic Diplomacy

Cultural diplomacy is crucial for China; nonetheless, its primary source of soft power is primarily based in economic diplomacy. China's rapid economic rise, marked by a significant decrease in poverty, vigorous trade efforts, and strategic economic partnerships through regional and trans-regional integration, has garnered the interest of world leaders.

Numerous countries today regard China as an ascending global force capable of counteracting the influence wielded by the United States and Western nations. Moreover, China's capacity to maintain national security, social stability, and public wealth has strengthened its attractiveness (Sayama, 2016). Through the provision of incentives for socio-economic participation, China has developed a strategy that prioritises economic development and stability, attracting interest and appreciation from governments worldwide.

3.3.1.6 Connectivity and Integration

Under Xi Jinping's leadership, BRI represents the most significant endeavour, embodying President Xi's overarching vision for the "China Dream." This project exemplifies China's revolutionary model of "open development and global engagement" (Liu & Dunford, 2016). The BRI, rooted in the principle of 'inclusive development,' seeks to enhance China's worldwide prominence and influence via various socio-economic partnerships, trade accords, and infrastructure projects (Xin & Matheson, 2018).

The Silk Road Economic Belt intends to improve connectivity throughout Eurasia with a system of trains, highways, and energy pipelines, whilst the Maritime Silk Road aspires to strengthen maritime trade routes connecting China to Southeast Asia, South Asia, Africa, and beyond. These programs aim to enhance economic partnership, stimulate regional development, and increase China's geopolitical influence worldwide. The plan aims to establish strong commercial and trade corridors linking Asia with Eurasia and Europe, thus enabling mutual advantages for all involved parties (Swaine, 2015). China is striving to create a vast network of roadways, railways, pipelines, and communication infrastructures covering almost 11,000 kilometres across Eurasia under the BRI (Farwa & Siddiq, 2017).

Through the enhancement of this maritime element, China seeks to mitigate the risks linked to possible economic blockades in the Indo-Pacific, thus protecting its trade interests and economic priorities. These projects aim to establish and improve commercial and trade networks linking Asia with Eurasia and Europe, hence promoting mutual advantages for all stakeholders (Swaine, 2015). China, under the auspices of the BRI, has initiated the construction of a vast network measuring around 11,000 km, encompassing highways, railways, pipelines, fiber optic cables, and communication facilities throughout the Eurasian continent (Farwa & Siddiq, 2017).

3.3.1.7 foreign aid and Assistance

China has employed overseas aid as a strategic instrument to enhance its soft power and attract other nations. It, along with other efforts, has adopted the principle of creating a sustainable and beneficial global environment for the peaceful progress of the nation. Assistance predominantly focusses on underdeveloped and developing countries globally. The

notion of South-South development, along with the Chinese viewpoint, seeks to engage nations in the southern hemisphere and their adjacent countries, irrespective of geographical closeness.

China's overseas aid and support initiatives are fundamentally supported by bilateral relations, financial contributions, and concessional financing methods, such as low-interest or interest-free loans and many types of governmental grants and subsidies (Albert, 2018). The Chinese government underscores its dedication to aiding developing countries, concentrating on poverty reduction, healthcare improvement, enhancement of living standards, and provision of humanitarian assistance following natural catastrophes (Fuch & Rudyak, 2019).

Aid Data, an organization focused on the collecting and analysis of assistance data, reports that China pledged a significant amount of \$354.3 billion to 140 countries from 2000 to 2014 (Dreher et al., 2017). This figure represents a significant effort to reduce the disparity with U.S. aid expenditures, which totalled \$394.6 billion during the same timeframe (Dreher et al., 2017). The Aid Data dataset indicates a notable rise in both Official Development Assistance (ODA) and Other Official Flows (OOF) from China. The latter group includes financial transactions that deviate from the standard definitions of ODA, frequently aimed at business and strategic objectives (Dreher et al., 2017).

This enhanced financial involvement signifies China's developing position as a prominent global aid contributor, utilising its economic assets to strengthen bilateral ties and promote its strategic aims internationally.

3.4 Motivations Behind China's Responses

Initially, China's security apprehensions have persisted since the formation of the People's Republic of China (PRC) in 1949, as it has continually perceived alliances or security partnerships in its vicinity as a source of unease. U.S.-led alliances and other security agreements are persistently subjected to Chinese criticism, divisive strategies, and intimidation.

While not an official alliance, Beijing acknowledges that the Quad collectively shares significant apprehension regarding China's expanding influence in the Indo-Pacific region and

beyond. Beijing recognises that the Quad possesses the capacity, and potentially the unspoken objective, to obstruct China's rise.

Furthermore, Beijing acknowledges that the Quad's principal objectives, especially its commitment to a comprehensive and flexible free and open Indo-Pacific, indirectly contest China's authoritarian government and seek to counter its ambitions and influence both regionally and globally. Consequently, from China's viewpoint, the Quad constitutes a substantial political and ideological menace, especially in its engagements with more inclusive, progressive, and democratic countries globally.

Secondly, the sense of being encircled by QUAD nations leads China to regard QUAD as a containment initiative directed at itself. Consequently, China must protect its maritime channels of communication, which are essential for its economic development. To achieve this objective, China has been diligently enhancing its naval capabilities for several years. This expansion aims to establish a fleet proficient in executing both military and humanitarian missions beyond China's coastal territories.

Furthermore, the protection of China's trade interests has grown increasingly essential, especially due to escalating regional tensions with the United States and India. This transition to military involvement signifies a notable divergence from the Mahanist framework, which conventionally perceived warships as subordinate to commercial vessels (You Ji, 2016).

Beijing's primary objective in safeguarding its maritime trade routes is to advance its broader economic expansion goals. Notably, approximately 80% of China's imported oil transits through the Indian Ocean and the Strait of Malacca before reaching the South China Sea. These Indo-Pacific shipping lanes are essential for ensuring China's energy security, necessitating robust capabilities to counter potential threats.

Theoretical scenarios involving a naval blockade in the Strait of Malacca could severely disrupt crude oil supplies to China, resulting in immediate and detrimental economic consequences. Such vulnerabilities highlight the critical importance of maintaining unimpeded access to these vital maritime corridors in the context of China's strategic interests.

In this backdrop, China's strategic emphasis on safeguarding these crucial marine routes not only highlights its energy security apprehensions but also reinforces its ambitions to enhance geopolitical influence in a region marked by intricate power dynamics. As China advances its military capabilities and operational preparedness, comprehending the ramifications of these achievements is essential for assessing regional security and the changing dynamics of international relations in the Indo-Pacific.

Third, factors related to China's internal politics and ideology lead the nation to perceive QUAD as a potential ideological threat to its governance style, particularly due to the strong support for democracy and freedom of expression from QUAD nations, especially the United States. China believes that the U.S. may attempt to destabilise it internally. The principal aim of the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD) is to promote a free, open, prosperous, and inclusive Indo-Pacific region. China views QUAD's programs as an endeavour to propagate democratic principles in regions where it holds significant influence.

Furthermore, China perceives QUAD's activities as a strategic initiative to establish regional dominance and global influence, which it regards as a direct affront to U.S. hegemony. The Chinese government characterises QUAD as an Indo-Pacific equivalent to NATO, implying that the United States is implementing a containment strategy against China, similar to its past endeavours to encircle the Soviet Union during the Cold War.

Moreover, although the U.S. seeks to enhance India's status as a regional power within this context, China remains steadfast in its ambition for dominance and leadership in the Indo-Pacific. It aims to augment its worldwide influence as a response to U.S. hegemony, promoting a multipolar world order that embodies a more equitable distribution of power.

3.5 Relevance/Application of Neo-Realist perspective

According to neorealism, China's strategic objectives emphasise survival and the enhancement of power. China utilises a blend of soft power and strong power to counter the QUAD and safeguard its strategic interests. In the preceding paragraphs, we examined China's response to QUAD through military modernisation, enhancement of naval and aerial capabilities, and the establishment of strategic alliances and partnerships to achieve its strategic

objectives. Neo-realism characterises this scenario as a structural necessity for China in the Indo-Pacific area to fight the QUAD alliance.

The security dilemma concept can be used to China's circumstances to comprehend how its modernisation and military capabilities (hard power) and its diplomatic and economic initiatives (soft power) influence its sense of threat within the QUAD framework. The objective is to reduce this perceived risk. In power dynamics, one may employ neorealism to analyse how China's actions are motivated by the necessity to alter or maintain the regional power balance in its favour. China would safeguard its interests in all circumstances against the QUAD to maintain its status and ensure its survival in the Indo-Pacific region.

Chapter 4: Implications For Indo-Pacific Region

4.1 Introduction:

Strategists frequently concentrate on the western Pacific, encompassing regions such as the East China Sea and South China Sea, when addressing the "Indo-Pacific." These territories, historically under U.S. dominance since the conclusion of World War II, are currently experiencing a notable power struggle, especially due to the ascendance of China. Simultaneously, the Indian Ocean, formerly neglected, is suddenly becoming a critical arena of rivalry among significant global powers (The Economist, 2023).

The United States has adopted an integrated deterrence strategy in its 2022 National Defence Strategy to dissuade its adversaries. This approach entails the United States strengthening its primary alliances through the establishment of diverse strategic relationships. Numerous geo-strategic activities are being implemented in the Indian Ocean to curtail China's influence.

In recent years, there has been a notable increase in both the number and intensity of confrontations among major regional powers, including Japan and China, India and China, Australia and China, and North and South Korea. The tensions are primarily driven by entrenched historical grievances, territorial conflicts, and overarching geopolitical rivalries, resulting in the formation of significant flashpoints that could destabilise the area (Carlton, 2018).

