

**The Interplay of Libertarian Municipalism and Social Darwinism in
Starhawk's *The Fifth Sacred Thing***



Name: Syed Mushahid Hussain Shah

Supervisor: Dr. Mahmood Ul Hassan

Reg no: 682-FLLMSENG/F21

DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH
FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE
INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY, ISLAMABAD

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise to Allah Almighty, who gave me the strength and inspiration to complete this study. I owe a great debt of the sincerest gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Mahmood Ul Hassan, for his unstinting guidance and support. I am thankful to all of my teachers, family and friends for their support.

Mushahid

ABSTRACT

This study addresses critical gaps in existing scholarship on Starhawk's *The Fifth Sacred Thing* by interpreting San Francisco as a stateless, directly democratic commune grounded in anarchist political philosophy—particularly Bookchin's libertarian Municipalism and Kropotkin's Mutual aid. Through this lens, the research elucidates how San Francisco functions as a literary projection of anarchist praxis, mirroring real-world communes such as the Zapatistas, Rojava, Cooperation Jackson, and Venezuelan communal councils. In parallel, it critiques the dystopian Southlands as a Spencerian social Darwinist society marked by corporatism and hierarchical domination—an ideological framework largely overlooked in prior analyses. Rather than treating these models as detached narrative devices, the study interprets their interplay as a site of ideological confrontation, wherein the ethics of mutual aid and decentralised self-governance in San Francisco symbolically and narratively negate the authoritarian logic of Spencerian social Darwinism in Southlands. Through close reading, this research has revealed how the novel enacts anarchist praxis by depicting the restoration of commons, ecological ethics, usufruct, municipalisation, and the irreducible minimum as lived principles. The study extends anarchist theory—specifically Libertarian Municipalism—into a literary criticism, offering a new interpretive model that contributes to the emerging field of anarchist literary studies and reclaims anarchism as a generative theoretical framework within Pakistani academic discourse.

Key words:

Libertarian Municipalism, Mutual Aid, Spencerian Social Darwinism, Starhawk, The Fifth Sacred Thing, Speculative Fiction

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| CHAPTER 1..... | 5 |
| INTRODUCTION: ANARCHIST PRAXIS IN NEGATION OF SPENCERIAN SOCIAL DARWINISM..... | 5 |
| 1.1. The Post-Apocalyptic Setting: Ecological Collapse and Social Fragmentation..... | 7 |
| 1.2. Utopia and Dystopia as Literary Constructs..... | 9 |
| 1.3. Statement of the Problem..... | 12 |
| 1.4. Methodology..... | 13 |
| 1.5. Significance of the Study..... | 14 |
| 1.6. Research Objectives..... | 15 |
| 1.7. Research Questions..... | 15 |
| 1.8. Delimitation of the Study..... | 15 |
| 1.9. Chapter Division..... | 17 |
| CHAPTER 2..... | 19 |
| BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY..... | 19 |
| 2.1 Unexplored Dimensions in Existing Scholarship..... | 26 |
| 2.2. Anarchist Constructs of Libertarian Municipalism and Mutual Aid..... | 28 |
| 2.3. Understanding Spencerian Social Darwinism..... | 33 |
| 2.4 Mutual aid as Negation of Social Darwinist Ideals..... | 37 |
| 2.5. Ontological Viewpoint of the Study..... | 41 |
| CHAPTER 3..... | 42 |
| LIBERTARIAN MUNICIPALISM AND MUTUAL AID IN SAN FRANCISCO..... | 42 |
| 3.1 Municipal Assembly: Framework of Self-Governance..... | 42 |
| 3.2. Municipalist Economy in Praxis..... | 51 |
| 3.3. Integration of Social Ecology and Libertarian Municipalism..... | 66 |
| 3.4. San Francisco as an Organic Society..... | 69 |
| CHAPTER 4..... | 73 |
| NEGATION OF SPENCERIAN SOCIAL DARWINISM IN SOUTHLANDS..... | 73 |
| 4.1 Hierarchy and Domination as Structural Basis of Southlands..... | 73 |
| 4.2. Capitalism as Perpetuator of the Fittest Individuals..... | 77 |
| 4.3. Starvation as a Natural Law of Extinction of the Weaker Species..... | 79 |
| 4.4. Systemic Racism, Eugenics and Exclusivity..... | 81 |
| CHAPTER 5..... | 83 |
| CONCLUSION..... | 83 |
| 5.1 San Francisco as a Literary Projection of Anarchist Communes..... | 84 |
| 5.2. Southlands as a Site of Ideological Confrontation..... | 88 |
| 5.3. Future Directions (for Research in Anarchist Literary Studies)..... | 93 |
| Works Cited..... | 96 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION: ANARCHIST PRAXIS IN NEGATION OF SPENCERIAN SOCIAL DARWINISM

The unprecedented convergence of ecological degradation, political authoritarianism, and social disintegration in contemporary realities invites renewed scholarly inquiry into the role of literature in imagining—and contesting—these crises. It is within this context that *The Fifth Sacred Thing* by Starhawk emerges as an especially potent narrative site. Yet, while the novel is widely read for its spiritual, ecological, and feminist overtones, this research contends that its political imagination—particularly its anarchist resonances—has remained largely unexamined in critical discourse. This study intervenes at this juncture, arguing that *The Fifth Sacred Thing* is best understood as a narrative terrain where anarchist political theory, especially Libertarian Municipalism and Mutual Aid, is not merely reflected but enacted.

The portrayal of San Francisco in the novel, though often idealised as a peaceful utopia, is here reinterpreted through a more rigorous ideological lens. I argue that its structural foundations—statelessness, decentralised democracy, ecological ethics, and consensus-based governance—are not incidental aesthetic choices but deliberate literary constructions that closely align with Murray Bookchin's libertarian municipalist framework and Peter Kropotkin's Mutual aid. Although it is not explicitly stated within the text, this research seeks to foreground anarchist paradigms as the guiding logic of San Francisco's communal life. Similarly, the Southlands—marked by racial exclusion, rigid hierarchies, corporatism, and a ruthless ethos of “survival of the fittest”—are read here as embodying the socio-political implications of Spencerian social Darwinism. This analytical pairing, anarchism versus social Darwinism, has

not been previously drawn out in existing literature, and it is precisely this ideological confrontation that I identify as central to the novel's narrative structure.

The study posits that *The Fifth Sacred Thing* is not merely a story of utopia versus dystopia, but a site of dialectical tension between two conflicting socio-political visions. The anarchist commune of San Francisco ideologically and narratively negates the authoritarian, Darwinist logic of the Southlands. This study maintains that this narrative is neither apolitical nor utopian in the pejorative sense, but rather represents a prefigurative critique of domination and an imaginative space for constructing emancipatory alternatives. The novel's speculative landscape becomes, through this lens, a form of anarchist praxis in literature.

Moreover, this research takes the analysis beyond the textual boundaries by underpinning its interpretation with a study of real-life communes and intentional communities that share ideological commonalities with the San Francisco of the novel. By doing so, it aims to test the literary vision against existing historical and contemporary communal practices—thus anchoring the literary analysis in lived realities. This comparative approach challenges the perception of utopian fiction as escapist, instead demonstrating how literature can serve as a blueprint for real-world socio-political transformation.

Through this intervention, the study seeks to extend the scope of Libertarian Municipalism by establishing its relevance not only as a political program but also as a literary theoretical framework. This expansion is neither self-evident nor neutral—it is a deliberate theoretical act, proposed and defended herein. By integrating anarchism into literary criticism, and by interpreting San Francisco not merely as a fictional refuge but as a radical communal possibility, this study contributes to anarchist literary criticism, an emergent field with immense potential yet little scholarly development, especially within Pakistani academia.

This introduction lays the foundation for a study that is not merely descriptive but analytical, not just appreciative of utopian ideals but committed to ideological excavation. It locates *The Fifth Sacred Thing* as a complex interplay of resistance and imagination, where literature operates as political theory, and where the seeds of new worlds are sown in the soil of speculative fiction. Through the lens of anarchist theory, this research endeavors to articulate the political stakes of Starhawk's narrative and to introduce anarchism as a viable, rigorous, and visionary interpretive model in literary studies.

1.1. The Post-Apocalyptic Setting: Ecological Collapse and Social Fragmentation

The aftermath of an ecological apocalypse, a catastrophic event that alters the social and environmental landscapes of the former United States, serves as the backdrop for *The Fifth Sacred Thing*. The causes of apocalypse are depicted as unbridled expropriation of resources springing from an ideology of growth for growth's sake with dire repercussions for human civilization. This collapse, rather than serving as the novel's backdrop alone, is a fundamental factor in the formation of the two antithetic clashing ideologies placed in praxis in San Francisco and the Southlands respectively. Starhawk examines capacity in human beings for resistance and rejuvenation in the face of systemic failure while negating unsustainable ideals of industrial capitalism. The centralisation of power, hierarchical structures and greed result in domination and dehumanisation, ignorant of interconnection of all life culminating in the ecological apocalypse. Decline of biodiversity, desertification, tracts of uninhabitable lands and rising sea levels ravage earth during ecological crisis. Ruins replace cities previously associated with advancements in technology and industry demonstrating vulnerability of man-crafted systems when alienated from natural balance. The novel functions as warning against the possible

repercussions of current depletion of resources, climate change, and environmental destruction as detailed in apocalyptic imagery.

Two ideologically antithetical paradigms emerge in response to this breakdown. San Francisco stands out as a ray of hope and embodiment of ecological stewardship, equality and communal resilience. San Francisco replaces exploitative structures that brought about the end of the world with cooperative, decentralised and directly democratic sociopolitical structures reliant on permaculture, renewable energy, shared resources and Mutual aid underscoring the idea that human survival is viable only through peaceful coexistence with the natural world. This way San Francisco works with a vision of restoration and rejuvenation by incorporating ecological ethics into each facet of everyday life in the wake of devastation.

Southlands, on the other hand, embodies exacerbated continuation of exploitative, hierarchical structures that serve the minority of elites and keeps the masses in fear. Administered under the rule of authoritarian Stewards, Southlands centralise power and wealth in hands of privileged minority while masses suffer from ecological degradation and systemic injustice. The lifeless and desolate landscapes in the Southlands are demonstrations of unbridled exploitation, centralised powers, and treatment of nature as a great machine meant to be controlled and dominated. This dystopian imagery serves as critique on the contemporary depletion of resources and domination of nature under industrial capitalism.

The way San Francisco and the Southlands respond to ecological collapse resulting in social fragmentation further highlight their differences. Communities throughout the former US struggle with disintegration of established social institutions in the wake of the apocalypse. San Francisco responds to this fragmentation by dismantling hierarchical structures and encouraging mutual aid, cooperation and inclusivity that gives residents a feeling of purpose and belonging.

In contrast, Southlands use division and fear to exercise domination and solidify its centralised power by rather taking advantage of social fracture. This contrast in approaches exposes the corrupting nature of power and exclusion while highlighting the value of teamwork and camaraderie in reestablishing society from ground-up.

Starhawk's depiction of post-apocalyptic scenarios in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* serves as a manifesto for building futures as well as a critique of the current state of affairs. The story stresses urgency necessitated to address ecological and social inequalities by portraying the consequences of hierarchical systems dominating nature and humans alike. At the same time, it demonstrates how values like resilience, sustainability, direct democracy, nonhierarchical and cooperative structures have potential to recover and prosper. *The Fifth Sacred Thing*'s post-apocalyptic setting is an essential component to understand themes of renewal and destruction symbolised in San Francisco and Southlands respectively.

1.2. Utopia and Dystopia as Literary Constructs

For many years, authors have experimented with utopian and dystopian literary forms to envision different futures and question accepted social mores. Utopias are either critiques on dangers lurking behind visionary ideals or possible representations of futures. In the study of *The Fifth Sacred Thing* utopia implies the second meaning, that is experimenting with different future ideals. San Francisco in the novel utopia in the sense that it is an idealised commune devoid of contemporary predicaments and provides in praxis and vision fixes for social, political and economic problems. On the other hand Southlands, as dystopia, serves as a warning about the possible perils of centralised power, inequality, and ecological degradation.

Both of these concepts are used by Starhawk in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* to examine the conflict between oppression and freedom, hope and despair. We may better comprehend how Starhawk's

book interacts with these topics and challenges both historical and contemporary societal systems by looking at how utopia and dystopia serve as conceptual and literary devices.

Fundamentally, the idea of utopia, which Thomas More first introduced in his work *Utopia*, depicts a fictional community that upholds the principles of equality, justice, and peace. In the fictitious island community of More's *Utopia*, all private property was abolished and rules were created to advance the common welfare. Even though More's writings were a critique of modern European civilization, they also provided a plan for a better world—one in which people may live in harmony and peace. Since then, utopian literature has broadened to include a variety of political, social, and environmental objectives; ideas like direct democracy, communal living, and ecological sustainability are frequently included.

However, utopian fiction has its challenges. Many works in the genre of utopia fiction grapple with limitations and contradictions inherent in such ideal societies. Utopian adherence to a singular ideal often raises questions about individual freedom, diversity, and potential for coercion. History has witnessed ideals of utopian nature in praxis that have resulted in totalitarian and authoritarian systems with subsequent terror exercised on the masses who initially handed over the power to the ones in control. In stark contrast to such totalitarian ideals that seek centralised power and domination, clothed in progressive deceits, San Francisco is an anarchist commune that envisions individual, collective and ecological relations as interdependent in function where collective autonomy is incomplete without individual autonomy depicted in directly democratic processes and consensus-based decision making systems introduced in the city council. Extreme decentralisation of power and abolishment of hierarchical structures makes it impossible to hold or wield power on others, and without centralised power imposition of decisions is an impracticable option. The defence committee of

San Francisco includes twelve old women since the need for coercive force is deemed irrelevant because citizens practice direct democracy and make their own rules. Starhawk depicts San Francisco in realistic fashion, since this commune has implemented such ideals of ecological sustainability and direct democracy, it still simultaneously grapples with difficulties in the struggle against armed forces of Southlands.