As this contest progresses, it is essential to evaluate how these trends may transform both regional security frameworks and the global order. The complex interactions among these large powers are set to determine the strategic choices of smaller governments in the region, shaping their foreign policy directions and alliance formations in light of a changing balance of power. A sophisticated comprehension of these dynamics is crucial for a thorough evaluation of U.S.-China rivalry in the Indo-Pacific and its wider ramifications for global stability.

As the QUAD progresses as a strategic coalition to challenge China's influence, it is crucial to analyze Beijing's perceptions and reactions to this alliance. China's response has evolved from early disregard to explicit antagonism, indicating its apprehension regarding the QUAD's capacity to consolidate a multilateral alliance counter to its interests. The strategic ramifications of these developments are significant; they influence not only the current security landscape but also have extensive repercussions for the future equilibrium of power in the Indo-Pacific.

The ocean's economic significance is universally recognised as a vital contributor to world trade and a major driver of economic growth in adjacent regions. The strategic importance of it has recently begun to rise. China is augmenting its influence in its waterways with the execution of the Belt and Road Initiative, a significant infrastructural project. This program seeks to create a secure route to the Indian Ocean. Other states perceive China's ascendance as a threat and have implemented measures in the Indian Ocean to curtail its growing influence.

The rise of minilateralism, defined by coalitions of more than two but fewer than a complete multilateral alliance, has become a significant trend in the Indo-Pacific area (Bhubhindar, 2020). As the QUAD progresses as a strategic coalition to challenge China's influence, it is crucial to analyse Beijing's perceptions and reactions to this alliance. China's response has evolved from early disregard to explicit antagonism, indicating its apprehension regarding the QUAD's capacity to consolidate a multilateral alliance counter to its interests. The strategic ramifications of these developments are significant; they influence not only the current security landscape but also have extensive repercussions for the future equilibrium of power in the Indo-Pacific.

The chapter will offer a thorough study of how China's reactions to the QUAD may affect regional stability and the potential for conflict. Grasping these processes is essential for understanding the shifting geopolitical environment of the Indo-Pacific and evaluating the wider ramifications for international relations in a progressively multipolar world.

4.2 Security Implications for Regional Stability

China's strategic response to the Quad reflects its broader ambitions of maintaining a dominant role in the Indo-Pacific while safeguarding its territorial sovereignty. Beijing views the Quad as a direct challenge to its long-standing goals, such as the BRI and its vision for regional economic leadership. Consequently, China has adopted a multi-faceted approach, combining hard power through military modernization, particularly the PLAN, with soft power initiatives aimed at fostering bilateral ties with smaller regional states. These actions create a delicate balance, as China seeks to assert influence without provoking open conflict, though the potential for miscalculations remains high.

The broader security implications of this strategic rivalry extend beyond military concerns to the realm of regional alliances and diplomatic relations. The Indo-Pacific region, home to several emerging economies and critical maritime routes, is now more than ever a focal point for global powers.

China's efforts to undermine the cohesion of the Quad by leveraging economic incentives or coercion with regional actors have the potential to both stabilize and destabilize relationships, depending on the efficacy of these efforts. As the Quad evolves and China continues to push back against its influence, the security dynamics in the Indo-Pacific will likely remain fluid, with periodic escalations and efforts at diplomatic resolution shaping the future of regional stability.

4.2.1 Arms race

The Indo-Pacific area is presently seeing a notable buildup of armaments, marking one of the most considerable in recent history. The military escalation in the Indo-Pacific region signifies a pronounced lack of confidence among nations over the future stability of the area, while it does not reach the scale of the Cold War.

Currently, there is a broad recognition of the lack of a stable security environment in the Indo-Pacific area. This instability is chiefly propelled by the imminent possibility of conflict between the two preeminent countries, China and U.S. Moreover, enduring animosities, especially those against Japan, can be readily rekindled throughout Asia. The

underlying tensions intensify the likelihood of violence stemming from current disagreements, especially regarding territorial claims, so further destabilising the region and increasing the probability of war.

The recent military acquisitions by states in the Indo-Pacific are marked by their complexity, transcending simple modernisation, despite many nations labelling them as such. They have clearly stated that their improved military might is exclusively a consequence of their growing wealth. Wealth has a remarkable capacity to effect substantial transformations.

The military capabilities of these nations have markedly increased, enabling operations well beyond their borders. The procurement of sophisticated systems by these nations over the past decade signifies their resolve to transform their defence posture. There has been a distinct emphasis on investing in new defense equipment, especially combat aircraft capable of long-range strikes, alongside the enhancement of naval capabilities in open ocean settings. Nonetheless, this increases the probability of these nations entering into conflicts over issues that are geographically distant from their main territorial areas.

Recent purchasing decisions will similarly affect procurement decisions in the next two decades. Nations will have difficulties in limiting the growth of their military capabilities to current levels. Numerous recently prosperous nations presently possess skills that are merely sufficient to sustain distant operations. These nations are expected to make future purchase decisions that require augmenting the number of platforms to maintain their capacity for military operations beyond their borders.

The countries of East Asia and Southeast Asia have implemented advanced naval and surveillance technologies, allowing them to assert a more formidable presence in adjacent maritime regions. As a result, they will be markedly more assertive in protecting their national interests in these areas, utilising techniques that were hitherto untenable. Minor incursions require vigilant monitoring and can no longer be overlooked. Asian nations are undergoing a substantial transition in their military posture. Thus, the possibility of violent conflict exists.

The attributes of contemporary military technologies may lower the threshold for initiating military action. Participants may regard a conflict occurring at sea or in the air, particularly with unmanned technology, as less likely to induce instability than a direct assault

on terrestrial frontiers. This option may have been the sole feasible one when their military capabilities were less sophisticated. Naval engagements transpire in isolated maritime regions, apart from civilian populations, leading to a diminished threshold for combat compared to terrestrial warfare. There exists a belief that military intervention can contain any crisis.

The intermittent confrontations occurring in the East China Sea and the South China Sea exemplify this phenomenon. There is a growing conviction that the use of military force is warranted to protect vital interests. The military deployment may surpass original projections; but it will not grow into an inter-state conflict. Under these circumstances, the participants may perceive that they can effortlessly manage and regulate the level of escalation. Nonetheless, military engagement may produce unpredictable consequences.

The increased dispute around each incident indicates that each government is assured in its capacity to vigorously assert its claims. Moreover, this indicates that no state has yet attained the military capability to readily impede or overlook the interests of other governments in the region. These considerations will lead to a sustained and swift enhancement of military capabilities among regional powers as they seek to gain an advantage.

4.2.2 Alliances and Diplomatic Tensions

Alliances have experienced rapid transformations as regional dynamics persist in evolving. In the last five years, the United States' participation in regional alliances has transitioned from apathy under the Trump administration to aggressive engagement under President Biden. In light of the fluctuating regional geopolitical landscape, several nations are pursuing alliances with like-minded allies to protect and uphold a rules-based system. Australia, Japan, and India have been the principal proponents of the Indo-Pacific idea and have led regional cooperation in the absence of the United States throughout President Trump's administration.

A significant advantage held by the United States, India, and their allies over China is a pre-existing and increasing network of strategic partnerships. This network facilitates members in harmonising their policies and potentially consolidating their resources. Originally based on the alliance system centred on the United States, it has expanded in recent years

through the formation of networked and less formal coalitions and alignments, sometimes termed "Mini laterals."

Conversely, China established a strategic relationship with Russia to safeguard its interests and attempt to equilibrate the influence of the United States and its allies. The equilibrium of power in the Indo-Pacific region is destabilised, prompting both parties to forge new alliances to enhance their respective positions. China is endeavouring to exert influence over other nations in the region. Diplomatic tensions in the region will reach unprecedented levels due to escalating conflicts among the US and China, China and Japan, India and China, China and Australia, and China and Taiwan, with all nations issuing vehement statements against one another. Each nation will endeavour to get optimal benefits through a robust diplomatic posture.

Alliances have experienced rapid transformations as regional dynamics persist in evolving. In the last five years, the United States' participation in regional alliances has transitioned from apathy under the Trump administration to aggressive engagement under President Biden. In light of the fluctuating regional geopolitical landscape, several nations are pursuing alliances with like-minded allies to protect and uphold a rules-based system. Australia, Japan, and India have been the principal proponents of the Indo-Pacific idea and have led regional cooperation in the absence of the United States throughout President Trump's administration.

A significant advantage held by the United States, India, and their allies over China is a pre-existing and increasing network of strategic partnerships. This network allows members to synchronise their policies and maybe consolidate their resources. Originally based on the alliance system centred on the United States, it has expanded in recent years through the formation of networked and less formal coalitions and alignments, sometimes termed "Mini laterals."

4.2.3 Economic Coercion and Dependence

The interdependence among states has rapidly evolved, with economic dependency becoming a distinguishing feature of the modern global order. Historically, economic

connectedness in the Indo-Pacific area has enabled the movement of trade, investment, and individuals (Robert, 2015).

This connection has enabled states to utilise economic mechanisms as tools of coercion. For instance, when one nation is significantly dependent on another, which experiences a relatively lower degree of reliance, the former becomes susceptible to economic pressure. The globalisation of trade has enabled the imposition of economic pressure; yet, governments often undervalue its importance and effectiveness (Drezner, 2003).

The emergence of minilateralism—defined by alliances consisting of more than two but fewer than a complete multilateral coalition—has become increasingly significant in the Indo-Pacific (Bhubhinder, 2020). The Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD) embodies this trend and serves as a pivotal point for analysing the security ramifications of China's reactions to it. This chapter will examine how these responses affect regional stability, the balance of power, and the likelihood of conflict in an increasingly interconnected globe.

As states manage their economic interdependencies, it is crucial to examine how these dynamics influence geopolitical strategy. The relationship between economic dependence and security factors will be crucial in shaping future relations among major nations in the Indo-Pacific. An intricate comprehension of these difficulties will provide useful insights into the dynamic nature of international relations in an area marked by considerable strategic rivalry.

Consequently, political tensions in the region remain subdued, with explicit military combat averted as hostilities intensify. In the absence of resistance, China's punitive measures are expected to persist and gradually impact vulnerable nations in the Indo-Pacific region. The principal outcome of economic coercion is the disruption of regional trade and the erosion of political confidence among nations, exacerbating instability throughout the Indo-Pacific region (The Internet of Things, 2021).

4.2.4 Clash of interests between the Quad and ASEAN

Due to the complex interactions between the Quad and ASEAN's involvement in the Indo-Pacific, a clear definition of responsibilities between the two entities remains absent.

Currently, Quad member states are actively affirming ASEAN's crucial role in the area, highlighting their endorsement of the ASEAN Outlook on the Indo-Pacific (AOIP). The diplomatic balancing act is essential, since the Quad's capacity to exert influence and implement its strategic framework throughout Southeast Asia—the focal point of the Indo-Pacific—would be significantly compromised if ASEAN opts to disregard or oppose its presence.

ASEAN emphasises inclusivity and asserts that no entity should foster political fragmentation. However, should the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad) continue to distance itself from China and engage in power politics against it, ASEAN's influence in the region will significantly decline.

The ASEAN and Quad exhibit a fundamental institutional incongruity. ASEAN asserts its status as a significant and influential entity in regional multilateralism. The ASEAN Charter provides an ambiguous description of ASEAN Centrality, describing it as the primary impetus for ASEAN's engagement and cooperation with external partners within an open, transparent, and inclusive regional framework. It emphasizes that ASEAN Centrality is essential to the regional initiatives spearheaded by ASEAN, hence strengthening its pivotal position in promoting regional cooperation and community development. Therefore, ASEAN deems it crucial to assume a central role in promoting regionalism throughout Southeast and East Asia.

Nevertheless, if ASEAN seeks to dominate the Indo-Pacific institutional structure by prioritising ASEAN Centrality, it is unlikely that the Quad member nations will concur with this stance. The Quad was re-established in 2017 in response to the growing ineffectiveness of current institutional frameworks, particularly those spearheaded by ASEAN, as evaluated by the Quad member states. Therefore, it is not beneficial for the Quad member nations to duplicate the same framework in another geographical area.

Moreover, should the Quad establish a significant institutional role in the area, ASEAN's strategic importance is likely to decline. The ASEAN member states have articulated concern over their declining diplomatic power and the deterioration of their capacity to function as a regional institution (The ASEAN Charter, 2008). Consequently, without special concessions, ASEAN and the Quad cannot cohabit in the Indo-Pacific region.

The Quad's steadfast dedication to reinstating democracy in Myanmar exemplifies value-driven diplomacy, likely intensifying ideological rifts between the Quad and ASEAN, thus worsening geopolitical fragmentation and complicating regional unity (White House, 2021a; White House, 2021c; US Department of State, 2022).

The diplomatic rift became evident during the 2022 Russia-Ukraine war, significantly impairing ASEAN's ability to facilitate consensus among the parties involved. The United Nations General Assembly, in its resolution of March 2, 2022, categorically condemned Russia's invasion of Ukraine, insisting on its quick termination. Notably, only Belarus, Eritrea, North Korea, Syria, and Russia rejected the resolution (UN, 2022).

In the current geopolitical context, the Quad and ASEAN nations expressed markedly different methods in addressing the crisis, highlighting a widening ideological and strategic divide between the two groups and demonstrating the increasing intricacy of maneuvering within the broader diplomatic arena.

Unlike the United Nations and its Western supporters, who often implement economic penalties and avoid diplomatic interaction, ASEAN member nations promote contact with Russia, underscoring the need of achieving a peaceful resolution through discussion. In May 2022, Cambodia, Indonesia, and Thailand each presiding over ASEAN, the G-20, and APEC, respectively released a declaration asserting that no member state would be barred from international assemblies (Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia, 2022).

In sharp contrast to the United Nations and its Western supporters, who frequently impose economic penalties and avoid diplomatic engagement, ASEAN member nations advocate for discussion with Russia, emphasizing the need of achieving a peaceful resolution through constructive discourse.

This institutional conflict extends beyond the Quad-ASEAN divide, influencing wider geopolitical and economic frameworks. In May 2022, the United Nations introduced the Indo-Pacific Economic Framework (IPEF), a strategic economic structure that includes all Quad countries, seven ASEAN nations, and key regional participants such as South Korea, New Zealand, and Fiji.

While the IPEF has not yet developed into a comprehensive vehicle for profound political-economic integration, its ability to facilitate essential rule-making discussions has established it as an emerging, but impactful, platform. It acts as a catalyst for defining the normative parameters of regional economic governance, hence amplifying the competitive dynamics within the growing multipolar order of the Indo-Pacific.

Moreover, the IPEF has created splits within ASEAN by selecting inviting seven member states but omitting Cambodia, Laos, and Myanmar, which have expressed reservations about the framework's apparent neglect of ASEAN Centrality (Sam, 2022).

The implementation of the Quad members' value system via initiatives such as the Quad and IPEF may intensify differences within ASEAN, perhaps affording regional powers like China the opportunity to execute a "divide and conquer" approach. Consequently, Southeast Asia may transform into a diplomatic arena for power politics, with significant actors competing for influence and supremacy in the region.

4.3 Potential for Conflicts in the Indo-Pacific

The Indo-Pacific region is a flashpoint for potential conflicts due to its strategic significance and the involvement of major global powers with competing interests. As the primary conduit for global trade and energy supplies, control over critical maritime routes, such as the South China Sea and the Malacca Strait, has become a point of contention.

The territorial disputes between China and neighboring countries, particularly over islands and Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs), have heightened the risk of military confrontations. China's aggressive territorial claims and island-building activities, coupled with its growing naval presence, have led to frequent stand-offs with other regional powers, increasing the potential for miscalculation and unintended escalations.

The Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad) intensifies the potential for conflict in the Indo-Pacific as it is perceived by Beijing as a coalition designed to contain its rising influence. The military collaboration between the U.S., Japan, India, and Australia within the Quad

framework is viewed by China as a strategic encirclement, prompting Beijing to adopt more assertive policies.

This includes expanding its naval capabilities, bolstering its air defense systems, and enhancing its military infrastructure on contested islands. While the Quad nations maintain that their alliance is aimed at promoting regional security and maintaining a rules-based order, China interprets these actions as provocative, potentially setting the stage for a more direct confrontation.

The competition between China and the U.S., in particular, raises the risk of conflicts not only through direct military engagements but also through proxy confrontations involving smaller regional states. These countries, balancing between Chinese economic leverage and U.S. security guarantees, are caught in a precarious position, which may contribute to destabilization. As both China and the Quad strengthen their military postures, the possibility of clashes in sensitive areas such as the Taiwan Strait, East China Sea, and the Indian Ocean remains high, with far-reaching consequences for regional and global stability.

4.3.1 Cybersecurity threats and information warfare

The anticipated war scenario in the Indo-Pacific is set to be characterised by interconnected strategic rivalry across several domains, including space, cyberspace, near-space, underwater, and information. This complexity arises from the confluence of traditional, asymmetric, low-intensity, and nonlinear security threats, coupled with the proliferation of modern military and dual-use technology. Such domains not only cultivate but also intensify intentional ambiguity regarding their implications, origins, and underlying motives (Martin, 2011).

The majority of cyber-operations are predicated on ambiguity, which entails neither confirming nor denying the direct employment of cyber-weapons against present or potential adversaries and their selected proxy targets. The repercussions of cyberwarfare, both direct and indirect, are often unnoticeable, hence intensifying enquiries over the sources of the infiltration, assault, or malfunction.

While the origin of the cyberattack may be discerned, its underlying goal may remain unclear. Perpetrators may execute deliberate assaults to mislead individuals or their gadgets. Martin Libicki asserts that successful cyber-attacks modify the risk associated with particular actions, frequently rendering them more attractive options (Martin, 2011).

Consequently, cyber-strategies may serve as tactical counters to restricted kinetic attacks or acts of aggression, demonstrating a markedly reduced probability of exacerbating the situation in contrast to traditional physical reprisal. Furthermore, these tactics allow actors to influence the outcomes of disputes in other states without assuming explicit responsibilities. In this setting, strategic ambiguity is a crucial element that increases the likelihood of engaging in offensive cyber operations, owing to the perceived diminished risk of detection, lack of accountability, and the low possibility of effective deterrence (Lior, 2011).

The convergence of the above indicated attributes of cyberspace as a domain for military operations fosters a continually expanding range of potential for cyberkinetic encounters. This evolution intricately connects with other aspects of warfare specifically, the physical, informational, and cognitive domains thus changing strategic paradigms. Historically, cyberspace has been defined as a virtual information environment reliant on a complex network of interconnected physical infrastructures.

Consequently, it has progressively become a crucial channel that connects, enhances, and strengthens the operational capabilities of terrestrial, maritime, aerial, and extraterrestrial systems across all physical domains. In this context, cyberspace functions not only as an auxiliary arena but as a fundamental component in the execution of multifaceted military strategies, enabling a complex integration of capabilities that surpasses conventional limits and alters the nature of modern warfare.