Dystopia, on the other hand, emerged in literature as a way to critique and explore the negative consequences of political, socio-economic and technological systems. Dystopia is deemed as the opposite of utopia- characterised by suffering, injustice and oppression. While utopian literature often presents a vision of future society or critique on visionary ideals, the dystopian literature focuses on the opposite, that is, how society might deteriorate if particular trends or systems continue to persist unchecked. Dystopian fiction often imagines a future where technological advances, unchecked capitalism or totalitarian regimes lead to wide-spread suffering, environmental destruction or erosion of individual autonomy. Like George Orwell's 1984, depicts a society where the government exercises total control over everyday aspects of life, including thought and speech Orwell's critique through this dystopian work targets totalitarianism and the loss of individual freedoms under authoritarian Marxism. Similarly, Aldous Huxley's Brave New World imagines a society where people are controllable by pleasure, consumerism, and a carefully constructed system of social engineering. These works are known dystopian fictions.

The Fifth Sacred Thing operates within dystopia tradition, but with a unique twist, it portrays a clear alternative to dystopian society while providing critique on dystopia. While the Southlands in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* represent a dystopian society marked by environmental collapse, authoritarianism, and social inequality, San Francisco offers a regenerative vision of an

alternative society grounded in principles of Mutual aid, decentralised power and ecological balance. This duality between utopia and dystopia creates an interplay of paradigms between the two antithetic models, inviting readers to ponder over the actions and consequences of their own socio-economic and political decisions.

The use of utopia and dystopia as literary tools allows for the exploration and investigation of speculative possibilities that can serve as viable means of social commentary. The interplay of utopia and dystopia in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* is therefore more than simply a juxtaposition of two opposing worlds, it is a dynamic exploration of the tensions between the ideal and the real, the possible and the impossible. By situating her narrative within this framework, Starhawk encourages readers to question their assumptions about what is possible, offering a powerful critique of the systems that perpetuate injustice and environmental destruction while simultaneously offering a hopeful vision of a future rooted in cooperation, sustainability, and social equity.

To sum up, Starhawk uses utopia and dystopia as literary devices in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* to critically analyse the effects of contemporary ecological, political, and economic tendencies. Starhawk asks readers to envision a different future and asks them to think about how they might influence it by portraying two opposing societies: one is a positive picture of what might be, while the other is a warning about what might occur. The novel's examination of these two diametrically opposed ideologies emphasises how crucial social justice, environmental conservation, and group efforts are to building a world that is genuinely habitable for everybody.

1.3. Statement of the Problem

Although *The Fifth Sacred Thing* by Starhawk presents a complex ideological landscape, several key dimensions remain critically underexplored in existing scholarship. The novel juxtaposes a

utopian San Francisco—depicted as a stateless, directly democratic commune—with the dystopian Southlands, marked by racism, authoritarian centralization, corporatism, and the ideology of “survival of the fittest” rooted in Spencerian Social Darwinism. However, the portrayal of San Francisco as a stateless utopia has not been examined through the lens of anarchist theoretical constructs, particularly those of libertarian municipalism by Murray Bookchin and mutual aid by Peter Kropotkin.

Moreover, the novel’s ideological positioning of San Francisco as the antithesis to the dystopian Southlands—and the implicit negation of the latter’s social Darwinist foundations—has not been studied in depth. This study seeks to fill these gaps by analyzing San Francisco through anarchist frameworks and interrogating how these anarchist principles serve to challenge, critique, and symbolically negate the authoritarian and social Darwinist ideology embedded in the Southlands. In doing so, it aims to uncover the ideological interplay between these contrasting socio-political visions and contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the novel’s political imagination.

1.4. Methodology

Close reading analysis, though often associated with formalist criticism, is a common technique employed in literary studies. Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar’s close reading analysis of Jane Austen under feminist lenses and Fredric Jameson’s close reading analysis techniques under Marxist lenses, as mentioned in the *The Close Reading: The Reader* (Lentricchia 30), are the illustrations of close reading analysis employed to uncover the sociopolitical and philosophic underpinnings conveyed by the text (Lentricchia 30). Similarly, this research employs close reading of Starhawk’s *The Fifth Sacred Thing*. Thus, the research is entirely based on my reading of the novel that provides textual analysis. It examines libertarian Municipalist and Mutual aid

ideals in the utopia of San Francisco, and then identifies and negates ideals of Spencerian Social Darwinism in the dystopia of Southlands, both in Starhawk's *The Fifth Sacred Thing*.

1.5. Significance of the Study

This study makes a timely contribution to the underexplored ideological tensions within speculative fiction, specifically examining the confrontation between anarchism and Spencerian social Darwinism in Starhawk's *The Fifth Sacred Thing*. By interpreting San Francisco as a stateless, directly democratic commune rooted in Bookchin's Libertarian Municipalism and Kropotkin's Mutual Aid, and by situating it in analogy with real-world communes such as the Zapatistas, Rojava, Cooperation Jackson, and Venezuelan community councils, the research affirms the viability of anarchist socio-political paradigms in both literary and practical terms. The ideological confrontation between San Francisco and the Southlands—depicted as a dystopian embodiment of Spencerian social Darwinism—becomes the central terrain through which the novel enacts a narrative negation of domination, corporatism, and exclusion.

This ideological interplay is not merely speculative but is grounded in anarchist theory, extending its relevance into the literary-critical field. By integrating textual analysis with real-life anarchist experiments in communal living, mutual aid, decentralisation, ecological ethics, and the restoration of the commons, the study asserts that *The Fifth Sacred Thing* is not a utopian fantasy but a literary enactment of anarchist praxis. The analysis foregrounds San Francisco's model of communal organisation as a framework for radical imagination that challenges prevailing ideological assumptions.

Within the Pakistani academic context, where anarchism remains underrepresented or mischaracterised, the study offers an alternative interpretive model that corrects this absence. It reclaims anarchism as a legitimate theoretical framework—distinct from chaos or disorder—and

underscores its core values of cooperation, autonomy, and non-hierarchical self-governance. This methodological intervention opens new directions for literary studies in Pakistan, offering scholars these new anarchist frameworks to analyse literature as a dynamic space for contesting ideologies and imagining alternative futures.

1.6. Research Objectives

This study intends to meet the following objectives:

1. to explore the utopia of San Francisco in Starhawk's *The Fifth Sacred Thing* in libertarian Municipalist and Mutual aid prospects as praxis;
2. to negate the dystopia of Southlands in the novel and its ideological framework of Spencerian Social Darwinism-borne laissez-faire liberalism, racism, and rugged individualism through theories of Municipalism and Mutual aid.

1.7. Research Questions

1. How does the utopia of San Francisco bring libertarian Municipalist and Mutual aid ideals to praxis in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*?
2. How do Municipalism and Mutual aid negate the Spencerian Social Darwinism prevalent in the dystopia of Southlands in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*?

1.8. Delimitation of the Study

This study maintains delimitations in scope and focus to ensure theoretical precision and analytical depth. It confines itself to the study of a single textual site, Starhawk's *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, a novel which already depicts within its narrative architecture two adjacent fictional sites of utopia and dystopia, San Francisco and Southlands, respectively. This interplay of these two diametrically opposed socio-political constructs provides an internally complete field for critical inquiry. As such there was no scholarly necessity to extend the scope toward

comparative texts or external case studies, for the novel itself stages the ideological confrontation central to the aims of this research. Secondly, although the novel is rich in intersecting paradigms, spirituality, neopaganism, ecofeminism, indigenous cosmologies, this research foregrounds a close reading grounded in anarchist constructs. Intersecting researches in existing scholarship are underpinned insofar when they directly contribute to or intersect with anarchist praxis of San Francisco and its negation of social Darwinist structures. This delimitation avoids thematic dilution and ensures consistency in theoretical focus. Thirdly, the anarchist frameworks employed in this research, Murray Bookchin's libertarian Municipalism and Kropotkin's Mutual aid, are consciously selected for their structural resonance with the communal and decentralised ethos of San Francisco. Other anarchist strains, such as anarcho-syndicalism, primitivism, or insurrectionary anarchism, are not incorporated, as their operational logic diverges from the municipalist, directly democratic paradigm explored herein. Fourth, the methodology is delimited to close reading of the text and utilisation of frameworks aforementioned. The study does not concern itself with reader reception, or biological perspectives on the author. Lastly, the research is limited to the study of these ideological constructs only with *The Fifth Sacred Thing* to examine how speculative fiction can function as a prefigurative space for imagining future societies and criticising hierarchical systems.

1.9. Chapter Division

Chapter 1, "Introduction: Anarchist Praxis in Negation of Spencerian Social Darwinism," lays the theoretical groundwork for the study by outlining the research problem, objectives, methodology, and the ideological lens through which The Fifth Sacred Thing is examined. This chapter identifies key gaps in existing scholarship and argues for an anarchist reading of the novel, situating San Francisco and Southlands as antithetical ideological paradigms whose

narrative interplay forms the central axis of analysis. Chapter 2, “Background of the Study,” offers a comprehensive literature review and contextual grounding. It explores the existing academic engagements with ecofeminism, spirituality, and utopianism in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* while highlighting the absence of anarchist theoretical perspectives and the neglected presence of Spencerian Social Darwinist ideology in prior research. This chapter situates the current study within these critical omissions. Chapter 3, “Libertarian Municipalism and Mutual Aid in San Francisco,” closely analyzes the utopian society of San Francisco through the frameworks of Murray Bookchin’s Libertarian Municipalism and Peter Kropotkin’s Mutual Aid. The chapter investigates the decentralized, directly democratic structures of governance, municipalist economy, and ecological ethics that form the ideological backbone of San Francisco. These systems are studied as conscious political praxis embedded in narrative form. Chapter 4, “Negation of Spencerian Social Darwinism in Southlands,” turns toward the dystopian Southlands to examine its ideological foundations in Spencerian social Darwinism. Through a close reading of its hierarchical structures, racism, corporatism, and survivalist logic, this chapter exposes how Southlands functions as a literary embodiment of social Darwinist ideology. It further elucidates how San Francisco’s anarchist constructs narratively and ideologically negate the Southlands, creating a space of ideological confrontation and resistance.

Chapter 5, “Conclusion,” synthesizes the findings of the research and reflects upon the novel’s broader political imagination. It reiterates how *The Fifth Sacred Thing* offers a narrative terrain for exploring anarchist alternatives to capitalist and hierarchical systems. The chapter also outlines potential future research directions, especially within the domains of anarchist literary criticism and ideological studies in speculative fiction.

CHAPTER 2

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Previous studies investigate utopia/dystopia in Starhawk's novel *The Fifth Sacred Thing* mainly with focus on neopaganism, ecofeminism, ecology-related dimensions and alternative possible future societies. This novel has been studied for its intricate portrayal of environmental degradation intertwined with societal and gender issues. Perrin examines in comparative dimension that *The Fifth Sacred Thing* and Silko's *Ceremony* share a thematic emphasis on ecological crises, symbolised by drought, and both use apocalyptic mode as a framework to critique human domination of nature. Despite being divergent from Christian theology, these texts paradoxically draw upon apocalyptic motifs rooted in discourse of the New Testament (Perrin 301-313). Another study finds that the ecofeminist paradigm within the novel extends beyond environmental concerns to address interplay of gender, spirituality and community, comparing it to Atwood's dystopian fiction, which critiques androcentric structures and patriarchy that perpetuate domination of nature and women.

The Fifth Sacred Thing further depicts peaceful coexistence among all species, genders, human and non-human entities. These principles align with the philosophy of ecofeminism, highlighting inseparability of ecological sustainability and gender equity. Senel asserts that the novel's narrative warns against sustaining patriarchal and hierarchical paradigms as both result in gender discrimination and domination of nature (Senel 6). Another study discusses the portrayal of debate and dissent as integral to social change in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, while exploring participatory governance and collective decision making as recurrent themes in utopian

literature. Starhawk diverges from Callenbach's organic portrayal of consensus-building by depicting it as a dialectical process involving contest and debate, where dissenting voices are afforded legitimacy alongside supporters (Neyt 23).

Furthermore, the novel engages with speculative fiction's potential to reimagine social relations with nature and each other. As phenomenological interplay between perceiver and the perceived, patterns of touch and sensation, in tactile imagery appear as repeated symbols in the novel. These types of imagery reflect ecofeminist speculative fiction in exploration of interconnectedness and reciprocity. Drawing on this materialist epistemology, Bouttier notes that the paradigm of shared "flesh" between world and humans is symbolised in the transfer of qualities between the touched and the touching (Bouettier 114). Ecofeminism and neo-paganism are extensively studied in *The Fifth Sacred* with findings on gender hierarchies and domination of nature that place women and nature as inferior and dominated, a relationship Starhawk disrupts in her depiction of San Francisco that positions women in leading roles in revolution and thereby challenges patriarchal narratives of Southlands.

One such study stresses this perspective by examining natural degradation and women's role in attaining sustainability to insist that the dualistic perspective of relations between humans and the non-human environment, between women and men in a patriarchal fashion subsequently devalues women and nature as déclassé; hence finds a priori ecofeminist argument that women's oppression is linked with ecological degradation. This feminist approach to ecological crises demonstrates that empowerment of marginalised groups is integral to sustainability (Mirjalali 244). Moreover, some studies examine the spiritual dimension of neo-paganism in the novel, as various neo-pagan rituals, symbols, and practices are portrayed in the work.

The Fifth Sacred Thing discusses polyamorous healing rituals performed by Madrone, implying subversion of binary oppositions and celebration of multiplicity in divinity. Neo-pagan ideals uphold polyamorous acts as a departure from hierarchical and exclusive religious traditions (Kraemer 65). Neo-pagan regard for ecosystems and interconnectedness of life forms is examined in the reverence for interspecies solidarity (Hendlin 532). This study highlights the references to bees and their symbolic spiritual evocation as collective ethos, underlining the need of cooperation and mutual usefulness across interspecies relations to achieve sustainability. The ecological and neo-pagan spiritual motifs converge in the treatment of natural elements, fire, water, earth and air, deemed as sacred. San Francisco in the novel upholds these elements as essential to life, therefore sacred and meant to be preserved and celebrated.

The narrative of the novel highlights the role of women in attaining sustainability on the planet which entails conserving water, turning to renewable energy sources, and safeguarding biodiversity *inter alia*. The environmental variables; water, fire, and earth—that nourishes all life are revered in this utopian/dystopian novel's utopian north, where women make up the majority of administration and defence forces (Pavithra 307). Avachar has studied depletion of ozone layer in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* highlighting interconnection between patriarchal structures of domination and ecological issues under ecofeminist lenses (Avachar 4). While another study interrogates modern technology, particularly industrialisation and its links to ecological crises with regards to implications on social well-being, elaborating on the need for ecofeminist discourse to address environmental degradation (Sanati 6). A comparative study of communities that heal nature in utopia fiction credit feminism with values and practices required to build non-hierarchical societies as depicted in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* to resolve ecological crises (Mebane-Cruz 3).

These ecofeminist and neopagan studies have examined interplay of ideological dimensions of the novel and performed critique on patriarchal and ecocidal tendencies, while also providing alternative neo-pagan alternative visions rooted in ideals of equity and interconnectedness. The explored ideals include intersections of gender, spirituality, and ecological ethics in such studies.

Fuller questions the moral narrative of the novel; whether the means shape the ends and one becomes what one does, in the light of the novel to examine foreign policies of the U.S and teach peace in the feminist classroom, by addressing the moral dilemma faced by citizens of utopian San Francisco, whether to adhere to nonviolent values at the risk of annihilation or to engage in violent resistance and risk embodying ideals of the oppressors (Fuller 32). This dilemma is solved by invitational rhetoric whereby the exploited and starving army of Steward regime is invited for food and offered better livability in exchange for peace, this rhetorical invitation as feminist tactic creates structures that give voice to marginalized communities and makes them feel valued. By juxtaposing the coercive brutality of the Southlands with invitational rhetoric of San Francisco, narrative of the novel also critiques the inherent destructiveness within oppressive systems while highlighting viability of cooperative feminist ideals (Lozano 72). This contrast emphasizes how domination leads to collapse, whereas inclusive and egalitarian structures sustain communities.

Starhawk contrasts the dystopian Steward regime with the utopian city of San Francisco in the novel, and this contrast and interplay provides a critique and hope to readers (Hamraie 416). The narrative in feminist contexts a foundation for feminist intellectual utopian projects by depicting women's leading participation in San Francisco and negating patriarchal norms and structures (Winter 147). Scholars have extensively examined the utopian vision articulated in

The Fifth Sacred Thing, specifically the portrayal of San Francisco, that stands as a bastion of harmonious coexistence. It shares visions of environmental stewardship, socioeconomic equality and racial inclusivity as ideals (Haran 4). The novel is portrayed as a quintessential example of speculative fiction that transcends traditional limitations, that is, it interweaves transformative and subversive viabilities into the framework of political engagement. This places *The Fifth Sacred Thing* in a pivotal position in fostering progressive ideologies that challenge oppressive ideologies and subsequent systems of power and privilege, while providing a blueprint for the socioeconomic and political activism needed to reimagine and reconstruct an ideal society (Haraway 121). The novel according to these studies is packed with aspirational political ideals that present a feminist utopia where social organisation is grounded in principles of free health, egalitarianism, and communal interdependence (Rigoglioso 175). More than theory, this vision is a powerful reaction to past and present exploitative systems, providing a radical substitute that aims to undermine hierarchical institutions and promote collective agency

Moreover, *The Fifth Sacred Thing* engages deeply with the question of gender, as some scholars have pointed out that traditional binaries are critiqued in transformative ways through the depiction of individuals existing in a redefined state of consciousness. The narrative challenges gender polarizations that have historically oppressed both individuals and social relations in a broader context. This altered consciousness negates dualistic thinking that places men over women and opens a space for more fluid and integrative approaches to gender discourse. This also places gender equality as an integral dimension to the envisioned ideal society of San Francisco in the novel and in broader perspective, vital to any vision for ideal society (Anne 52, Fancourt 109).

Ecofeminist principles in these studies have been aligned with ecological consciousness to provide a framework that connects exploitation of the environment with subjugation of marginalized groups, particularly women. By intertwining ecological sustainability with social justice these studies envision a world where human relationships are in balance with nature and concomitant abolition of domination of genders.

Beavis has explored the thematic complexities in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* by examining San Francisco as a visionary society of the future and its reconstruction. This utopian city is built from ruins of a civilization devastated by environmental degradation and edging on brink of ecological collapse. Beavis stresses that the framework of ethical principles are central to development of San Francisco, and particularly emphasizes its ecological dimensions as a desideratum for the survival of feminist utopias. The novel thus positions these ecological imperatives rather than just a response to environmental crises but as foundation in the process of creation and sustenance of an equitable and harmonious society (Beavis 48). Another study discusses Stahawk's depiction of the female body without needing to define it in terms of differences from male body. In dystopian Southlands, where rigid gender roles are enforced, Madrone saves victims of patriarchal society by relying on her female body to gain and channel magical power from bees (Johnson 4).

Moreover, Wallraven observes that technology and magical powers are amalgamated in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*'s narrative to depict an ideal society grounded in deep ecology with realisation of the interconnectedness of the cosmos in totality as well as racial and gender equality. This amalgamation is manifested in the invention of animate and conscious computer technology described in the novel (Wallraven 242). A study conducted on feminist utopian narratives discusses San Francisco in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* as a feminist utopia where each

citizen has fulfilling work, and access to food and water. The decisions are made through argumentative lengthy consensus processes, based on an ethical system rooted in earth spirituality, involving voices of affinity groups and guilds (Welser 52). Witteman views the narrative of San Francisco in the novel as a hopeful vision that abolishes domination and engages in consensual relations. She discusses how these visions serve as potential ideals for building such societies in real life (Witteman 42).

In contrast, other scholars have shifted focus to the dystopian Southlands, a setting within narrative. This region is replete with systemic oppression, invasive violence, and the oppressive consequences of capitalist and patriarchal structures. These studies demonstrate the dual critique of these values through juxtaposition of dystopia and utopia in the novel. *The Fifth Sacred Thing* is discussed as a dystopia from the dimensions of apocalypse and prophecy, though the apocalypse in the novel represents a new beginning. This apocalypse is premised in ruthless exploitation of nature and humans under neoliberal economics as self-destructive force (Cortiel 159). The dystopia of the Southlands serves as a counterpoint to ecofeminist vision in praxis as depicted in San Francisco. In particular, Southlands is portrayed as a progressive community that resists hierarchical structures and domination through practices rooted in permaculture and collectivist organisation. This provides a blueprint in action for social transformation (Moutel 5). Furthering this analysis, other studies examine the representation of social transformation as lived experience within the novel. The narrative portrays individuals and communities engaged in activism for resistance against oppressive powers and systems. This stresses agency of participation in collective action and direct action to challenge systemic inequalities and oppressive regimes. These demonstrations of successful collective action illuminate the dangers posed by unregulated corporate power and centralised power that defines the Steward's rule. This

portrayal offers a scathing critique of capitalism and its exploitative nature in perpetuation that results in misery of the masses. This pinpoints the need for collective action to envision and build alternative societies (Davis 137, Nijru 147).

Such studies provide multidimensional perspectives on *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, exploring both the perils of ecological and social degradation, and the transformative potential of ecofeminist ideals as portrayed in the narrative through juxtaposition of dystopia of Southlands and utopia of San Francisco to interrogate the consequences of unchecked centralised powers in perpetuation while simultaneously providing blueprints for collective action need to change society from ground-up with ecofeminist visions.

Another study observes that air, earth, fire, and water, as held sacred in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* can only be sustained as commons when the fifth thing that is considered sacred, which is spirit, is sustained, the spirit symbolizes freedom. This freedom implies multiculturally, racially, and by genders, all inclusive and equal, spiritual, political, and erotic freedoms. This idea is manifested in portrayal of characters with diverse sexual orientations, religions, cultures and ethnicities. Beyond all labels, the way an individual leads life and treats others becomes the point of significance and focus for all citizens (Hutchins 271).

2.1. Unexplored Dimensions in Existing Scholarship

While numerous scholarly analyses of Starhawk's *The Fifth Sacred Thing* have examined its themes of ecofeminism, social justice, neo-paganism, spirituality, and utopian visions, none have explored the text through the lens of anarchist political philosophy—a dimension deeply embedded in the novel's portrayal of San Francisco. The city is depicted as a directly democratic, stateless commune, aligning with the fundamental definition of anarchism, that is, “without (an-) ruler (arkhos)” (Vézina 93). This stateless character, far from being incidental, emerges as the

structural core of the society depicted in the novel, warranting analysis under anarchist theoretical frameworks.

Conversely, the dystopian Southlands remains under-theorised as a literary embodiment of Spencerian social Darwinism. Despite its explicit features of racism, corporatism, rigid individualism, and the exclusion of citizens deemed unfit—each a hallmark of Spencerian ideology—critical scholarship has yet to frame Southlands through this ideological lens. These characteristics are not merely dystopian in general terms, but symptomatic of a specific social Darwinist worldview, one that merits systematic interrogation.

Building upon these overlooked dimensions, the present research interprets San Francisco through anarchist constructs of Libertarian Municipalism and Mutual Aid, as theorised by Murray Bookchin and Peter Kropotkin respectively. These constructs are not only compatible with the socio-political arrangements of San Francisco, but reveal the commune as a consciously anarchist formation rooted in decentralisation, ecological ethics, mutual reliance, and consensus-based governance. In parallel, the research examines the dystopian Southlands as a textual representation of Spencerian social Darwinism, whereby authoritarian hierarchies, racial exclusion, and laissez-faire brutality are justified as natural law under the rubric of “survival of the fittest.”

Central to this study is the argument that the novel does not merely juxtapose utopia and dystopia, but rather stages a narrative and ideological confrontation between two opposing worldviews. San Francisco, in this framework, does not simply differ from Southlands—it negates it in praxis. Through its narrative structure, the novel positions anarchist ideals as a prefigurative and functional antithesis to the hierarchical and exclusionary logic of social

Darwinism. This dialectical relationship has not been critically foregrounded in prior analyses, and constitutes a significant interpretive gap this research seeks to address.

Furthermore, the commune of San Francisco is not treated herein as an isolated utopian construct, but as a literary blueprint resonant with real-life communal experiments. The study thus draws on historical and contemporary examples of stateless, directly democratic communities to illustrate the ideological and practical affinities between Starhawk's vision and lived anarchist praxis. These comparative underpinnings ground the literary analysis in socio-political realities and reinforce the argument that the novel participates in a broader tradition of anarchist prefiguration.

By synthesising these perspectives, this research contributes to the underexplored terrain of anarchist literary criticism, and advances Libertarian Municipalism as a viable interpretive model within literary studies. In doing so, it expands the scope of anarchist theory beyond political philosophy and into the literary domain, thereby articulating its potential not only as a political programme but also as a critical methodology for reading literature as a site of ideological struggle and transformation.

2.2. Anarchist Constructs of Libertarian Municipalism and Mutual Aid

Anarchism can be defined as a collection of traditions in political philosophy that aim to abolish hierarchy and establish horizontal structures. However, unlike socialists, Anarchists, rather than revolution and seizing state power, work through grassroot movements and build alternative institutions and structures from ground-up (Vézina 93).

Libertarian Municipalism and Mutual Aid are two theoretical frameworks that operate within the broader paradigm of Anarchism. This study employs theoretical constructs taken from Murray Bookchin's theory of Libertarian Municipalism, and Kropotkin's theory of Mutual aid to

study the utopia of San Francisco and negate Spencerian social Darwinism as characterised in dystopian Southlands, both in Starhawks's novel *The Fifth Sacred Thing*. There are multiple reasons why the theories are used in the study: (a) the utopia of San Francisco is stateless: that is, anarchist in sense run by councils with face-to-face democracy as prescribed by Municipalism and Mutual Aid. (b) the dystopia of Southlands has many salient features of social Darwinist nature as rampant racism, rugged individualism and corporatism led by laissez-faire "survival of the fittest" liberalism. It is, for this reason, that study uses these theories for exploring Starhawk's novel *The Fifth Sacred Thing* and integrating for the first time anarchist theory of Municipalism with literature.

Libertarian Municipalism alias dictus Communalism, is an anarchist political philosophy developed by Murray Bookchin that emphasizes the concept of "dual power", that is an organic and evolutionary process of building power from grassroots level to challenge existing hierarchical systems in power (Calvert 158, Bookchin, *SEC* 50). Unlike socialists, Municipalism advocates nonviolent strategy to resist and build ground-up alternative institutions (Bookchin, *NR* 12, 58) as means to empower local communities.

Rather than dominant paradigms, that is, privatisation as advocated by capitalism or nationalisation as upheld by authoritarian socialists, Municipalism promotes municipalisation of resources as alternative to foster integration of the means of production in the existential life of the municipality (Bookchin, *NR* 102). In this framework, means of production are democratically managed and administered by community assemblies to create a decentralised, self-sufficient, and ecologically harmonious commune. This action negates the centralisation of power and authority in hands of rulers and replaces the need for rulers with cooperative decision making processes grounded in Mutual aid and sustainability.

Social ecology has two subcategories, dialectical naturalism, that concerns ontological and methodological dimension of Bookchin's thought and libertarian Municipalism, that is political praxis of Social ecology, including dialectical naturalism as its worldview. The end goal of Municipalism is to place power in hands of citizens' assemblies, worker cooperatives, communes, cities, towns, districts through direct democracy and self-governance of communities at local level to eventually replace representation, parliamentarism and hierarchy (Sepczyńska 362). Bookchin's Dialectical Naturalism is found in premises of Kropotkin's mutualistic naturalism, that views Mutual aid as a factor of evolution in non-human natural world and humans, and thereby in interplay between both. Bookchin furthers, this paradigm by applying dialectic to argue for "unity in diversity", that is, replacing politics of difference with concept of multiplicity, implying diversity in individual personalities, cultures, societies, and so on, function as constituents of a totality of biodiversity of the non-human and natural world. This conception proposes non-hierarchical internal relations among natural and social dimensions of reality, advocating the abolition of binary thinking in favour of viewing reality as moving and "mutually transformative" in internal relations between the natural sphere and social sphere (Downes 4,6).

Libertarian Municipalism on the other hand, offers both a programme for political action and underlying social ecological principles to form a vision that has potential to build directly democratic communities challenging hierarchies while developing balance with nature (Tokar 55). In a municipalist way of life, ethics become the guiding principle, supplanting the entrenched customary focus on scarcity of resources and economic metrics, and instead stress "philia" a concept rooted in ancient Greek philosophy that advocates sense of solidarity, Mutual aid, and concern for human life and its needs. This Ethos rejects the commodification of human existence and reframes social priority from market dependent privilege to ensuring the

fulfillment of basic human needs in a non-negotiable fashion. Central to the philosophy of Municipalism is the principle of the “irreducible minimum,” which asserts that all individuals are entitled to the means of life; including essentials as shelter, healthcare, food, and other fundamental necessities for a dignified existence of all humans (Bookchin, *SEC* 104, 37).