Currently, the use of cyberspace in the information domain is strategically divided into two main objectives: exploitation, which involves the intentional manipulation of information to achieve a strategic advantage, and protection, which seeks to prevent adversaries from using or distorting information for their benefit. This dual strategy highlights the complex dynamics of information warfare, where data control is crucial for influencing results and sustaining a competitive advantage.

Moreover, cyberspace is increasingly employed as a tool for exerting influence in the psychological or cognitive domain. This encompasses the ability to promptly and strategically engage target audiences via communications campaigns that may achieve virality, resulting in cognitive effects that can either unite or divide individuals.

Consequently, the conventional zones of conflict in the East and South China Seas, the Korean Peninsula, and the Taiwan Straits are anticipated to endure persistent clashes in both cyberspace and the physical realm. This may encompass cyberattacks aimed at critical information infrastructure, information operations, and many forms of cyberespionage. In the future, cybersecurity threats and information warfare will escalate in the Indo-Pacific area among hostile governments, which will also employ proxies for these purposes.

4.3.2 Taiwan Strait concerns and relations

The Taiwan issue has recently attracted international attention once more. Between 2008 and 2016, a span of eight years marked by stability in cross-Strait ties, the KMT government's conciliatory strategies effectively preserved a facade of calm. Following the DPP's triumph in 2016, the issue of the authentic nature of cross-Strait relations resurfaced.

Taiwan has emerged a critical issue between China and U.S. During this period, the United States has significantly increased its support to Taiwan. China has demonstrated its resolve by asserting its territorial claim over Taiwan through forceful military and diplomatic measures. The security and strategic circumstances in the Taiwan Strait have become increasingly hazardous.

The international community is concerned about potential conflict in the Taiwan Strait. A military conflict would profoundly impact the political and economic stability of the Indo-Pacific region. Consequently, Taiwan has been incorporated into the Indo-Pacific strategies and policy frameworks of other nations, including the United States, Canada, and the Republic of Korea.

The 2022 US Indo-Pacific Strategy document indicated that the United States will partner with regional and international allies to maintain peace and stability in the Taiwan Strait. This will be accomplished by offering support to augment Taiwan's self-defence capabilities. In its 2022 Indo-Pacific Strategy, Canada reiterated its dedication to partnering with friends to counter any unilateral actions that threaten the current status quo in the Taiwan Strait. Canada will sustain its multifaceted ties with Taiwan, including trade, technology, health, and democratic governance, while complying with its One China Policy. Countering falsehoods.

Both nations within their Indo-Pacific strategies and those external to them have recognised the threat that China presents to Taiwan. Some nations, notably the United States and Canada, have unequivocally articulated their dissent against any unilateral modification of the current status quo. South Korea (ROK) and Japan recognize the gravity of the security situation in the Taiwan Strait and notify the international community accordingly. Moreover, they exhibit a robust commitment to strengthening both economic and cultural ties with Taiwan. The United States maintains a longstanding commitment to Taiwan's defence, which it affirms, reiterates, and implements through the provision of armaments and additional security measures.

4.3.3 South China Sea disputes

The South China Sea dispute, involving China, Malaysia, the Philippines, Taiwan, and Vietnam, is crucial for maintaining regional stability in Southeast Asia. A possible military conflict among major nations in this region could have severe consequences for the peace and stability it has thus far preserved.

All QUAD member governments, namely the United governments, Australia, India, and Japan, articulate robust criticism of China's aggressive manoeuvres in the South China Sea. The crisis has afforded the United States a strategic chance to engage in the region, thereby diminishing China's influence in its vicinity.

The prominence of these overlapping claims has greatly heightened tensions in the region, as each claimant state endeavours to exert its authority over disputed waters and

resources, complicating diplomatic relations and increasing the likelihood of potential clashes. This complex network of assertions undermines regional security and questions the effectiveness of existing international maritime law, while deepening the geopolitical rivalry involved.

The Nine-Dash Line, or Jiuduànxian, is a fundamental reference in defining China's extensive territorial assertions in the South China Sea. The Spratly and Paracel Islands, together with vast marine areas, are central to this complex issue characterised by opposing claims. The intricacies of these territorial conflicts are shaped by various variables, including revived nationalist emotions, assertions of territorial sovereignty, marine jurisdictional disputes, resource distribution, and the management of essential commercial shipping lanes.

Leaders of the claiming states often utilise these conflicts as strategic tools to obtain political and diplomatic backing, thereby intensifying tensions. This matter has ignited fervent, and at times passionate, public sentiment in China, Vietnam, and the Philippines, reflecting entrenched nationalistic and historical narratives that support each nation's assertions. The convergence of these aspects highlights the complex character of the dispute, presenting considerable obstacles to attaining a diplomatic conclusion.

China's maritime issues involve confrontations over island sovereignty and claims to marine territory with other regional actors. The complex issue of territorial waters and maritime rights requires the involvement of various stakeholders, including China, the Philippines, Malaysia, Brunei, Vietnam, and Taiwan. The primary dispute among these states concerns the ownership and control of the Spratly and Paracel Islands, located in the disputed areas of the South China Sea (Khalid Mohammed, 2019). This protracted conflict underscores the intricate geopolitical variables involved and the conflicting national interests that hinder resolution efforts.

The ramifications of global trade in this crucial maritime region make the South China Sea a topic of concern for both the claimant states and other nations with vested interests, especially the United States (Khalid Mohammed, 2019). The intricate interaction of national interests emphasises the vulnerability of peace and security in the region, underscoring the necessity for meticulous diplomatic involvement.

In contrast, the United States perceives the diplomatic and security difficulties stemming from the SCS conflict as matters of utmost importance (M. Taylor Fravel, 2013). Moreover, other neighbouring nations, like Vietnam, sustain strong and dynamic diplomatic relations with the United States, highlighting the intricacies of alliances and partnerships in the context of persistent geopolitical conflicts. This complex interaction of interests highlights the essential significance of the South China Sea in influencing modern international relations, where strategic considerations and national aspirations align.

The increasing tension complicates the regional diplomatic environment and has significant ramifications for international peace and security in the Indo-Pacific, intensifying the geopolitical rivalry among major countries and affecting multilateral interactions in the region. Asian nations asserting their sovereignty in the region have resulted in a complex geopolitical environment, causing substantial diplomatic and strategic discord between the United States and China.

The South China Sea (SCS) has been a central subject of dispute, leading to deteriorated diplomatic relations between these two significant nations. In this environment, the military forces of the United States and China have cultivated a significant degree of familiarity in operating in close proximity to each other in both maritime and aerial domains (Office of the United States Trade Representative, 2020). This close proximity increases the likelihood of errors and underscores the need for efficient communication systems to mitigate tensions in this strategically important region.

These scenarios sometimes entail a widespread absence of confidence among the concerned parties. China diligently seeks territorial dominance over a substantial area of the South China Sea. In contrast, the five Southeast Asian states have made only limited territorial claims within the South China Sea (SCS), covering around 62-90% of the sea's expanse (Sean Mirski, 2015). China claims ownership over numerous islands, rocks, reefs, and maritime areas within 800 nautical miles of Hainan. Vietnam, Malaysia, the Philippines, Brunei, and Indonesia also assert claims over these regions (Sujit kumar Dutta, 2005).

4.4 Relevance/Application of Neo-Realist perspective

Within the framework of neo-realist theory, China's participation in the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad) can be analysed through the prism of power dynamics and state-centric interests, highlighting the anarchic characteristics of the international system. This viewpoint asserts that states essentially act to secure their survival and augment their authority in relation to others. The formation of the Quad as a collective security initiative, viewed by China as a coalition intended to curb its influence, highlights the competitive dynamics inherent in global politics.

From a neo-realist perspective, China's strategic response includes both defensive and offensive actions aimed at countering the perceived encirclement by the Quad. This entails a vigorous enhancement of military capabilities and forceful positioning in disputed maritime areas, especially in the South China Sea. The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) serves not just as an economic strategy but also as a geopolitical instrument designed to secure critical resources and augment China's power throughout the Indo-Pacific region. China aims to weaken the Quad's efficacy by cultivating economic interdependencies with regional nations, so bolstering its own standing in a multipolar world. This multi-faceted approach demonstrates how, under a neo-realist paradigm, power dynamics and the pursuit of hegemony dictate state conduct and affect regional security patterns.

Neorealism clarifies the potential for war by emphasising how the security problem and the quest for power can trigger wars. Moreover, it highlights the particular areas where China's actions may exacerbate tensions or provoke confrontations.

Neorealism, as a theoretical framework, fundamentally critiques the effectiveness of international institutions in reducing the likelihood of war between states. This viewpoint highlights that the anarchic structure of the international system, lacking a supreme authority to impose regulations or norms, leads states to prioritise their own survival and security.

As a result, power politics becomes the prevailing paradigm for analysing state behaviour, emphasising the competitive and frequently antagonistic interactions between nations. Furthermore, neorealism recognises the possibility of institutional failures, as states may prioritise their strategic interests above collective security agreements, resulting in a cycle

of distrust and competition. This perspective offers an essential comprehension of modern geopolitical dynamics, wherein the interaction of power, security issues, and the constraints of international collaboration delineate the framework of global politics.

Chapter 5: Conclusion

The response of China to QUAD is a crucial element in the present geopolitical environment. The Indo-Pacific area has become a pivotal venue for trade competitiveness and a struggle to exert military and economic influence among key global powers, with geopolitical rivalries developing in this setting. This paper aims to clarify China's strategic decision-making on the QUAD, analysing its utilisation of both soft and hard power strategies and their resultant impacts on regional stability and security dynamics. This chapter's results underscore a thorough evaluation of the intricate dynamics between China's strategic aims and the swiftly changing security landscape of the Indo-Pacific, emphasising the consequences for regional stability.