This principle is a foundational tenet rather than mere policy to shift the focus of the socio-economic system from capital-centric to human-centric dimension by placing the preservation and enhancement of life at the core of societal organisation.

In this alternative structure of Municipalism, the socially essential properties, that is, the resources and assets needed for communal well-being, are invariably municipalised rather than privatized or nationalised. This municipalisation ensures that means of production are democratically controlled and managed at the local level to integrate them into a communal framework to guarantee betterment of society. This strategy highlights the collective responsibility to guarantee the equitable distribution of essential resources in negation of market-driven mechanisms that prioritise profit over human-life and challenges the paradigm of commodification prevalent in capitalism, that excludes and deprives humans of basic necessities.

Furthering this conception, Bookchin philosophises “usufruct”, supporting it through historical evidence, that implies shared commodities with embedded right to use rather than own. Commodities like construction materials, farming tools and more, that are required for a time and needed for sustenance of community and human life need to be shared on use-basis, available to all yet owned by none (Bookchin, *FC* 43, 37). Furthermore, Bookchin critiques the hierarchical power structures, noting that authority always remains entrenched within privileged classes of elite and rulers, unless a deliberate effort is made to create dual power structures from ground-up such as participatory democracy in decentralised local popular assemblies and councils.

In this framework, rulers are replaced by communities and individuals engaged in consensus-based decision making systems. This strategy decentralises and distributes power among all members to replace hierarchical systems (Bookchin, *SEC* 50). To Bookchin education is mistranslation of “Paideia”, which is a Greek term, and that paideia is result of active participation in directly democratic assemblies, this includes lifelong process of formation for young men, intended to make them valuable members to the polis, i.e municipal city. “Arete” which is a moral and virtual upbringing, and paideia, that is formative education, are only possibilities within a libertarian Municipalist commune through participation in the socio-economic and political affairs in local assemblies grounded in direct democracy (Bookchin, *UWC* 59).

For safeguarding the integrity and unity of communes, interconnection and collective resilience demands confederation of communes and municipalities, built upon directly democratic alliances and Mutual aid to enable all its constituent parts to collaborate towards a common goal (Bookchin, *NR* 115). Municipalism advocates inclusivity and Mutual aid to engage in cooperative efforts for change and to avoid falling into the trap of parochialist or tribal mindset, which are narrow, inward-centric identities that limit the scope of collective action and solidarity beyond their imagined circle. All such limiting ideologies as heightened sense of nationalism that binds individuals to a particular community based on culture, language or colour and alienates as result from general “interests of humanity” in totality needs to be overcome (Bookchin, *SEC* 50). Confederation of communes protects individuals from pitfalls that limit their focus on particularistic concerns of their certain group, overlooking interconnectedness of human beings as whole. Such ideologies are exclusionary in nature, fostering separation rather

than collaboration. This also ensures that the needs of all people within communes, regardless of their specific localities or identities, are given equal consideration.

Municipalism advocates transition to renewable energy sources to replace reliance on fossil fuels that contribute to environmental degradation and perpetuation of unsustainable practices. In this regard, clean energy is essential to municipalist projects for achieving long-term sustainability within a decentralised, ecological framework (Bookchin, *PSA* 65). Bookchin argues that ecological problems are rooted in social problems of hierarchy and in isolation, from broader social contexts that primarily perpetuate them, irresolvable and unintelligible. He contends that ecological crises are rooted in the social issue of hierarchy and concomitant domination of humans by other humans which moulds hierarchical mindset that desires domination over everything, including nature.

These systems of hierarchy with inherent power centralisation, whether political, economic, or social, create the conditions for environmental degradation as they prioritise profit and control rather than well-being of humans and nature. Bookchin argues any efforts to resolve ecological crises will be futile until domination is abolished, which is only achievable by dismantling hierarchies and replacing them with ground-up Municipalist projects (Bookchin, *SEC* 38).

2.3. Understanding Spencerian Social Darwinism

In contrast, social Darwinism is a theoretical construct that attempts to provide scientific and logical groundwork for capitalism, colonialism, racism, patriarchy and other systems of hierarchies. Herbert Spencer, a known British philosopher and sociologist coined the phrase “survival of the fittest for the first time during his interpretation of Charles Darwin’s evolutionary constructs in social context (Claeys 227). Spencerian implication of Darwinian

principles on society is known as social Darwinism that invents a worldview which puts forth social, ethical and economic dimensions of social organisation as extensions of the biological understanding of natural selection. Inducting from these groundings, Spender argues that competition and survival of the fittest are guiding principles in selection of social and economic systems.

Using the Darwinian framework of evolutionary theory, social Darwinism upholds hierarchical structures competitive enough to allow elimination of the “unfit” members of society and upgrade life standards of the strongest members. According to Spencer, social organisation needs to imitate natural organisation, in accordance with biological laws, to make evolution proceed forward. Thus, social Darwinism strongly supports socio-economic inequalities where fit individuals survive and rest are filtered out (McCarthy 14). Capitalism in this case fits social Darwinist ideals.

Social Darwinism provides premises of justification to the arguments for laissez-faire liberalism, a philosophy that advocates minimal or no governmental intervention in economic affairs. To social Darwinists, laissez-faire capitalism is the “natural law of economics” grounded in laws of evolution (Caudill 67). According to Spencer, the unrestricted market system under capitalism facilitates the natural competition between individuals and groups, getting rid of “unfit” members while letting the strongest individuals thrive.

Social Darwinism goes a step further, claiming that any attempt to alleviate poverty or address socio-economic inequality, disrupts the natural order and hinder evolutionary process. Laissez-faire liberalism and capitalism are deemed as natural systems fitting for elimination of the “unfit” humans as Spencer views premature death, starvation, disease, and poverty as “natural mechanisms” of ridding society of unfit individuals. He further suggests, such process

of capitalism which kills millions in poverty is inevitable and essential for human progress. While, this process may seem unkind and harsh, it is justified in its ends that serve greater purpose to ensure only capable individuals survive and progress. In this framework, inequality and suffering are applauded as inevitable consequences of the natural laws (Patterson 73).

Competitions and struggle for life are the grounding principles of social Darwinism, advocating for competition between individuals, classes, and even races. Spencer believed systems as capitalism create a natural environment where humans struggle for survival leading to evolution of the stronger and more capable individuals. Elimination of the weaker humans in favour of those who are stronger and more adaptable is natural law (Weikart 21).

Moreover, Spencer incorporates the concept of “genetic fitness” in his application of social Darwinism by suggesting that particular races, nations, groups, and individuals are inherently superior to others, providing justification for socio-economic and racial hierarchies entrenched in society. Social Darwinism views these hierarchies as natural outcomes of evolutionary processes necessary for the advancement of society as whole (Hensley 23).

These beliefs also extend to race in the context of social Darwinism, tracing races scaled on different stages of evolution. This asserts that certain races are naturally superior and that others weaker, and that less fit races, nations, groups, or individuals need to be subordinated to the stronger for their own progress. This hierarchical view of races is seen as part of natural order, where races or ethnic groups deemed unfit are required to be dominated and governed by superior ones (McCarthy 15).

Social Darwinism is also the underlying principle behind philosophy of eugenics, a movement and thought that advocates for selective breeding of humans to improve the genetic quality of humans, while making it illegal for those deemed unfit to reproduce. Eugenicists argue

that society should intervene in reproduction to encourage propagation of selective genes with superior traits. Social darwinism strongly advocates implementation of policies aimed to limit reproduction of the unfit, viewing it as a necessary step towards improvement of genetic quality of society (Blanke 29). This argument is premised in Spencer's advocating for systematic elimination of weaker humans, as individuals, classes, or races. By selective breeding of those considered fit, and eliminating the unfit, Spencerian social Darwinist framework envisions a society that has place for only the most capable individuals to survive, and capitalism in this context is held as an ideal system.

As capitalism and laissez-faire are essential for “biological progress” because they guarantee the elimination of the “unfit” and thereby helping society exterminate its, in Spencer’s words, “unhealthy, imbecile, slow, vacillating, faithless members” (Weikart 24). Conversely, Mutual aid serves as a foundational worldview for anarchism, just as social Darwinism does for capitalism and laissez-faire liberalism. Peter Kropotkin, a Russian anarchist and social scientist, developed the theory of Mutual aid in his book *Mutual aid: A Factor in Evolution*, as a antithesis to the prevalent social Darwinist views as discussed earlier. Bookchin defines Mutual aid as the inherent human instinct of cooperative sharing of things and labour, as an intrinsic feature of “organic societies” (Bookchin, SEC 37). Kropotkin examines historical and contemporary examples, ranging from animal behaviours to medieval city-states and modern labour movements to support conception of Mutual aid.

2.4. Mutual aid as Negation of Social Darwinist Ideals

To Kropotkin the concept of struggle for existence as introduced by Charles Darwin and Alfred Russel Wallace is widely misperceived as a brutal competition among individuals for survival. In reality, Darwin conceived it as a broader framework that entails various processes such as

adaptation, evolution, intellectual and moral development. While the term initially described specific biological phenomena, Darwin stressed its philosophical implications in its metaphorical dimension as inclusive of interdependence of species and reproductive success, both elements as put forward by Darwin transcend mere individual survival in the first place (Kropotkin 17). Despite Darwin's nuanced approach, his followers often misinterpreted his ideas and oversimplified principles of evolution. While Darwin acknowledged intellectual and moral dimensions in the evolution of humans, Herbert Spencer, misinterpreted the evolutionary principles to advocate and justify ruthless competition, presenting it as inevitable biological law (Kropotkin 18). This reductionist view of social Darwinists failed to account for the role of Mutual aid, as Darwin himself observed in *The Descent of Man* how struggle is replaced by Mutual aid and cooperation, highlighting in numberless animal societies competitive struggle seems to vanish resulting more suitable conditions for survival with observable intellectual and moral development (Kropotkin 9).

Mutual aid and cooperation take precedence in ensuring the survival of species as examined in animals frequently moving in herds to enhance their collective chances of survival, that also facilitates intellectual development. Kropotkin refers to social behaviours of beetles burying other beetles, birds traveling together across continents, and mammals moving in herds to provide evidence for collective action and Mutual aid (Kropotkin 21). Kropotkin highlights original ideas of Darwin and their emphasis on principles of cooperation and Mutual aid, often misinterpreted by social Darwinists (Vézina 42-47). Kropotkin views sociability and desire for relationships enhances quality of life and is a foundational element in evolution of species (Glassman 411). A researcher, while studying public relations, finds Kropotkin's concept of Mutual aid, as an “engendering metatheory” fundamental in understanding and creating

“harmony paradigms” in social and public relations (Marsh 440). Mutual aid is deemed as worthy of renewed interest in research as it provides a framework to study stateless communes and elaborate the driving force behind collectivism, mutual cooperation, reciprocity and solidarity as instinctual features among the species (Barnard 3-16). Moreover, one study examines the emergence of Mutual aid during the spread of COVID-19 resulting in individuals in such difficult times beginning to provide food, necessary goods, psychological and legal support to families and individuals in the spirit of Mutual aid (Travlou 65-66). On this same note, another study explores the functioning of Mutual aid groups during Covid-19 pandemic and their political implications and possibility of paradigm shift if Mutual aid is expanded and sustained (Firth 34-36).

One study demonstrates Kropotkin’s ideals of Mutual aid in praxis in the field of action research in the society, for health and social care fields particularly, to explain the growing numbers of Mutual aid groups in “condition specific groups” and in over all “social/community concerns” (Munn-Giddings 150). Sullivan studies Mutual aid, as the foundation of moral community and social justice and claims that unless Mutual aid is placed at the centre of paradigm for justice as grounded in morality, the repercussions seem destructive as examinable in the current global breakdown with its roots in the social disintegration. He argues that hierarchical social arrangements promote dependency and thereby threaten persistence of human species, while elaborating on potential of Mutual aid to promote better social relations and cultivate freedom, simultaneously to dissolve all forms of arrangements that threaten existence (Sullivan 294). Goodwin responds to Thayer’s assumptions by placing Kropotkin’s Mutual aid in juxtaposition to Thayer’s Realist arguments that uphold Malthusian competitive assumptions about intraspecific fitness, referring to the survival advantage gained through competition

among members of the same species, as a significant element in evolution. This study attempts to reconsider ontology in international relations in the light of Mutual aid with the belief that intraspecific cooperation of humans rather than rugged individualism is the force for progress and unity (Goodwin A. 2-5). Communitarian theory is also integrated with Mutual aid, as a sense of mutual sympathy, solidarity, and responsibility entailing sense of belongingness and membership, through a study that examines and resolves problems of fellowship in communitarian theoretical constructs by analysing psychological and moral dimensions as problems of dual nature within this prospect (McCulloch 438).

Contrary to social Darwinist constructs, since the early history of human beings, tribes or travelling bands, serve as examples of collective action and cooperation. Ethnological research finds that clan-based organisations, rooted in kinship and communal living, grounded in Mutual aid, predate the family structures (Kropotkin 61). Moreover, anthropological studies carried by scholars such as Bachofen, MacLennan, Morgan, and Tylor contribute to this paradigm highlighting how bands and tribes formed the earliest social structures and further solidifying concept of Mutual aid in earliest stages of human evolution and its role in human progress (Kropotkin 62). The survival and progress of primitive humans owes to the instinct of Mutual aid, whereby primitive individuals identified their survival in collectivist tribes (Kropotkin 66). Mutual aid is defined as the recognition of the fact that an individual's happiness is directly correlated with the collective happiness of all in the context of justice and sense of equality that enables individuals to view rights of every other individual as equal as one's own (Kropotkin 4). Summarily, the practice of Mutual aid and cooperation is the way to progress and development rather than predatory individuals in competitions for survival (Kropotkin 18). Bookchin furthers Kropotkin's narrative and utilizes Hegel's principle of "unity in diversity", which upholds

diversity as a prerequisite for stability and progress of the collective, to debate social Darwinist discourse. He argues that it is rather the hierarchical mindset that views hierarchies in races, sexes, ethnicities, and nature and places species in superior/inferior classes. As diversity is key to progress and evolution then all species have equal contribution in sustenance of an ecosystem. If examined rather than with hierarchical mindset that views species in individual relations, but in totality of all constituents, then with mere differences in functions, their existence and diversification becomes equally important to the ecosystem (Bookchin, *PSA* 307). Nature is the biological root of humans, since “second nature”, that is the social sphere, emerged from “first nature”, that is the biological sphere and nature in general.

Survival of both natures is complementary and interdependent in totality of the ecosystem, therefore domination of nature is domination of humans and vice versa. An organic society is only possible through abolition of this hierarchical mindset and hierarchies in general (Bookchin, *SEC* 40). These theoretical constructs in debate as examined provide lenses to study the utopia of San Francisco and Dystopia of Southlands, and through this interplay of theories when applied to the contrasting narratives of both utopia and dystopia in the novel grant a space to take this debate a step further in the light of *The Fifth Sacred Thing* to highlight anarchist groundings of San Francisco and simultaneously identify and negate social Darwinist ideals in the Southlands.

2.5. Ontological Viewpoint of the Study

The ontology and epistemology of the study is rooted in dialectical theoretical constructs. While ontologically dialectical materialism holds that the reality and world exist independent of human experience and reality as a totality made of integration of the different parts, and the notion of these components as extensible, so that each part may represent the whole in the entirety of its

relations (Olman 139); the epistemology moves from totality to its constituents, that is, relational units reflective of dialectical concepts in their structured interdependence. “Tracing the links between these units fills in the details of this whole” (Olman 147). With this leftist standpoint, utopia is not understood here in the pejorative sense of the term but rather as Goodwin holds that utopianism discredits fatalism and passivity by offering an alternative worldview based on a specific programme and vision for betterment (Goodwin 26). The study of utopia as the foundation for discussions on alternative visions deserves to be taken seriously for the virtue of philosophical notions it helps to develop (Suissa 628). Karl Mannheim in his classic considers something “utopian” which goes beyond reality and simultaneously breaks the entrenched bonds of existing order (Mannheim 173). The Dystopia is taken as social Darwinist in its worldview which is represented by transnational corporations managed by powerful elites that “represent levels of social complexity above that of the nation-state” and capitalistic in laissez-faire fashion (Clark 729)

CHAPTER 3

LIBERTARIAN MUNICIPALISM AND MUTUAL AID IN SAN FRANCISCO

This chapter uses close reading technique to explore the ideological dimensions of San Francisco, in Starhawk's *The Fifth Sacred Thing* under anarchist theoretical frameworks, in particular, Libertarian Municipalism and Mutual aid. This chapter examines concepts, such as, direct democracy, self-governance, Municipalist economics and socio-political structures.

3.1. Municipal Assembly: Framework of Self-Governance

In *The Fifth Sacred Thing* by Starhawk, Councils make up the organisational framework of the city. Each block has its own council, which is responsible for mediating disputes, maintaining communal spaces like gardens and roads, and executing emergency plans. These block-level councils feed into larger neighbourhood councils, eventually culminating in the Grand Council, where every citizen retains the right to participate. The process of consensus-based decision-making is central, involving extended deliberation and communal participation.

Lily's reflection on the Grand Council underscores its function as a directly democratic municipal assembly, forming the very essence of San Francisco, as its entire structure is woven around the upholding of mutual consensus (Starhawk 597). This aligns seamlessly with the central tenets of libertarian municipalism—power vested in the public through directly democratic assemblies—offering a radical departure from representative democracy, which relies on the delegation of authority to a ruling minority. Instead, the anarchist framework promotes self-governance at the most immediate level (Sepczynska 363), grounded in the principle that a society built in the negation of violence must be premised on mutual consent (Graeber 35).

This model of council-based governance bears resemblance to real-life autonomous movements, such as the Zapatistas of Chiapas, where power flows from the community upwards,

and assemblies operate on the logic of mutual agreement and deliberation. For the Zapatistas, the heart of autonomy (lekil kuxlejal) lies in “governing by obeying”—a system where community assemblies continuously shape, redefine, and direct their own modes of governance through collective participation (Fitzwater 36, 68). Likewise, in Jackson, Mississippi, the People's Assemblies function as grassroots democratic organs where communities exercise decision-making over their socio-economic and cultural matters, thereby restoring agency to the people themselves (Akuno 173). These parallels highlight the real-world viability of the communal structures envisioned in the novel, further grounding its speculative dimensions in anarchist praxis.

The distinction between direct and representative democracy is further clarified through the critique that, in direct democracy, delegates act as messengers of the community will and can be recalled at any moment (Cohn 9). In contrast, representative democracy invests elected officials with the coercive power to decide and impose, leading to the formation of political elite classes that dominate the broader populace (Baker 7).

Bookchin's conception of active citizen participation in municipal assemblies is not only a political mechanism but a transformative process whereby the individual is reshaped through continuous engagement with self-governance (Bookchin, UWC 251). Democratic councils, thus, do not merely manage affairs but empower communities and advance the mission of just social changes (Shelley 2).

Multiple narrative instances in the novel illuminate the city's struggle to preserve its autonomy. A particularly poignant moment is when the city council debates whether to adopt a strategy of non-cooperation in response to the militaristic threat posed by the Stewards. Maya's proposal of nonviolent resistance signifies a collective resolve to preserve autonomy and uphold

the ethics of self-governance. Though Bird fears imprisonment and death as potential consequences, the council ultimately supports Maya's stance, recognising that violence would undermine the very principles they seek to protect (Starhawk 303). Here, self-governance emerges not merely as an organisational preference but as an existential commitment.

Another significant passage features Madrone explaining San Francisco's communal lifestyle to citizens of Southlands. She emphasizes the absence of hierarchical oversight—no "Managers" or "Stewards"—and that the people govern themselves democratically. This form of life, rooted in collective responsibility and without recourse to capitalist or state-imposed control, signals San Francisco's intention to foster a self-sustained, self-governing society (Starhawk 382). A similar logic can be traced in Venezuelan communes, where non-representative Communal Councils (CCs) serve as units of direct democratic self-governance, empowering residents to decide on communal affairs without intermediaries (Azzellini 11).

The city's ideological fidelity to autonomy is also tested when Cress challenges the symbolic authority of the "Voices"—masked figures representing elemental forces like earth, water, air, and fire. He cautions that any authoritative imposition by the Voices could compromise the Council's foundational principle of non-hierarchical consensus. This challenge, far from being heretical, is emblematic of the community's deep-rooted dedication to autonomy and its refusal to submit to any imposed authority, however sacred. Salal's response—to seek harmony between human consensus and ecological reverence—reaffirms the balance sought between self-governance and natural interdependence (Starhawk 69–71).

Bookchin further grounds the vision of libertarian municipalism in the dialectical principle of unity in diversity, an idea emerging from Hegelian dialectics. Hierarchies based on sex, ethnicity, physical ability, or age must be abolished in favour of diversity understood as a

foundation of ecological and social stability (Bookchin, PSA 307). Variety is not only tolerated but cultivated as essential for innovation and balance (Beihl 37). Nature, when read outside human-imposed hierarchies, functions through symbiosis and non-hierarchical differentiation, where all species play vital, if differing, roles in sustaining ecosystems.

This principle is fully manifest in San Francisco, where inclusivity and pluralism are not rhetorical values but structural features of the commune. Madrone describes the city's multicultural texture as its strength—languages like English, Spanish, Arabic, Mandarin, Cantonese, and Tagalog co-exist alongside diverse cultural practices, offering the city as a final sanctuary for heritage and memory (Starhawk 359, 63). Ethnicities such as white, black, Latin, and Chino are all accepted without racial hierarchies or barriers (Starhawk 437). Religious diversity is preserved with equal reverence: from statues of Gaia, Tonantzin, and Kuan Yin to practices like Jewish New Year, Muslim calls to prayer, and Christian Easter celebrations (Starhawk 15–16, 310). Legal matters like marriage or divorce are governed by religious traditions of those involved (Starhawk 356), underscoring freedom of religious practice. Likewise, diversity of sexual orientations is accepted as normal and unremarkable (Starhawk 65, 357).

The city's open council, its commitment to diversity, and its rejection of coercive hierarchy reflect the libertarian municipalist conviction that only through egalitarian inclusion can a society preserve both its stability and its creative potential (Bookchin, SEC 50). In both the literary and real worlds, communal life rooted in direct democracy, ecological ethics, and mutual respect challenges hierarchical norms and offers viable pathways for autonomy and social justice.

City council encompasses “work collectives” and neighbourhood assemblies pick one person each week for one day “as a gift work” while other guilds pick people for specified terms to provide continuity (Starhawk, 60). This way all guilds, work collectives, and neighbourhood councils in San Francisco possess a degree of self-sufficiency while operating within principles of mutual reliance, thereby averting the formation of a closed society (Miliszewski 21). The concept of Mutual aid must extend beyond a closed society and encompass the broader environment, as failing to do so may result in its risks being absorbed, overwhelmed, or undone by environmental forces (Kropotkin 119). This libertarian principle develops pluralism, solidarity, inclusiveness, participation, constructivism, and justice (Miliszewski 23). This ethos echoes Maya's observation in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, highlighting San Francisco's absence of slums or ghettos, inclusive leadership across races, and a resolute rejection of violence (Starhawk, p. 592) as discussed earlier. In other words, San Francisco is devoid of political elites and bureaucratism because local assemblies, work collectives, and guilds in the novel operate based on libertarian municipalist principles of Mutual aid and function as directly democratic councils and assemblies serving as primary decision-making entities that supersede administrative structures (Miliszewski 20).

This negation of bureaucratism and political elites within the narrative reflects the nature of libertarian municipalism, driven by the active participation of "free individuals" abolishing representative democracy in favour of "face-to-face relations" within the councils (Bookchin, *PSA* 63) and its inclusivity and diversity serves as the negation of racism, domination, gender oppression and imperialism, all of which Bookchin vehemently opposes in his writings (Bookchin, *NR* 114). This underscores the belief that true self-governance occurs when the

voices of all individuals are heard and respected, irrespective of background, ethnicity, gender, or social status.

In the Municipal Assembly, the process of decision-making as advocated by Bookchin finds a clear literary articulation in San Francisco's model of self-governance. Citizens are not reduced to passive recipients of state policies but are invited to actively partake in creating their collective future—placing liberty, ecological sustainability, and direct democracy at the ideological core of their communal life. Rather than lamenting the present, the people of San Francisco assert a deep trust in the capacity of ordinary citizens to collectively determine their economic, ethical, and political conditions, ultimately prioritising human emancipation and creativity over bureaucratic governance (Fowler 24). The Municipal Assembly functions as the ethical and procedural heart of the city, offering an arena where equal participation, open dialogue, and collective agency are enshrined in practice.

This principle of egalitarian participation is ritualised symbolically in the novel through the act of passing a stick around a circle during assembly meetings. Each person speaks in turn—representing different sectors such as the Gardeners' Guild, the Water Council, the Healers, and the Teachers—ensuring that all voices are heard and respected (Starhawk 26). This ceremonial yet functional gesture is emblematic of San Francisco's commitment to inclusive participation and mirrors the anarchist belief that democracy, to be genuine, must exist without hierarchical exclusion. All economic, political, and social decisions are made collectively through this process of participatory deliberation (Fotopoulos 402).

This literary portrayal resonates with actual practices in existing autonomous communities. For example, in Zapatista territories, all community members over the age of sixteen convene in assemblies where major decisions, structural changes, and collective projects

must be discussed and approved. These assemblies are not symbolic but serve as the actual legislative and executive authority within the zones (Azzellini 98). Likewise, in Jackson, Mississippi's Cooperation Jackson, the principle of "one person, one vote" ensures that decision-making power rests directly with individuals, carried out through consensus or voting procedures, empowering each participant equally (Akuno 150). In Rojava, decision-making is deliberately decentralised, echoing the ideals of democratic confederalism, whereby power is rooted in local assemblies rather than a centralised authority (Hunt 3). These real-world frameworks provide a socio-political backdrop that underscores the plausibility and radical potential of the municipalist structure imagined in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*.

Bookchin's vision of the municipal assembly is founded on the necessity of open discussion, wherein even a minority or an individual retains the right to dissent, debate, and propose alternatives. Decision-making, thus, is not reduced to majoritarianism but is a dynamic process of continuous dialogue where disagreement, challenge, and counter-challenge are considered vital for both collective and individual creativity (Bookchin, SA 17). This aligns with Sepczynska's assertion that debate, proposition, and resolution form the "primary" components of a genuinely democratic forum (Sepczynska 363).

Starhawk illustrates this process in detail, noting that the Municipal Assembly continues its dialogue until consensus is reached—because all members are fully aware that failing to do so would unravel the very foundations of their directly democratic system (Starhawk 95). Here, consensus is not romanticised as easy or spontaneous, but achieved through persistent engagement and mutual respect. In line with this, direct democracy—as Cohn outlines—requires citizens to assemble, discuss, and develop policy collectively, sending mandated delegates to communicate these decisions to broader networks, rather than relinquishing power to a ruling

class of representatives (Cohn 9). This configuration ensures that power remains decentralised and firmly under public control, reflecting the anarchist ethos in practice (Lummis 18).

San Francisco's assembly structure—its emphasis on deliberation, decentralisation, and participatory equality—offers a prefigurative model for libertarian municipalist praxis. By grounding its fictional political vision in practices that resonate with real-life communal experiments, the novel not only imaginatively illustrates the ideals of anarchism but also legitimises them as viable, lived alternatives to dominant socio-political orders.

Popular assemblies with specific purposes are created as the Gardeners' Council, Water Council, Teachers Council, and other assemblies where a member from each household participates in direct democratic decision-making (Starhawk 95). *Ekklesia* is the Greek term for such councils and assemblies which means “speaking and voting” (Bookchin, *UWC* 43). Popular assemblies and neighbourhood councils are integral to organic democratic societies for the praxis of active municipalism. Such assemblies are the “principal means” for common people to ensure justice and freedom. (Bookchin, *UWC* 13).

In the novel, each council or popular assembly is confederated into the city council of San Francisco (Starhawk 26). Murray Bookchin's vision, as outlined in "Free Cities," further elaborates on the idea of confederal linking. It envisions the delegation of representatives from local and regional institutions, such as assemblies, to form representative bodies at a higher level of organisation. These representative bodies would be responsible for coordinating policies and facilitating mutual assistance among the participating libertarian municipalities. This confederal structure allows for a balance between local autonomy and cooperation on broader issues (Bookchin, *FC* 43). This approach aims to “delegate deputies” from diverse local assemblies to a “resolution-making body”, that is, the city council which functions within the bounds of its range

to undertake activities in libertarian ideals (Miliszewski 20) wherein policymaking is the result of direct democracy in the popular assemblies and an exclusive right of the public through local assemblies (Sepczynska 365).