China's viewpoint on the Quad alliance is shaped by a combination of historical, strategic, and ideological factors. Beijing saw the Quad—consisting of the United States, Japan, India, and Australia—as a carefully coordinated effort to mitigate its growing power in the Indo-Pacific region (Allison, 2017). Historically, China has exhibited strong sensitivity to alliances viewed as encirclement strategies, reminiscent of the containment dynamics of the Cold War.

This historical context intensifies China's apprehension towards the Quad, which it perceives as a coordinated effort to subvert its sovereignty and regional ambitions. The Quad poses a significant strategic obstacle to China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) and its broader objectives of regional dominance (Green & Medeiros, 2020).

These concerns are intensified by the Quad's creation of a complex network of interconnected trade and security frameworks, which jeopardise China's economic influence and enhance the strategic standing of its regional rivals. Thus, China's reaction to the Quad is marked by a sophisticated and complex policy, skilfully crafted to alleviate this perceived threat while simultaneously strengthening its own influence and asserting its dominance in the Indo-Pacific region.

Furthermore, China's ideological position significantly influences its interpretation of the Quad. The ideological rift between China's authoritarian government and the democratic standards advocated by Quad members highlights a fundamental conflict of values. This duality impacts both diplomatic relations and public sentiment in the region. China views the Quad's promotion of a "free and open Indo-Pacific" as an ideological challenge, characterizing it as an effort to advance Western standards and subvert its governance model (Reilly, 2012).

Consequently, Beijing aims to contradict this narrative by underscoring its dedication to non-interference, mutual respect, and regional sovereignty, thereby positioning itself as a proponent of emerging states against perceived Western dominance. This ideological conflict complicates the geopolitical scene by creating a complex competition that goes beyond military or economic aspects, ultimately influencing the future of regional security dynamics in the Indo-Pacific.

A significant factor is the considerable ideological divergence between China and the Quad countries. Unlike China's autocratic government and aggressive regional strategy, the QUAD emphasises the establishment of a "free and open Indo-Pacific," highlighting a significant divergence (Reilly, 2012). Beijing perceives the Quad as an antagonistic coalition intent on subverting China's strategic interests and influence. This perspective is exacerbated by the ideological disparities between the two factions (Smith, 2020).

China has created a sophisticated strategy that integrates diplomatic and military actions to address the perceived challenges to its national security presented by the Quad. China utilises a diverse strategy in its soft power efforts, encompassing diplomatic engagement, economic initiatives, and cultural diplomacy (Nye, 2004).

China aims to augment its appeal as a prominent soft power in the Indo-Pacific by strengthening engagement with pivotal regional entities through cultural exchanges and economic incentives, including infrastructure initiatives under the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI). This strategy seeks to provide an image of collaborative leadership while also undermining the Quad's narrative by portraying China as a constructive participant in regional development.

Conversely, China's hard power strategy is characterised by key components: the augmentation of military forces, the proliferation of naval influence, and the forceful declaration of territorial claims, especially in the disputed South China Sea. The modernisation of the People's Liberation Army Navy (PLAN) has markedly enhanced China's ability to project military might across the Indo-Pacific region (Patalano, 2021).

Furthermore, China utilises coercive diplomacy, exploiting economic leverage and military intimidation to deter regional governments from forming alliances with the Quad (Green & Medeiros, 2020). This twin strategy integrating soft power operations with strong military posturing demonstrates a deliberate response to the intricacies of the geopolitical terrain, showcasing China's aim to manoeuvre and possibly undermine the Quad's influence while reinforcing its own regional position.

The strategic actions of the Chinese government are principally motivated by the necessity to protect national interests, sustain regional influence, and prevent the formation of a unified anti-China coalition. China aims to create a complex security environment that hinders the Quad's capacity to maintain a cohesive stance against its ambitions (Allison, 2017). This objective is sought by a strategic combination of gentle and strong coercion, intending to weaken Quad unity and reduce its collective effectiveness.

China's strategic reactions to the Quad have significant consequences for regional stability and the likelihood of confrontation in the Indo-Pacific. Smith (2020) asserts that the application of hard power characterized by improved military capabilities and aggressive territorial assertions has exacerbated tensions and heightened the probability of armed conflicts (Patalano, 2021). Incidents in the South China Sea and the Taiwan Strait are recognised as possible flashpoints that could evolve into major confrontations involving Quad members.

China's economic alliances and non-military influence initiatives offer both benefits and challenges, frequently serving as tools of coercion that compromise the autonomy of smaller governments and intensify regional tensions (Nye, 2004). These collaborations enhance regional development and promote interdependencies, although they require governments in the Indo-Pacific to maintain a precarious equilibrium between the economic advantages offered by China and their security obligations to the Quad (Green & Medeiros, 2020).

The changing dynamics between China and the Quad are transforming regional security frameworks. China's assertive initiatives have compelled the Quad and other regional organisations to enhance defence collaboration, resulting in the establishment of new alliances and partnerships. This realignment has the potential to create a power equilibrium that deters unilateral aggression while simultaneously escalating security worries, which may lead to greater military buildups and regional instability (Smith, 2020).

China's strategic stance regarding the Quad is closely linked to its primary goal of establishing supremacy in the Indo-Pacific while also addressing concerns of encirclement. China seeks to redefine the regional order by skilfully utilising a combination of soft and hard power to enhance its strategic advantages, hence contesting the influence of existing powers and multilateral entities like the Quad (Allison, 2017).

The ramifications of this strategic manoeuvring for regional stability are significant and complex. China's aggressive stance, together with its considerable military growth, heightens the likelihood of conflict, especially in contentious maritime areas where territorial claims are fiercely challenged. The imperative for efficient crisis management and conflict resolution methods is critical due to the significant danger of miscalculation and escalation in volatile situations (Patalano, 2021).

Furthermore, China's economic interactions and soft power strategies establish complex interdependencies among regional entities that can fulfil dual functions: they may alleviate tensions by fostering common interests or intensify conflicts through coercive measures intended to assert dominance (Nye, 2004). This duality highlights the intricacy of China's engagements in the Indo-Pacific, underscoring the necessity for astute diplomacy and strategic foresight among regional actors.

The Quad faces the difficulty of maintaining unity and strategic coherence amid China's diverse responses. To effectively oppose China's influence, it is imperative to augment military cooperation, fortify economic partnerships, and defend common democratic values and the rule of law. Moreover, the Quad must endeavour to uphold a balanced strategy, reducing aggressive posturing and eschewing activities that may be perceived as provocations. This is essential for cultivating a stable regional order that is inclusive and accommodating to all stakeholders (Green & Medeiros, 2020).

China's strategic manoeuvres in reaction to the Quad are meticulously crafted to protect its national interests and sustain regional dominance while thwarting the establishment of a unified anti-China coalition. This twin strategy utilizing both soft and physical power demonstrates China's objective to redefine the Indo-Pacific security environment to its advantage. This policy has significant implications: as China bolsters its military capabilities and forceful territorial claims, it heightens tensions in crucial regions like the South China Sea and the Taiwan Strait, augmenting the risk of confrontation.

Simultaneously, its economic initiatives may erode the autonomy of smaller governments, fostering intricate interdependencies that complicate regional politics. The Quad aims to respond effectively while confronting the issue of sustaining unity and coherence, requiring improved military cooperation and economic partnerships, all while cautiously avoiding provocations. The interaction between China's forceful manoeuvres and the Quad's reactions would profoundly impact the stability and security of the Indo-Pacific region.

5.1 Future Research Directions

This finding paves the way for further inquiry across multiple dimensions. First, investigating the responses of individual Quad members to China's activities may yield deeper insights into the internal dynamics and strategic coherence of the alliance. Analyzing how each member navigates its own national interests in the context of collective action will illuminate the strengths and potential fractures within the coalition.

Additionally, examining the perspectives of smaller regional players regarding the rivalry between China and the Quad could enhance our understanding of the complex security landscape in the Indo-Pacific. These smaller nations often possess unique insights into the regional balance of power, and their viewpoints can significantly impact the strategic calculus of larger powers. Furthermore, an analysis of the role of non-state actors, such as multinational corporations and civil society organizations, in shaping the strategic environment may uncover additional facets of this intricate geopolitical rivalry (Smith, 2020). Non-state actors often influence public opinion, economic policy, and even diplomatic relations.

In response to perceived threats, Beijing may also seek to establish alternative security frameworks and bolster alliances with states wary of Quad initiatives, while expanding its soft power initiatives to enhance its regional image. Furthermore, the competition for technological supremacy, particularly in sectors like telecommunications, will intensify, influencing both economic and security dynamics. As tensions persist, the establishment of crisis management mechanisms will become crucial in preventing miscalculations and conflicts, with the overall geopolitical landscape witnessing shifting alliances as nations navigate their positions between China and the Quad.

China's response to the Quad underscores its strategic approach to addressing broader security challenges within the Indo-Pacific region. The evolving regional order is shaped by the interplay of soft and hard power, driven by strategic, historical, and ideological objectives. This interaction significantly influences both the prospects for conflict and opportunities for collaboration, as states must navigate their competing interests while managing relationships with powerful external actors.

As the Indo-Pacific emerges as a critical arena for global power competition, it is imperative for policymakers, scholars, and stakeholders committed to fostering a stable, prosperous, and inclusive regional order to attain a comprehensive understanding of these interconnections. To navigate these challenges effectively, the Quad a coalition of democratic nations united by shared values must exercise strategic foresight, ensuring that their collective efforts contribute to a harmonious and equitable Indo-Pacific landscape.