Paideia is the result of citizens' active participation in direct democratic decision-making processes according to Murray Bookchin. The term "Paideia" is translated as "education" in English, yet it held a broader meaning for the Greeks. For them, it represented a lifelong and formative process for young men, intended to make them valuable to the polis, their friends, and family, and to encourage adherence to the community's ethical ideals. It encompasses character development, growth, cultural assimilation, and comprehensive education in knowledge and skill. It seeks integration of the individual into their community, necessitating a critical mind and a sense of duty. The Greek term "arete," signifies moral goodness, virtue, and excellence in all aspects of life. "Paideia" and "arete" are closely linked, representing a "unified process of civic and self-development" (Bookchin, *UWC* 59). As in San Francisco, in the novel, citizens are free to join a university, if they are interested in intellectual learning, or they have options to learn manual skills and knowledge, since education is to contribute, be it through intellectual or manual learning and means. (Starhawk, 360).

In Starhawk's *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, the Municipal Assembly of San Francisco's decision-making process is based on the ideas of open communication, inclusivity, and direct citizen participation. It emphasises how crucial it is for all community members to actively participate in making decisions that influence their city and educate the citizens. The community's dedication to group decision-making and the ideals of solidarity and mutual aid are reflected in this process.

3.2. Municipalist Economy in Praxis

The libertarian municipalist ideals of decentralised control are put into praxis in San Francisco in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, where work groups are made autonomous to take their initiatives, set their objectives, and “manage their affairs” cooperatively (Starhawk, 354) guided by principles of balance, individual and social integration, face-to-face democracy, and decentralised structures as a desideratum for a just and sustainable society (Bookchin, *PSA* 91). This decentralisation is not limited to economic aspects alone as Bookchin argues that a decentralised society is integral to harmonise human-to-human relationships (Bookchin, *PSA* 101) rooted in the idea of shared resources in terms of commons or municipalisation of resources, as Bookchin finds this model as a negation of the dichotomy of private/capitalist or public/state ownerships (Hardt 302).

The restoration of the commons, as envisioned within the framework of libertarian municipalist economy, is central to San Francisco’s social organisation in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*. In a conversation with Littlejohn, Bird explains that water, land, air, and fire are held in common and not subject to private ownership, precisely because they are essential to life itself. This concept establishes these natural resources as communal property, belonging to all and excluded from commodification. The ideological thrust of this arrangement sharply contrasts with Littlejohn’s prior experience under a hierarchical system, where water was a commodity tightly controlled by a privileged few (Starhawk 94–95). In San Francisco, no individual has the right to profit from or privatisate these shared resources; they are treated as collective endowments meant to serve the common good (Starhawk 351, 354).

Madrone’s explanation of the commons further illuminates this model. She describes how the community takes collective responsibility for shared resources, ensuring access through

principles of stewardship and reciprocity. This aligns with Kruzynski's observation that communal access is guided by the principle of usufruct, as articulated by Bookchin—referring to the right to use resources for sustenance without assuming ownership (Starhawk 351; Kruzynski 137; Bookchin, FC 43). These resources are not merely functional but are elevated to sacred status: "all gifts of the earth are shared," Madrone declares (Starhawk 26), and the sacredness of commons is reflected in their immunity from individual appropriation (Starhawk 25).

This fictional portrayal resonates with real-life autonomous zones such as Zapatista territories, where communal autonomy is built on direct democracy, mutual aid, and a gift economy deeply rooted in the commons (Fitzwater 32). The Zapatistas reject capitalist ownership structures in favour of shared stewardship and voluntary cooperation. Similarly, in Rojava, the "Charter of the Social Contract" articulates that natural wealth belongs to the people collectively, and its management must serve the public interest through democratic control and participatory economic planning (Hunt 17). In Jackson's *Cooperation Jackson*, industrial production is cooperatively owned and democratically managed by members of the community, resisting capitalist hierarchies in favour of equitable distribution (Akuno 61–65). These real-world models reinforce the plausibility of the economic structures depicted in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, rooting the novel's speculative vision in grounded political traditions.

A particularly striking manifestation of San Francisco's commitment to the commons appears in the form of fruit trees planted across the city, which produce a surplus for everyone to enjoy without fear of theft—because ownership is collective and the notion of scarcity is replaced by abundance through cooperation (Starhawk 387). The community regularly shares food and labour, revealing a socio-economic system premised not on compulsion but on solidarity. Madrone explains that people work not because they are coerced, but because they are

needed and valued by the collective—a direct expression of the libertarian municipalist ethos that replaces external authority with intrinsic motivation (Starhawk 351). The community's relationship to production and distribution is mutualist, grounded in both ecological balance and human interdependence. Citizens engage together in planting, harvesting, and sharing, underscoring the value of Mutual aid and collective responsibility in maintaining the commons (Starhawk 399). Healthcare, too, is conceived in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* as a communal responsibility rather than a commodified service. Madrone, in her role as healer, provides care freely to those in need, using shared herbal remedies and available food resources. She explicitly notes that while the community continues to face health challenges, healthcare remains accessible to all—asserting it as a basic right rooted in mutual solidarity, not as a privilege reserved for the economically advantaged (Starhawk 25). This egalitarian commitment is reaffirmed later in the novel when the community upholds healthcare as a shared good, a collective responsibility extended to every citizen regardless of status (Starhawk 263). These portrayals reinforce the notion that individual well-being is inseparable from communal values, cooperation, and common ownership.

This framework is neither romanticised nor utopian but rather reflects principles consistent with Mutual aid and libertarian Municipalism. As Bookchin asserts, these approaches develop *philia*—a deep-seated human solidarity prioritising collective flourishing over competition and profit maximisation (Bookchin, SEC 37). In this structure, the commons become not only shared resources but also relational entities that underpin ethical, sustainable coexistence—an ethos that centres people and ecosystems over markets and individual accumulation (Bookchin, SEC 104).

This literary depiction aligns with real-world precedents. In Zapatista communities, *trabajos colectivos* (collective work projects) are designed to sustain local education and health systems and gradually eliminate dependency on external aid from NGOs, promoting communal self-sufficiency through voluntary cooperation and shared responsibility (Fitzwater 111). In Jackson, Mississippi, the "Jackson Rising Statement" advocates for the highest possible provision of public services—particularly healthcare—framing it as a fundamental human right within a broader movement for Black self-determination and economic democracy (Akuno 166). Similarly, the Charter of the Social Contract in Rojava explicitly commits to guaranteeing humanitarian needs and ensuring a decent standard of living for all, with healthcare provision viewed as a public duty (Hunt 13). These real-life cases reflect the very communal healthcare principles envisioned in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, and underscore that Starhawk's portrayal is not detached from material reality but deeply resonant with tangible socio-political experiments in autonomy.

In *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, the concept of municipalisation—where essential resources and the means of production are collectively managed and democratically controlled by the community (Biehl 184)—serves as a literary counter to the systemic exploitation entrenched in corporate and national governance structures. San Francisco demonstrates how resources are reclaimed and redistributed through workgroups that operate autonomously and democratically, engaging in barter for credits within communal markets. Production, in this vision, is oriented toward serving communal needs rather than individual profit (Starhawk 354). This is not a passive depiction of idealism but rather a deliberate literary manifestation of libertarian municipalist principles that oppose both corporatisation and state monopolisation—both of which centralise power and erode democratic participation.

Modern capitalism, while professing to empower the entrepreneur, paradoxically undermines entrepreneurship by fostering monopolistic entities that displace individual agency. This corporatisation is a methodical centralisation of economic control that threatens not only individual autonomy but also the broader democratic fabric of society. In response, libertarian municipalism posits the municipalisation of essential resources as an indispensable step toward restoring democratic control at the local level (Bookchin, UWC 261–262). Bookchin emphasises that without placing ownership and decision-making authority within municipalities, communities remain vulnerable to exploitation—whether by corporate monopolies or bureaucratic states. Workplace democracy within such hierarchical systems becomes an illusion: workers, while ostensibly included, are compelled into roles that sustain the very structures that exploit them (Bookchin, SEC 50; NR 12, 58).

Starhawk illustrates this contradiction through a community that not only imagines but practices an alternative. In San Francisco, essential resources—such as water, food, and healthcare—are not only communally managed but are revered as “sacred” (Starhawk 95). They are perceived as having intrinsic value beyond utility or monetary worth. The community’s assertion that “all gifts of the earth are shared” (Starhawk 26) foregrounds a politics of care and mutual dependence, where production is tied to ecological sustainability, and the commons are sheltered from privatisation and commodification. This reorientation from ownership to stewardship, from profit to participation, positions the novel as a powerful cultural expression of municipalist theory. The characters’ decisions to manage these resources through shared responsibility and democratic consensus reveal a lived ethic of interdependence, cooperation, and resistance to domination—both economic and political.

The Water Council exemplifies how San Francisco's communities enact these values through democratic management structures. Water, a vital resource, is allocated based on a democratic council system in which representatives from each household collaborate to decide on equitable distribution. This communal approach to water distribution prevents monopolistic or exploitative control and fosters a system of reciprocal accountability and transparency. Instead of relying on corporate managers or state agencies, the people themselves oversee water as a shared resource, ensuring that it remains accessible to all (Starhawk 95).

The Novel's depiction of food production and agricultural work also reinforces the principle of municipalised means of production. The community treats food production as a worker cooperative endeavour, in which individuals labour not for profit but for collective sustenance. In one instance, members gather in the central plaza, sharing produce and provisions in a marketplace setting that operates on communal credits rather than currency (Starhawk 351). Madrone, another key figure in the novel, observes that every citizen has enough food and water. Everyone is entitled to shelter and free medical care when they are sick. This illustrates the community's commitment to ensuring the basic needs are universally met (Starhawk 351). This system of shared resources reflects a mutualist model where resources, labour, and land are managed by the people themselves, a stark contrast to capitalist systems where production and distribution are controlled by private entities with vested interests in profit maximization. The people of San Francisco in the novel have thus developed a localised economy, one where communal labour replaces the hierarchical structures founded in conventional economies. Bookchin highlights such a vision in his works, asserting that true democracy must be built upon economic structures that empower communities rather than corporations (Bookchin *SEC* 50). Through their commitment to collective ownership of land and food production, the characters

demonstrate a living model of municipal socialism, where democratic oversight ensures that essential resources remain public rather than private.

Healthcare in the novel is another critical area where the community enacts municipalization. Madrone's role as a healer exemplifies how healthcare is collectively supported, accessible to all rather than being treated as a privilege or commodity. In the community health operates under the assumption that everyone, regardless of social status or economic contribution, deserves access to care. Supplies for healing are provided by community members, illustrating a mutual responsibility in praxis for one another's well-being. Madrone reflects this shared responsibility, noting that "There's sickness... but no one lacks care" underscoring that healthcare, like food and water, is regarded as a communal asset rather than an individual possession (Starhawk 26).

This model of healthcare governance aligns with Bookchin's argument that communal institutions should oversee essential services as it provides a framework for public access that supersedes the exclusionary practices of privatized healthcare. By maintaining healthcare as a municipally managed service, the community in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* exemplifies the philosophy that healthcare is a right rather than privilege and belongs within democratic control of the people. This perspective rejects the notion of healthcare as a profit driven enterprise, advocating instead for a structure that prioritizes universal care and equality.

In sum, *The Fifth Sacred Thing* envisions a society where essential resources- food, water, labour, and healthcare - are managed at the municipal level, providing a working model for a society governed by Mutual aid, cooperation and democratic control. This vision closely aligns with principles of libertarian Municipalism, offering a narrative that critiques both corporate and state monopolization of resources. The municipalization of means of production in

San Francisco allows residents to exercise genuine workplace democracy and maintain autonomy from the exploitative forces of corporatization from Southlands. By situating power within local communities and ensuring resources remain accessible and accountable to the public, Starhakw's narrative proposes a powerful alternative to the prevailing systems of economic and social hierarchy, embodying a future where democracy is strengthened by Mutual aid and collective responsibility.

In such municipal resources all records are openly accessible and visible to ensure accountability, and in the event of any discrepancies, the "Guild or Council" investigates the matter (Starhawk 355). The aim is to establish a system where all financial records are transparent and accessible to the entire community. This approach ensures collective accountability, with any inaccuracies being subject to scrutiny by the "Guild or Council" (Starhawk, 355) to merge the means of production with the community's daily life, allowing the local assembly to oversee all productive endeavours and shape them to serve the interests of the community. This integration intends to bridge the gap between personal life and work, thereby preserving the connection between citizens' aspirations and necessities, the creative intricacies of production, and the role of production in shaping individual identity and thought (Bookchin, *NR* 28).

Bookchin envisioned a society where dichotomy between work and life is synthesized, in similar spirit, San Francisco in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* presents a model society where work transcends the alienation prevalent in capitalist systems. It integrates seamlessly into personal and communal life, emphasizing its intrinsic value in human development. Madrone illustrates this ethos, highlighting the innate human inclination toward purposeful engagement and contribution. She notes that even when individuals face obstacles or challenges, the community

ensures they are provided with support and opportunities for meaningful participation (Starhawk 352). This framework prioritizes individual well-being and social integration, effectively dissolving the dichotomy between work and life that dominates contemporary capitalist societies.

The self-defining nature of productive endeavors becomes a vehicle for personal fulfillment and communal progression, weaving work into the fabric of existence as a holistic and enriching experience (Bookchin, *SEC* 103). This integrated approach reflects what Bookchin identifies as a moral economy, one in which economic activities are guided not by profit motives but by ethical considerations and communal values (Bookchin, *FC* 19). In such a framework, work is no longer an instrument of exploitation but a creative force that enables both civic participation and personal growth. San Francisco achieves this integration through its democratic institutions, including guilds, workgroups, and councils, which collectively dissolve the boundaries between labor and leisure.