This necessitates not only a focus on military and economic cooperation but also a commitment to addressing the underlying ideological and cultural dimensions of regional rivalries, thereby fostering an environment conducive to sustained peace and collaboration. China's burgeoning might be a pivotal element in its strategic calculus concerning the Quad and the broader Indo-Pacific region. The People's Liberation Army (PLA) has undergone a transformative modernization process, enhancing its capabilities across various domains, including naval power, cyber warfare, and missile technology.

This military advancement not only bolsters China's ability to project power but also serves as a critical deterrent against perceived threats from the Quad and other regional adversaries. Moreover, China's economic prowess significantly amplifies its strategic

influence. As the world's second-largest economy, China wields substantial leverage through initiatives like the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), which seeks to establish economic ties and infrastructure development across the Indo-Pacific.

This economic clout allows China to cultivate alliances and foster dependencies that can be strategically advantageous, potentially swaying regional countries away from alignment with the Quad. Additionally, China's diplomatic maneuvers, characterized by its assertive foreign policy and participation in multilateral forums, further enhance its global standing. By positioning itself as a champion of non-interference and development, China seeks to counteract the normative framework promoted by the Quad, which emphasizes democratic values and collective security.

In essence, China's might be manifested through military capabilities, economic influence, and diplomatic outreach plays a crucial role in its approach to the Quad, shaping both its strategic responses and the overall dynamics of power in the Indo-Pacific region.

REFERENCES

- Albert, E. (2018, February 9). China's Big Bet on Soft Power. Council on Foreign Relations.
<https://www.cfr.org/backgrounder/chinas-big-bet-soft-power>
- Allison, G. (2017). *Destined for War: Can America and China Escape Thucydides's Trap?*
 Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
- Ambrosio, E. (2021). *The Quad: An Emerging Multilateral Security Framework of Democracies in the Indo-Pacific Region*. European Parliamentary Research Service.
- Basu, Nayanima. 2021. "'No link' between Quad, Malabar Exercise & AUKUS, Foreign Secy Says ahead of Modi's US Visit." ThePrint, September 21.
<https://theprint.in/diplomacy/no-link-between-quad-malabar-exercise-aukus-foreign-secy-says-ahead-of-modis-us-visit/737505/>.
- Behera, A. (2021). China's Response to the Quad and Its Implications for the Indo-Pacific Region. *The Indian Journal of International Affairs*, 6(1), 27-46.
- Bhubhindar Singh, and Sarah Teo, eds., *Minilateralism in the Indo-Pacific: The Quadrilateral Security Dialogue, Lancang-Mekong Cooperation Mechanism, and ASEAN* (New York: Routledge, 2020)
- Bilgin, P., & Eliş, B. (2008). Hard power, soft power: Toward a more realistic power analysis. *Insight Turkey*, 10(2), 5-20.
- Bilgin, P., & Eliş, B. (2008). Hard power, soft power: Toward a more realistic power analysis. *Insight Turkey*, 10(2), 5-20.
- Blanchard, J. M. and Lu, F. (2012). Thinking Hard About Soft Power: A Review and Critique of the Literature on China and Soft Power. *Asian Perspective*, 36, 565–589.
- Borah, R. (2021). Why Quad 2.0 matters? Asia Maritime Transparency Initiative.
- Brand Finance. 2020. Global Soft Power Index 2020. Brand Finance.Brands, H. (2017-2018). The unexceptional superpower: American grand strategy in the age of Trump. *Survival*, 59(6).
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.)
- Brewster, D. (2021). Australia's view of the Indo-Pacific concept. New Delhi: India Foundation.

- Buchan, P. G., & Rimland, B. (2020). *Defining the Diamond: The Past, Present and Future of the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue*. Centre for Strategic and International Studies. Carlton, VIC: La Trobe University Press, (2018). See the discussion of these key trends in Brendan Taylor, *The Four Flashpoints: How Asia Goes to War*.
- Cha, V. D. (2017). *Powerplay: The Origins of the American Alliance System in Asia*. Princeton University Press.
- Chefitz, Gabrielle, and Sam Parker. "China's Debtbook Diplomacy: How China Is Turning Bad Loans into Strategic Investments." Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs. May 30, 2018. Accessed March 20, 2019.
<https://www.belfercenter.org/publication/chinas-debtbook-diplomacy-how-china-turning-bad-loans-strategic-investments>.
- Cho, Y. N. and Jeong, J. H. (2008). China's soft power Discussions, Resources, and Prospects. *Asian Survey*, 48:3, 453–472.
- Confucian Institute Annual Development http://english.hanban.org/node_7586.htm
- Dahl, R. (1957). The concept of power. *Behavioral Science*, 2, 201-218.
- David Rosenberg, "The rise of China: Implications for Security Flashpoints and Resource Politics in the South China Sea," in *The Rise of China in Asia: Security implications* (North Carolina State University: Strategic Studies Institute, 2002), 229–245
- Defense Intelligence Agency. (2019). China military power: Modernizing a force to fight and win. Retrieved March 20, 2019, from
http://www.dia.mil/Portals/27/Documents/News/Military%20Power%20Publications/China_Military_Power_FINAL_5MB_20190103.pdf
- Department of State. (2022, February 11). Joint statement on Quad cooperation in the Indo-Pacific. Retrieved from <https://www.state.gov/joint-statement-on-quad-cooperation-in-the-indo-pacific/>
- Develop Deterrence against Chinese Economic Coercion." Perth USAsia Centre, 2021,
https://perthusasia.edu.au/PerthUSAsia/media/Perth_USAsia/Publications/Develop-deterrence.pdf.
- Devlin, K. (2018). 5 charts on global views of China. Pew Research.
<https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2018/10/19/5-charts-on-global-views-of-china/>
- Dreher, A., Fuchs, A., Parks, B., Strange, A., & Tierney, M. J. (2017). Aid, China, and growth: Evidence from a new global development finance dataset. *Aid Data Working Paper*, 46.
- Drezner, Daniel W. "The Hidden Hand of Economic Coercion." *International Organization*, 2003, Vol.57, no. 3, 643–59. doi:10.1017/S0020818303573052.

- Farwa, U., & Siddiq, A. (2017). CPEC: Prospects of OBOR and South-South Cooperation. *Strategic Studies*, 37(3), 75–91.
- Franz-Stefan Gady, “Russia Completes Delivery of Second S-400 Regiment to China,” *The Diplomat*, February 3, 2020, at <https://thediplomat.com/2020/02/russia-completes-delivery-of-second-s-400-regiment-to-china/>; U.S. Department of Defense, Annual Report to Congress: Military and Security Developments Involving the People’s Republic of China 2019, May 2, 2019, pp. 42, 55, 57.
- Fuch, A., & Rudyak, M. (2019). The Motives of China’s Foreign Aid. In K. Zeng (Ed.), *Handbook on the International Political Economy of China*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Funaiole, M., Hart, B., Glaser, B., & Chan, B. (2021). Understanding China’s 2021 defense budget. Retrieved September 23, 2021, from <https://www.csis.org/analysis/understanding-chinas-2021-defense-budget>
- Glaser, B. S., & Murphy, M. E. (2009). Soft power with Chinese Characteristics: The Ongoing Debate. In C. McGiffert (Ed.), *Chinese soft power and its implications for the United States: Competition and cooperation in the developing world*. Center for Strategic and International Studies. (CSIS).
- Glosserman, B. (2018). The Indo-Pacific: A US perspective. *Italian Institute For International Political Studies*.
- Green, M. J. (2022, November 17). *China’s Maritime Silk Road: Strategic and Economic Implications for the Indo-Pacific Region*. <https://www.csis.org/analysis/chinas-maritime-silk-road-strategic-and-economic-implications-indo-pacific-region>
- Green, M. J., & Medeiros, E. S. (2020). The Quad Effect: Can It Survive China’s Rise? *Foreign Affairs*, 99(3), 10-21.
- Hagstrom, L., & Nordin, A. (2020). China's Politics of Harmony and the Quest for Soft
- Ham, P. V. (2005). Power, public diplomacy and the Pax Americana. In J. Melissen (Ed.), *The new public diplomacy: Soft power in international relations* (pp. 47-66). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Heng, Y. K. (2010). Mirror, mirror on the wall, who is the softest of them all? Evaluating Japanese and Chinese strategies in the ‘soft’ power competition era. *International Relations of the Asia-Pacific*, Vol. 10, 275–304.
- Holslag, J. (2020). The Politics of Hostility in Sino-American Relations: We’re Doing this to Ourselves. *International Affairs*, 96(1), 45-67.
- <https://www.cfr.org/report/understanding-chinas-military-expansion-and-implications-us-policy>.
- Janes, “China—Air Force—Air Defence Systems,” updated November 30, 2020.