Throughout the novel, San Francisco's democratic institutions—guilds, workgroups, and councils—play a central role in transcending the work-life dichotomy. Guilds are profession-based, directly democratic fraternities that serve both economic and moral purposes. Each guild enables practitioners to discuss, refine, and advocate for the needs and concerns of their respective professions, fostering a sense of purpose and belonging. Guilds also participate in the City Council, ensuring that the voices of all trades and professions are represented in citywide decision-making (Starhawk 24). Numerous guilds are depicted in the novel, each contributing to the moral and economic fabric of the city. These include the Silk Guild (p. 529), Teachers' Guild (p. 22), Gardeners' Guild (p. 26), Carpenter's Guild (p. 62), Musicians' Guild (p. 271), and Writers' Guild (p. 298). Each guild embodies the ideals of participatory democracy, ensuring that labor retains its dignity and purpose. For example, the Gardeners' Guild ensures

that food production aligns with both ecological sustainability and community needs, while the Musicians' Guild highlights the cultural value of artistic labor, connecting work with beauty and joy.

These guilds collectively form the backbone of San Francisco's moral economy, fostering a collaborative spirit that integrates individual labor into the communal good. Bookchin describes directly democratic decision making systems such as found in these guilds as vital institutions for achieving *paideia*, a form of civic education attained through active participation in democratic management of communal affairs (Bookchin, UWC 59). The guild system in San Francisco exemplifies this principle, providing individuals with opportunities for civic engagement and moral development. Through these vocational fraternities, work is transformed from a burdensome necessity into a meaningful pursuit that enriches both the individual and the community. Beyond guilds, autonomous work groups represent another critical institution for integrating work and life.

These groups are small, self-directed collectives that set their own goals democratically and engage in bartering within the city's market system for credits (Starhawk 354). By allowing each group to determine its purpose and processes, San Francisco ensures that labor remains meaningful and aligned with the values and aspirations of its members. This decentralized structure empowers individuals while fostering accountability within the collective. For instance, work groups maintain checks and balances by holding members accountable for dishonest or exploitative behavior.

If a member cheats or fails to contribute equitably, the issue is addressed within the group and, if necessary, escalated to the guild or council (Starhawk 355). This system of mutual accountability preserves trust and reinforces the communal ethos underlying San Francisco's

economy. Apprenticeship programs further strengthen this framework by integrating new members into work groups based on their interests and talents. As Starhawk describes, individuals may choose to apprentice in groups that maintain water systems, build transport infrastructure, or raise silkworms for textile production (Starhawk 592). This diversity of work ensures that all aspects of communal life are supported while allowing individuals to pursue vocations that resonate with their personal aspirations.

The autonomy of work groups reflects Bookchin's vision of decentralized economic systems, where local communities maintain control over production and distribution (Bookchin *EOF* 227). By empowering individuals to participate directly in shaping their economic environment, these groups foster a sense of ownership and responsibility that transcends the alienating structures of hierarchical labor systems.

The City Council serves as the confederating body for San Francisco's guilds, work groups, and smaller councils. It operates as a directly democratic institution, ensuring that all decisions are made collectively and transparently. The council system provides a platform for dialogue and coordination among various sectors of the community, allowing diverse voices to contribute to the governance of the city (Starhawk 24). San Francisco's councils address a wide range of issues, reflecting the interconnected nature of work and life.

The Defence Council coordinates efforts to protect the city from external threats, emphasizing the collective responsibility for safety and security (Starhawk 65). The Water Council oversees the equitable distribution of water, ensuring that this vital resource remains accessible to all without privatization or exploitation (Starhawk 69). Neighborhood Councils, meanwhile, facilitate local decision-making, fostering strong bonds of solidarity and cooperation within smaller communities (Starhawk 95). Each council operates as part of a larger

confederation, demonstrating the potential of directly democratic institutions to integrate the needs of individuals and collectives.

Bookchin describes this model of governance as a synthesis of civic and self-development, where participation in democratic institutions cultivates *arete*, or moral excellence (Bookchin *UWC* 59). By engaging in the management of communal affairs, citizens develop a deeper understanding of their interdependence, transcending the artificial divisions between work and life imposed by capitalist systems.

San Francisco's approach to work reflects an inherent understanding of its moral and communal significance. Work is not viewed as a separate or burdensome aspect of life but as a creative and integrative process that enriches individuals and society alike. As Madrone notices that people want to work just as children want to walk, that is, work is natural pursuit of humans and work provides a sense of purpose (Starhawk 352). This perspective aligns with the argument that work, when freed from the constraints of profit-driven motives, becomes a form of creative expression and self-actualization (Bookchin *FC* 19).

This way San Francisco in the novel emphasizes the importance of balancing individual aspirations with communal needs. By participating in guilds, work groups, and councils, individuals find opportunities to align their personal goals with the broader objectives of the community. This integration fosters a sense of belonging and purpose that transcends the alienation and fragmentation characteristic of capitalist labor systems. San Francisco's economy thus embodies what Bookchin describes as a *moral economy*, where economic activities are guided by ethical considerations and a commitment to mutual well-being.

In conclusion, San Francisco in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, serves as a powerful vision of a society where the dichotomy between work and life is transcended. Through its democratic

institutions- guilds, work groups, and councils- the city integrates labour into the fabric of communal and personal life, emphasizing its role as a source of fulfillment, and progression. By fostering participatory governance and mutual accountability, these institutions create a moral economy that aligns individual aspirations with collective needs.

This integration reflects Bookchin's principles of libertarian Municipalism, which advocate for decentralized and democratic control over economic and social systems. By embedding work within the broader context of human development and civic engagement, San Francisco illustrates the potential for a society that harmonizes personal fulfillment with communal well-being. It offers a compelling alternative to the alienation and exploitation of capitalism, demonstrating that work, when guided by ethical and communal values, can become a transformative force for individuals and society alike.

These guilds, functioning as vocational fraternities, serve economic and moral ends, forming the foundation of a genuine moral economy (Bookchin, *FC* 19). Work groups are autonomous bodies with means and ends democratically set, and they barter in the market for credits (Starhawk 354). Work group maintains a check and balance of its members, if one cheats, the work group raises the matter before one's guild and council (Starhawk 355). People are placed as apprentices to the work group of their choice and the work groups have different purposes, some maintain the water systems, some build transport systems and others raise silkworms (Starhawk 592).

The directly democratic "City Council" confederates smaller councils, work groups, and guilds (Starhawk 24). There are different councils mentioned throughout the novel: Defence Council (Starhawk 65), Water Council (Starhawk 69), Neighbourhood Councils (Starhawk 95). Participation in these directly democratic institutions help citizens develop "Paideia", that is,

education received only through participation in democratic management of communal affairs and it aims at “*arete*” signifying morality and life lessons that transcend dichotomy of work and life as synthesised process of civic and self-development (Bookchin, UWC 59). This structure emerges due to the city's origin rooted in the village community, alongside the subsequent development driven by evolving conditions (Bookchin, *EOF* 227).

Another vital principle within the libertarian municipalist economy is the irreducible minimum, which denotes the unconditional right of every individual to life-sustaining necessities, regardless of productive output or social status. This idea finds material expression in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, where all citizens of San Francisco receive a universal basic stipend that allows access to essential needs such as food, shelter, water, and care (Starhawk 351–352). The novel portrays this stipend not merely as welfare, but as a structural embodiment of the right to a dignified life, independent of coercion or economic compulsion. Medical care is universally accessible (Starhawk 238), education is guaranteed to all children (Starhawk 381), and the community ensures food and housing for everyone as a matter of shared obligation and collective ethics (Starhawk 351). These structural guarantees echo Bookchin's articulation of the irreducible minimum as a right of all commune members to “free and equal access” to life's necessities, regardless of what they produce or contribute (Bookchin, PSA 101; TES 64).

The underlying rationale of this system is further illuminated when Madrone explains to Sara that people are not “forced” to work in San Francisco—rather, work arises organically as a meaningful activity, akin to how children are inherently inclined to walk and talk (Starhawk 352). Madrone's later conversation with a girl from the Southlands clarifies the socio-economic dynamics of this system. When asked if poverty exists in San Francisco, Madrone responds that “everyone has enough,” and that inequality is not measured in ownership of essentials, but in

modest personal differences. Importantly, the incentive for work is intrinsic and communal; people contribute voluntarily because there are no hierarchical supervisors, and citizens work directly for their own well-being and that of their community (Starhawk 382). They cultivate food in abundance, share equitably, and derive satisfaction from mutual effort. A universal stipend guarantees survival without luxury, while additional contributions may yield communal appreciation or gifts—especially for exceptional achievements or contributions (Starhawk 354). Professions such as healing, art, and music are likewise honored with stipends, reflecting their non-instrumental, yet socially valued, roles.

This differentiation between personal possessions and private property is also foregrounded in the narrative. Items like “her own shirt” (Starhawk 7), “her own room” (Starhawk 549), or “a place to call your own” (Starhawk 552) reaffirm the distinction between personal belongings—those not used to dominate others—and exploitative property forms that characterize capitalist production. As Walter explains, anarchist socialism distinguishes between personal property and the “ownership of the means of production” (Walter 40). Berkman reinforces this, asserting that personal possession includes only “the things you use,” whereas private property entails exploitative control (Berkman 217).

Crucially, this narrative is not utopian fantasy but is conceptually and practically mirrored in existing experiments in real-world autonomous communes. The Zapatistas, in the First Declaration of the Lacandón Jungle, assert the right to land, work, housing, food, health care, education, and justice, among others—rights viewed not as privileges, but as the basis of dignified existence (Khasnabish 102). Their collective work projects (*trabajos colectivos*) directly support community health and education, seeking self-sufficiency and autonomy from NGOs and state structures (Fitzwater 111). Similarly, in Rojava, a radical emphasis on food

security and healthcare access underpins cooperative agriculture, with communal initiatives aimed at ecological balance and the equitable distribution of food resources—framed as a right rather than a commodity (Hunt 13). Cooperation Jackson in Mississippi confronts food apartheid through their commitment to food sovereignty, asserting the right of people to culturally appropriate, ecologically produced food, and opposing exploitative pricing and scarcity rooted in racial and capitalist oppression (Akuno 58). In Venezuela, massive social welfare efforts succeeded in drastically reducing poverty while making healthcare and education widely accessible to citizens (Ciccarello-Maher 10–12).

Together, these living practices reflect a global tendency toward operationalising the irreducible minimum—not as charity, but as justice. They further underline that Starhawk’s depiction in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* is not merely an imaginative vision but part of a broader political and ethical momentum. This shared ground between fiction and praxis affirms that the irreducible minimum, as an idea and as a system, is both politically plausible and ethically urgent.

3.3. Integration of Social Ecology and Libertarian Municipalism

Bookchin asserts that social ecology—which identifies hierarchy and domination in human society as the root cause of ecological destruction—is inseparable from the practice of libertarian municipalism, since all ecological crises are inherently social in nature (Bookchin, PSA 65). The Fifth Sacred Thing illustrates this principle through the radical reorganisation of San Francisco into an eco-community, where domination and hierarchy have been dismantled through the direct democratic functioning of the municipal assembly. Decentralisation, here, is not merely administrative, but a restructuring of social relations around ecological sustainability, communal ethics, and solidarity (Bookchin, TES 42).

This transformation includes a shift in the energy economy, where clean energy becomes not just a technological choice but a moral imperative embedded in economic practice. In San Francisco, products made with fossil fuels are too costly to purchase, whereas those powered by renewable sources—solar and wind—are accessible and encouraged, forming a “theory of price” rooted in ecological values (Starhawk 354). This aligns directly with Bookchin’s call for an “ecologically balanced pattern” of energy use to meet both industrial and domestic needs (Bookchin, PSA 96). The city is reimagined as a regenerative ecological space: solar panels are mounted on treetops (Starhawk 601), wind power and solar technology are in constant development, and small-scale farming is revitalised with communal intent (Starhawk 355).

Importantly, this ecological shift is not technocratic or aesthetic—it is philosophical and political. Madrone, a central character, traces ecological collapse to the Enlightenment-era mechanistic worldview, which imagined nature as a lifeless machine to be conquered and mastered (Starhawk 353). Against this, San Francisco holds a spiritual and political reverence for the Earth, as expressed in its Declaration of the Four Sacred Things, which sees the Earth as a conscious and living entity. The city collectively acknowledges that human separation from nature—and from each other—is a core cause of ecological devastation (Starhawk 23). This consciousness is embedded in policy and praxis, where every production is now evaluated by five criteria, two of which are environmental: the production must be energy-efficient and climate-healing (Starhawk 354). Innovations such as producing books from hemp rather than trees (Starhawk 354), and using all available means to heal the Earth’s wounds (Starhawk 197), reflect this holistic transformation.

This narrative vision of eco-conscious anarchism is not isolated or fantastical—it is reflected in practices across real-world communes actively resisting environmental devastation.

The Zapatistas, for instance, articulate a deeply relational and ecological understanding of democracy. The Tsotsil phrase ‘ichbail ta muk’, their term for democracy, implies “respect and recognition” for all beings, human and nonhuman, reflecting a social-ecological unity (Fitzwater 17). Their communes operate on principles of holistic autonomy, which includes agroecological farming and collective stewardship of land, aiming to restore balance within the natural world. Similarly, Cooperation Jackson has launched a “Just Transition” program to confront both “food apartheid” and environmental degradation, envisioning a regenerative economy rooted in community-led ecological restoration (Akuno 34–36).

In Rojava, rebuilding efforts in the war-torn region of Kobanî include ecological reconstruction plans, such as the establishment of ecological hospitals, eco-houses, and sustainable water and power systems (Hunt 2–6). These initiatives do not treat ecological recovery as secondary to survival—they view it as foundational to freedom. The Afro-Venezuelan communes, such as those in Barlovento, have incorporated sustainability into their cultural and economic practices. Drawing from the legacy of historical runaway slave communities (cumbes), they combine cocoa production with environmental care and even operate a local currency, showing how autonomy and ecology can be symbiotic (Ciccarello-Maher 46). Meanwhile, anti-patriarchal communes in Latin America increasingly fuse ecological consciousness with critiques of social hierarchy, imagining anti-domination not only in gendered terms but in ecological relations as well.

These parallel efforts across the globe lend real-world credibility and relevance to the ecological model imagined in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*. Starhawk’s vision is not merely a speculative “green utopia,” but a literary realisation of libertarian municipalist ecology—one where dismantling systems of oppression is a precondition for ecological renewal. As Bookchin

notes, without confronting domination itself, “renewable energy, organic farming, and simple lifestyles” risk becoming shallow reforms, incapable of repairing the ruptured relationship between human beings and the Earth (Bookchin, TEC 78). San Francisco’s ecological politics, then, serve not only as a contrast to the extractive Southlands but as a prefigurative form of ecological justice that critiques both capitalism and technocratic greenwashing.