- Janes, "China—Navy—Amphibious Forces," updated May 4, 2021; Nick Childs and Douglas Barrie, "Chinese military enablers benefit from sustained investment," International Institute for Strategic Studies Military Balance Blog, March 5, 2021, at <https://www.iiss.org/blogs/military-balance/2021/03/chinese-military-enablers>.
- Justifying economic coercion: the discourse of victimhood in china's unilateral sanctions policy." gloria, enrico v, pacific review: 1-31, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09512748.2021.1980605>
- Karlis, Thanasis & Polemis, Dionysios. (2019). The Belt and Road initiative. A geopolitical analysis. 10.13140/RG.2.2.12968.21764.
- Kawashima, S. (2021, March 17). China's worrying new Coast Guard law. *The Diplomat*. Retrieved March 27, 2021, from <https://thediplomat.com/2021/03/chinas-worrying-new-coast-guard-law/>
- Keohane, R. O., & Nye Jr, J. S. (1998). Power and interdependence in the information age. *Foreign Affairs*, 77, 81-94.
- Kevin L. Pollpeter, Michael S. Chase, and Eric Heginbotham, The Creation of the PLA Strategic Support Force and Its Implications for Chinese Military Space Operations, RAND Corporation, 2016.
- Khalid Mohammed, "Littoral States Conflicts in the South China Sea: A Constant Danger to Regional Peace," in South China Sea: Territorial Claims and Conflicts (New Delhi: Pentagon Press, 2019).
- Kim, Patricia M. "Understanding China's Military Expansion and Implications ..." Council on Foreign Relations. May 17, 2018. Accessed March 20, 2019.
- Kurlantzick, J. (2007). Charm Offensive: How China's Soft Power Is Transforming the World. Yale University Press. ProQuest Ebook Central. <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/uu/detail.action?docID=3420249>.
- Lawder, D., & Burns, D. (2022, April 7). Yellen says Russia should be expelled from G20, U.S. may boycott some meetings. Retrieved from <https://www.reuters.com/world/yellen-says-russia-should-be-expelled-g20-us-may-boycott-some-meetings-2022-04-06/>
- Lendon, B. (2021, April 12). US and China deploy aircraft carriers in South China Sea as tensions simmer. *CNN*. Retrieved April 21, 2021, from <https://edition.cnn.com/2021/04/12/china/south-china-sea-taiwan-military-tensions-intlhnk/index.html>
- Li, M. (2008). China Debates Soft Power. *Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 2, 287–308.

- Lior Tabansky, "Basic Concepts of Cyberwarfare," *Military and Strategic Affairs* 3, no. 3 (2011): 75–92
- Liu, W., & Dunford, M. (2016). Inclusive globalization: Unpacking China's Belt and Road Initiative. *Area Development and Policy*, 1(3), 323–340.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23792949.2016.1232598>
- Lo, J. T., & Pan, S. (2016). Confucius Institutes and China's soft power: Practices and paradoxes. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 46(4), 512–532.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03057925.2014.916185>
- Lu Zhenhua, "Update: Navy-Affiliated Academic Confirms China's Plan for Two More Aircraft Carriers," *Caixin*, September 7, 2020, at <https://www.caixinglobal.com/2020-09-07/navy-affiliated-academic-confirms-chinas-plan-for-two-more-aircraft-carriers-101602169.html>.
- M. Taylor Fravel, "Chapter 2: China's Changing Approach to Military Strategy: The Science of Military Strategy from 2001 and 2013," in *China's Evolving Military Strategy*, Joe McReynolds, ed. (Washington, DC: Jamestown Foundation, 2017), pp. 52-53.
- M. Taylor Fravel, "China's Changing Approach to Military Strategy: The Science of Military Strategy from 2001 and 2013," in McReynolds, *China's Evolving Military Strategy*, p. 59.
- Markey, D. S. (2021, April 19). Preparing for heightened tensions between China and India. *Council on Foreign Relations*. Retrieved April 22, 2021, from <https://www.cfr.org/report/preparing-heightened-tensions-between-china-and-india>
- Martin Libicki, "The Strategic Uses of Ambiguity in Cyberspace," *Military and Strategic Affairs* 3, no. 3 (2011): 3–10.
- Mastanduno, M. (2019). *Quadrophobia: The Resurrection of the Quad*. *Survival*, 61(6), 7-6.
- Mearsheimer, J. J. (2001). *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*. New York: W.W. Norton.
- Medcalf, R. (2020). *Indo-Pacific empire: China, America and the contest for world's pivotal region*. Manchester University Press.
- Ministry of External Affairs - Government of India. (2021, February 18). 3rd India-Australia-Japan-USA Quad Ministerial Meeting. Retrieved April 22, 2021, from https://www.mea.gov.in/pressreleases.htm?dtl/33540/3rd_IndiaAustraliaJapanUSA_Quad_Ministerial_Meeting
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Japan. (2021, February 11). Japan-U.S. Foreign Ministers' Telephone Talk. Retrieved April 21, 2021, from https://www.mofa.go.jp/press/release/press3e_000166.html

- Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China. (2021, March 15). Foreign Ministry Spokesperson Zhao Lijian's regular press conference on March 15, 2021. Retrieved April 26, 2021, from https://www.fmprc.gov.cn/mfa_eng/xwfw_665399/s2510_665401/t1861145.shtml
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia. (n.d.). Joint press release of the foreign ministries of the Kingdom of Cambodia, the Republic of Indonesia, and the Kingdom of Thailand. Retrieved from https://kemlu.go.id/portal/en/read/3571/siaran_pers/joint-press-release-of-the-foreign-ministries-of-the-kingdom-of-cambodia-the-republic-of-indonesia-and-the-kingdom-of-thailand
- Minnie Chan, "Chinese Navy Puts Two New Nuclear Submarines into Service," South China Morning Post, April 29, 2020, at <https://www.scmp.com/news/china/military/article/3082195/chinese-navy-puts-two-new-nuclearsubmarines-service>.
- Mirza, M. N., & Khan, F. Z. (2020). Systemic Transformations and Chinese Image of the World Order: Transcending Great Wall through Neo-Confucianism and Tianxia Systems. *Asia Pacific*, 38, 22–38.
- Myanmar Now. (2022a, June 22). Australia pulls out of ASEAN counter-terrorism meeting co-chaired by Myanmar military and Russia. Retrieved from <https://myanmar-now.org/en/news/australia-pulls-out-of-asean-counter-terrorism-meeting-co-chaired-by-myanmar-military-and>
- Myanmar Now. (2022b, July 20). US withdraws from ASEAN counter terrorism meeting. Retrieved from <https://www.myanmar-now.org/en/news/us-withdraws-from-asean-counter-terrorism-meeting>
- Nye Jr, J. S. (2015). The limits of Chinese soft power. *Project Syndicate*. Retrieved March 3, 2017, from <https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/china-civil-society-nationalism-soft-power-by-joseph-s--nye-2015-07?barrier=accesspaylog>
- Nye, J. (2004). *Soft Power: The Means to Success in World Politics*. New York: Public Affairs.
- Nye, J. (2012). China and soft power. *South African Journal of International Affairs*, 19:2, 151-155.
- Nye, J. S. (2004). *Soft Power: The Means to Success in World Politics*. Public Affairs.
- Nye, J. S. (2011). *The future of power*. New York: Public Affairs.
- Nye, J. S., & Welch, D. A. (2014). *Understanding global conflict & cooperation: intro to theory & history* (9th ed.). Essex: Pearson Education.

- Office of the Secretary of Defense. (2018). Annual report to Congress: Military and security developments involving the People's Republic of China 2018. Retrieved March 20, 2019, from https://media.defense.gov/2018/Aug/16/2001955282/-1/-1/1/2018-CHINA_MILITARY-POWER-REPORT.PDF
- Office of the United States trade representative, "The People's Republic of China | United States Trade Representative," Ustr.gov (Office of the United States Trade Representative, 2020), <https://ustr.gov/countriesregions/china-mongolia-taiwan/peoples-republic-china>.
- Paik, W., & Park, J. J. (2020). The Quad's Search for Non-military Roles and China's Strategic Response: Minilateralism, Infrastructure Investment and Regional Balancing. *Journal of Contemporary China*, 30(127), 36–52.
- Panda, J. P. (2018). India's call on China in the Quad: A strategic arch between liberal and alternative structures. *Rising Powers Quarterly*, 83-111.
- Pankaj, E. (2021). The Quad comes of age? *Geopolitical Monitor*. Retrieved May 9, 2021, from <https://www.geopoliticalmonitor.com/the-quad-comes-of-age/>
- Pant, H. V., & Das, P. (2018, April 19). China's military rise and the Indian challenge. *ORF*. Retrieved March 20, 2019, from <https://www.orfonline.org/expert-speak/china-military-rise-indian-challenge/>
- Paskal, C. (2021). Indo-Pacific strategies, perceptions and partnerships. Chatham House Research Paper.
- Patalano, A. (2021). The Indo-Pacific: A Sea of Change. *Survival*, 63(1), 27-48.
- Power in International Politics. *International Studies Review*, 22, 507–525.
- PRC State Council Information Office, China's Armed Forces in the New Era, July 2019.
- PRC State Council Information Office, China's Military Strategy, May 27, 2015.
- Randall L. Schweller, A Third-Image Explanation for Why Trump Now: A Response to Robert Jervis's President Trump and IR Theory, H-Diplo | ISSF POLICY Series, February 8, 2017, <https://issforum.org/roundtables/policy/1-5m-third-image>.
- Reilly, J. (2012). *Strong Society, Smart State: The Rise of Public Opinion in China's Japan Policy*. Columbia University Press.
- Robert O Keohane, & Joseph S Nye. (2015). Revisiting Power and Interdependence. *Uluslararası İlişkiler / International Relations*, Vol. 12, No.46,
- Ryan D. Martinson, "Deciphering China's 'World-class' Naval Ambitions," Proceedings, August 2020.

- Ryan, M. (2020, December 9). China-Australia clash: How it started and how it's going. *Nikkei Asia*. Retrieved May 12, 2021, from <https://asia.nikkei.com/Politics/International-relations/China-Australia-clash-How-it-started-and-how-it-s-going>
- Sahin, O. (2020). China's Reaction to the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (QUAD): Assessing Beijing's Response to External Encirclement. *China International Studies*, 1, 40-55.
- Sahu, A. (2020, October 13). Japan is keeping Southeast Asia at the heart of its Indo-Pacific strategy. *SCMP*.
- Salil, S. (2020). Malabar Exercise and Quad 2.0: Intent and Significance. Nedlands, Australia: Future Directions International.
- Sam, Seun. 2022. "Indo-Pacific Economic Framework Threatens ASEAN's Centrality." *Khmer Times*, May 30. www.khmertimeskh.com/501084323/indo-pacific-economic-framework-threatens-aseans-centrality.
- Sarkar, M. G. (2020). China and QUAD 2.0: Between Response and Regional Construct. *Maritime Affairs: Journal of the National Maritime Foundation of India*, 16(1), 110–130.
- Sayama, O. (2016). China's Approach to Soft Power Seeking a Balance between Nationalism, Legitimacy and International Influence. Royal United Services Institute Occasional Paper.
- Sean Mirski, "The South China Sea Dispute: A Brief History," <https://www.lawfaremedia.org/>, June 8, 2015, <https://www.lawfaremedia.org/article/south-china-sea-dispute-brief-history>.
- Shambaugh, D. (2015). China's Soft-Power Push: The Search for Respect. *Foreign Affairs*, 94(4), 99–107.
- Shen Jinlong, "Thoroughly Implement the Spirit of the 19th Party Congress, Plan and Promote Transformational Construction for the Navy in the New Era," *People's Navy*, December 13, 2017, as cited in Ryan D. Martinson, "Deciphering China's 'World-class' Naval Ambitions," *Proceedings*, August 2020.
- Shinghal, G. (2019). Positioning key stakeholders in the Indo-Pacific and the way forward. New Delhi: Delhi Policy Group Policy Paper Volume IV, Issue 6.
- Smith, J. M. (2020). Asia's Quest for Balance: China's Rise and Balancing in the Indo-Pacific. *International Security*, 45(2), 52-89.
- Sophie Yu & Byron Kaye (2020). China launches anti-dumping probe into Australian wine imports. *Reuters, Emerging Markets*. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-china-australia-wine-idUSKCN25E04I>

- Sujit kumar Dutta, “Securing the Sea Frontier: China’s Pursuit of Sovereignty Claims in the South China Sea,” *Strategic Analysis* 29 (2005): 269–294.
- Swaine, M. D. (2015). Chinese Views and Commentary on the “One Belt, One Road” Initiative. *China Leadership Monitor*, 47.
- The ASEAN Charter. 2008. Jakarta: ASEAN Secretariat.
- The Economist. (2023, April 10). Rivalry between America and China has spread to the Indian Ocean. *The Economist*.
- The Internet of Things: China’s Rise and Australia’s Choices.” Lowy Institute, 2021, <https://www.lowyinstitute.org/publications/the-internet-of-things-chinas-rise-and-australias-choices>
- The nine-dash line (also known as the eleven-dash line, the U-shaped line, and the dotted line) is a visual representation of China’s claims that appears on some Chinese official maps and comparative maps of disputed claims in the South China Sea; Alec Caruana, Maritime Affairs Program (MAP) Handbill Spotlight. Washington, DC, Vol 2, Issue 7, July 25, 2023
- The White House. (2021a, February 4). Readout of President Joseph R. Biden, Jr. call with Prime Minister Scott Morrison of Australia. Retrieved April 23, 2021, from <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/02/03/readoutof-president-joseph-r-biden-jr-call-with-prime-minister-scott-morrison-of-australia/>
- The White House. (2021b, February 8). Readout of President Joseph R. Biden, Jr. call with Prime Minister Narendra Modi of India. Retrieved April 22, 2021, from <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/02/08/readoutof-president-joseph-r-biden-jr-call-with-prime-minister-narendra-modi-of-india/>
- The White House. (2021c, March 3). Interim National Security Strategic Guidance. Retrieved April 20, 2021, from <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefingroom/statements-releases/2021/03/03/interim-national-security-strategic-guidance/>
- The White House. (2021e, March 12). Quad Leaders’ Joint Statement: “The Spirit of the Quad.” Retrieved March 19, 2021, from <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/03/12/quad-leadersjoint-statement-the-spirit-of-the-quad/>
- The White House. (2021g, April 17). U.S.-Japan Joint Leaders’ Statement: “U.S.-Japan Global Partnership for a New Era.” Retrieved April 23, 2021, from <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/16/us-japan-joint-leaders-statement-u-s-japan-global-partnership-for-a-new-era/>

- Thu, H. L. (2019). China's dual strategy of coercion and inducement towards ASEAN. *The Pacific Review*, 32(1), 20-36.
- Troy Lee-Brown, "Asia's Security Triangles: Maritime Minilateralism in the Indo-Pacific," *East Asia* 35, no. 2 (2018): 163–76.
- U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency, China Military Power: Modernizing a Force to Fight and Win, 2019, p. 85; U.S. Department of Defense, Annual Report to Congress: Military and Security Developments Involving the People's Republic of China 2020, August 21, 2020, p. 50.
- U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency, China Military Power: Modernizing a Force to Fight and Win, 2019, p. 85.
- U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency, China Military Power: Modernizing a Force to Fight and Win, 2019, p. 87; U.S. Department of Defense, Annual Report to Congress: Military and Security Developments Involving the People's Republic of China 2020, August 21, 2020, pp. 52, 73.
- U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency, China Military Power: Modernizing a Force to Fight and Win, 2019, p. 82.
- U.S. Department of Defense, Annual Report to Congress: Military and Security Developments Involving the People's Republic of China 2020, August 21, 2020, p. 50.
- U.S. Department of Defense, Annual Report to Congress: Military and Security Developments Involving the People's Republic of China 2020, August 21, 2020, pp. 51, 80, 87; Zhang Tao, "Rear Admiral: China's Development of H-20 Bomber Just in Time," China Military Online, December 7, 2016, http://english.chinamil.com.cn/view/2016-12/07/content_7396601.htm; Ankit Panda, "Revealed: China's Nuclear-Capable Air-Launched Ballistic Missile," The Diplomat, April 10, 2018, at <https://thediplomat.com/2018/04/revealed-chinas-nuclear-capable-air-launched-ballisticmissile/>.
- U.S. Department of the Treasury, "CAATSA—Russia-Related Designations," September 20, 2018, at https://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/sanctions/OFAC-Enforcement/Pages/20180920_33.aspx.
- U.S. Office of Naval Intelligence, Farragut Technical Analysis Center Naval Platforms Department, "(U) UPDATED China: Naval Construction Trends vis-à-vis U.S. Navy Shipbuilding Plans, 2020-2030," February 6, 2020, requested by Federation of American Scientists via Freedom of Information Act, at <https://fas.org/irp/agency/oni/plantrends.pdf>.

- U.S. Office of Naval Intelligence, Farragut Technical Analysis Center Naval Platforms Department, “(U) UPDATED China: Naval Construction Trends vis-à-vis U.S. Navy Shipbuilding Plans, 2020-2030,” February 6, 2020, requested by Federation of American Scientists via Freedom of Information Act, at <https://fas.org/irp/agency/oni/plantrends.pdf>.
- U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission, 2019 Annual Report to Congress, November 2019, p. 292.
- Waltz, K. (1979). *Theory of International Politics*. McGraw-Hill.
- Wang, H., and Lu, Y. C. (2008). The Conception of Soft Power and its Policy Implications: a comparative study of China and Taiwan. *Journal of Contemporary China*, 17:56, 425-447.
- Wang, Monica. "China's Strategy in Djibouti: Mixing Commercial and Military Interests." Council on Foreign Relations. April 13, 2018. Accessed April 24, 2019. <https://www.cfr.org/blog/chinas-strategy-djibouti-mixing-commercial-and-military-interests>.
- Westcott, B. (2021, April 22). Australian government tears up state’s Belt and Road agreement with China. *CNN*. Retrieved April 23, 2021, from <https://edition.cnn.com/2021/04/22/business/australia-china-belt-and-road-initiative-intlhnk/index.html>
- White House. (2021a, March 12). Quad leaders’ joint statement: "The spirit of the Quad." Retrieved from <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/03/12/quad-leaders-joint-statement-the-spirit-of-the-quad/>
- White House. (2021c, September 24). Joint statement from Quad leaders. Retrieved from <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/09/24/joint-statement-from-quad-leaders/>
- White House. (2021e, September 24). Quad principles on technology design, development, governance and use. Retrieved from <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/09/24/quad-principles-on-technology-design-development-governance-and-use/>
- Wilkins, T. S. (2018). Australia and the Indo-Pacific: A region in search of a strategy, or a strategy in search of a region. *Institute per gli Studi Di Politica Internazionale*.
- Wooyeal Paik and Jae Jeok Park. “The Quad’s Search for Non-Military Roles and China’s Strategic Response: Minilateralism, Infrastructure Investment, and Regional Balancing,” *Journal of Contemporary China* (2020): 1–17

- Xin, J., & Matheson, D. (2018). One Belt, Competing Metaphors: The Struggle over Strategic Narrative in English-language News Media. *International Journal of Communication*, 12(0), Article 0. <https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/8580>
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods*. Sage Publications.
- You Ji, "China's Emerging Indo-Pacific Naval Strategy", *Asia Policy*, 22 (2016), p. 14.
- Yuan, W., & Lanteigne, M. (2018). China's Maritime Silk Road Initiative and South Asia. *Asian Journal of Political Science*, 26(3), 246-267.
- Zeng, X. (2014). Interpreting the Soft Power of the Chinese Dream. *Fujian Theory Studies*, 8, 4-6.
- Zhang, F. (2015). *Xi Jinping: How to read Confucius and other Chinese Classical Thinkers*. CN Time Books.