3.4. San Francisco as an Organic Society

Madrone sings that the primary mother of human existence is “mother earth” (Starhawk 525). This abstraction encapsulates the dialectical emergence of second nature—human social reality—from first nature, the natural and biological world. Bookchin situates this evolutionary view within a processual logic: second nature, in its self-formation, alters first nature while simultaneously adapting to its biophysical conditions. In this dynamic, the organism is both an agent and a respondent—it partially generates and primarily adjusts itself to its environment (Bookchin, SEC 28–29).

The fundamental rupture, however, lies in perceiving first nature as a "great machine"—a resource to be dominated, exploited, and manipulated (Starhawk 353). This mechanistic worldview, inherited from Enlightenment rationalism, imposes a hierarchical logic that degrades both nature and society. Bookchin underscores that the survivability of both first and second nature is interdependent. To resolve this alienation, he proposes the ethic of complementarity, which dissolves hierarchy and domination to foster a relational "animism"—a worldview in which nature is valued "for its own sake" and is approached in creative, symbiotic terms (Bookchin, TES 268).

This ethic is deeply embedded in Madrone’s worldview: everything has a soul or consciousness—plants, animals, water, land—a perspective that affirms the inseparability of

humans from nature. As part of second nature, humans are not above or below the earth, but integral to it. No one stands higher or lower than the other, and as such, no hierarchy exists (Starhawk 240).

Bookchin identifies this vision as that of an organic society: a non-hierarchical, ecologically integrated social formation in which humans are not alienated from nature but embedded within it, neither as rulers nor as subjects (Bookchin, EOF 5). In contrast to hierarchical social systems—where stratification justifies toil, guilt, and submission for some and privilege and command for others—organic society nurtures an organic sensibility that values difference without domination, affirming unity in diversity (Bookchin, EOF 7–8). Even biological perspectives resonate with this model; biologist William Trager echoes Kropotkin in asserting that symbiosis and mutual cooperation—not competition—are the true evolutionary strategies for survival (Bookchin, PSE 60). The "fittest" organism, then, is not the one who dominates, but the one who enables others to survive.

The Fifth Sacred Thing realises this concept through San Francisco's development as an organic society. Its political economy is shaped by symbiosis with nature—through eco-technologies, renewable energy, and communal healing practices, it becomes sensitively tailored to its environment, with a foundational responsibility to heal the earth (Starhawk 351). The organic society here doesn't remain within ecological stewardship alone but extends toward a synthesis of first and second nature. With the preconditions of decentralisation, confederated communes, and neighbourhood councils organised through direct democracy, an organic society evolves into free nature, wherein humans engage their conceptual, creative capacities to ethically intervene in ecological processes (Bookchin, SEC 48).

San Francisco, in its political and cultural form, practices organic gardening and permaculture (Starhawk 382), embeds ecological logic into its economy, and integrates its energy systems into regenerative cycles of the earth. Citizens return what is taken from nature back into the “cycles of regeneration”, ensuring long-term ecological continuity (Starhawk 388). The city sustains itself with minimal external energy (Starhawk 383), forming an eco-community in active engagement with its ecosystem, fulfilling Bookchin’s vision of societies participating in the cycles of nature, not extracting from them (Bookchin, TES 61).

This literary imagining finds powerful echoes in real-life communes that embody mutualism, ecological justice, and regenerative economics. In Zapatista philosophy, for example, the concept of *ichbail ta muk'*—translated as “bringing one another to greatness”—reflects a deeply social-ecological democracy, wherein emotions, community, and collective action form the lifeblood of political and ecological organisation (Fitzwater 36). The Zapatistas envision a “collective heart”, animated by reciprocity and shared responsibility, fostering mutual growth of *o'on* (heart) and *ch'ulel* (potentiality/soul), underlining the affective dimension of organic society.

In Cooperation Jackson, the commitment to a regenerative economy goes beyond sustainability to active restoration. Their approach seeks zero-emission, zero-waste production, with 80–90% of resources recycled, reclaimed, and reused, forming a circular ecological model grounded in environmental justice and community power (Akuno 34–36).

Likewise, Afro-Venezuelan communes, such as those in Barlovento, reclaim historical legacies of resistance (*cumbes*) and adapt them to the present through ecological chocolate production, local currencies, and environmentally sustainable livelihoods. These practices forge cultural, economic, and ecological resilience, asserting that an organic society produces not just goods but culture, society, and ideas (Ciccariello-Maher 41). Across multiple

contexts—Zapatistas, Venezuela, Rojava, and Jackson—these communal forms exhibit non-hierarchical, affective, and regenerative relations with the earth and one another, offering praxis that complements Starhawk’s imagined commune in San Francisco.

Ultimately, these contemporary communes mirror the organic sensibility of *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, validating its vision not as speculative fantasy but as a real-world blueprint of what becomes possible when domination is replaced by complementarity, and when ecological interconnectedness becomes political structure.

CHAPTER 4

NEGATION OF SPENCERIAN SOCIAL DARWINISM IN SOUTHLANDS

The preceding chapter explored the utopian society of San Francisco, as depicted in the novel, through the lens of libertarian Municipalism and Mutual aid. The focus of this chapter will shift to the dystopian Southlands in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, which is consistently portrayed in a negative light. The analysis will centre on the prevalence of Spencerian social Darwinism in the Southlands, and how the negation of this dystopia by the utopia of San Francisco also negates the social Darwinism present in Southlands through the libertarian Municipalism and Mutual aid in the latter city.

4.1 Hierarchy and Domination as Structural Basis of Southlands

Herbert Spencer formulated the social Darwinist arguments that treat society as an organism with functions, structures, and laws reminiscent of biological organisms (Zmarzlik 8). The premises of social Darwinism include individualist competition, racism, laissez-faire economic theory, and the national competition for the survival of the fittest (Hofstadter 21). Extreme political liberalism and capitalism facilitated the widespread reception of these ideals (Zmarzlik 22). Infusion of these convictions with the infamous population theory of Malthus proposed that social welfare and mutual aid prolong unfit individuals and impair humanity (Hudson 536). In summary,

Herbert Spencer used the social selection theory to justify the impoverished conditions, poverty, and suffering of the proletariat during the Industrial Revolution through social Darwinism. He supported these notions with concepts of the survival of the fittest and the struggle for existence (Burry 12). In *The Fifth Sacred Thing* by Starhawk, Southlands is a region where the same elements are present: capitalism with corporate power, concomitant privatisation

of all resources, the consequent starvation, eugenics, racism, hierarchies, domination, and patriarchy.

Hierarchy is a relationship between privileged and unprivileged, subject and object, dominant and dominated. Unlike Marxist analysis, a municipalist understanding of hierarchy is inclusive of all nuances of oppression, while Marxism is exclusively focused on class struggle and extraction of surplus value. Hierarchy as a "complex system of command and obedience" allows the dominant to make decisions on the behalf of the dominated and to exert control over them to varied degrees "without necessarily exploiting them."

Hierarchy encompasses multifaceted forms of oppression, which may include or be free of economic exploitation. These hierarchical systems of domination can manifest in various forms, such as the subjugation of one ethnic group by another, workers by capitalists, women by men, young by old, the masses by bureaucrats, the countryside by towns, and nature by society and technology (Bookchin, *EOF* 2-6).

Social Darwinist discourse is interwoven with the philosophised advocating for hierarchy and domination on multifaceted grounds, for it demands hierarchical subordination of races assumed to embody earlier stages of evolution by superior races as it upholds capitulation and tutelage of women by men, and lower classes by upper classes (McCarthy 15). The drive for domination and power is an inescapable force that necessitates either submission or submersion into violence as even retaliation or defence requires the diversion of resources and energies to arms building instead of human welfare (Starhawk 307).

In Southlands such social Darwinist ideals are materialised in forms of authoritative, hierarchical and dominating structures inherent in the systematic functioning of this dystopia with resultant subjugation and oppression of races considered inferior (Starhawk 360), women

deemed as violators of Moral Purity laws (Starhawk 386), and many social groups perceived weaker, as it will be discussed in detail later. There's centralised control of Stewardship in Southlands and access to water and medicine is only possible through working for them (Starhawk 194).

Wages are minimal but still better than being on the street with no water or food, that is, people are circumstantially coerced to work for corporations in the Southlands (Starhawk 377). An attempt at seizure of resources in San Francisco in the name of Corporate Stewardship and consequent killings (Starhawk 401) are instances of power, authority, and hierarchy in function imposed upon the people (Starhawk 402).

In interplay of ideologies from within the novel, San Francisco's Municipalist structures grounded in autonomy and Mutual aid stand in stark contrast and negation of these Spencerian notions of hierarchy and domination that weave through Southlands. Initiation of Municipal assembly and local autonomy during the revolutionary process instil formation of community and destruction of hierarchy, domination and centralised power (Bookchin, *PSA*, 68). As opposed to hierarchy, municipalism places power in non-hierarchical popular assemblies with face-to-face direct democracy to manage and administer municipalised resources (Biehl 25, Bookchin, *FC*, 75).

This way citizens participate in consensus-based decision-making processes that ceases domination. San Francisco in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* is the praxis of this very process, where hierarchies have been abolished (Starhawk 344) and power is placed within directly democratic popular assemblies, work groups and profession-based guilds (Starhawk 354). Unlike representative democracy, in San Francisco citizens make their own decisions democratically with consensus as target. Neighbourhood councils and collectives select spokespeople on

rotationally each week, as gift work, to attend city council and represent their interests (Starhawk 61).

Libertarian Municipalism dismantles hierarchical systems such as gender discrimination, national chauvinism, racism and parochialism (Bookchin, *NR* 147) by replacing identity with community via a “shared affinity” that being primarily humane and nonhierarchical and thereby inclusive to all, regardless to citizen’s gender, ethnicity, religion and other like traits (Bookchin *NR* 115). Such deconstruction of hierarchical systems is manifest in San Francisco in the novel as Sachiko from Musicians’ Guild highlights during a city council meeting that all citizens of San Francisco come from different cultures, ancestors, values and religions. What unites them all is the respect for the commons; land, water, air and fire, all things that are necessary for survival. All forms of life have value beyond measure (Starhawk 311). Privileges for particular races, classes, or percentages are abolished as Madrone explains (Starhawk 351). Bird explains freedom and equality to Rio in this manner that all citizens have autonomy, that is, they think freely, wear on their choice and work without being commanded and all religions, genders and races have equal space (Starhawk 552). Citizens have freedom to follow respective religions in their personal lives and matters like marital arrangements (Starhawk 356).

Libertarian Municipalism and autonomy of San Francisco appears in the novel as contrast and negation of hierarchical systems prevalent in Southlands as expressed in the novel in this manner that though coercion perceives itself invincible; its being is rendered precarious through expensive expansion that stipulates resources, energy and human lives (Starhawk 566). Hierarchical systems with centralised power persist through intimidation and coercion, as Lily observes that a system of domination with constant reliance on force for obedience would eventually fail (Starhawk 567).

4.2. Capitalism as Perpetuator of the Fittest Individuals

Spencer is considered a reckless apostle of “cut-throat capitalism” (Crook 262) because social Darwinism advocates capitalism since it aligns with its basic arguments (Crook 266) and provides rationalisation for capitalist competition through the analogy of survival of the fittest as biological law (Bookchin, *EOF* 44). Capitalism is held essential for “biological progress” since it guarantees the elimination of the “unfit” thereby helping society “excreting its unhealthy, imbecile, slow... members” (Weikart 24).

In the novel, Johnnycake elucidates how the corporation centralises power through a comprehensive privatisation strategy, establishing control over critical resources such as water, agricultural land, seeds, and farm equipment. Additionally, the corporation influences religious institutions, media networks, and government entities to manufacture public consent. This centralised control has resulted in a stark contrast between affluent areas of the city, which are characterised by lush greenery, and the surrounding regions, which are depicted as barren, lifeless, and parched (Starhawk 134). Social Darwinism upholds “survival of the fittest” individuals as biological law at work, which is analogical to individualist competition in capitalism favouring superior stocks for evolutionary progression. On these grounds, medical attention to the poor is deemed akin to the perpetuation of inferior stocks (Haldane 375) as witnessed in the novel when the corporation rapidly develops a drug to treat the disease, selectively withholding it from certain populations.

According to Hijohn, the corporation engineered a pathogen in its laboratory and intentionally released it to eliminate those they deemed unfit for society (Starhawk 385). Social Darwinism rationalises the increasing capitalistic polarisation of social strata since Spencer

naturalises capitalism as an economic system and validates corporate power as the high stage of social evolution (Bookchin, *EOF* 45).

The interplay between San Francisco and Southlands presents ideological and socioeconomic contradictions. San Francisco's socioeconomic ideology and practice nullify arguments for social Darwinist logic of survival of the fittest that justify capitalism and corporate power as a force of nature that excretes weaker members of society. Kropotkin invalidates the Spencerian interpretation of Darwin by quoting *The Descent of Man* to provide Darwin's insights on how observations of several animal societies have manifested the replacement of individual struggle with cooperation resulting in moral and intellectual development. It is observed that the fittest species practise Mutual aid to strong and weak members alike for the betterment of the community (Kropotkin 10).

The fittest species practise Mutual aid, instead of misconstrued continual war among each other, resulting in the attainment of bodily organisation and intelligence (Kropotkin 12). That is, Mutual aid is considered a biological law for evolutionary progression and an anarchist non-hierarchical and horizontal system of libertarian Municipalism is the institutionalisation of this ideal where directly democratic councils manage socio-economic activities of the city through mutual consensus (Starhawk 597). Workgroups set their objectives and run their affairs democratically, autonomously and cooperatively (Starhawk 354). On principle of irreducible minimum (Bookchin, *PSA* 101) each citizen has access to free and equal healthcare (Starhawk 238). Each citizen is entitled to a basic stipend of credits (Starhawk 345) so that nobody lacks food, water and shelter (Starhawk 351). That is, San Francisco upholds Mutual aid over rugged individualism, autonomy over hierarchy, democracy over authority, and human life over capital

which places San Francisco as the negation of Southlands and libertarian Municipalist ideals in San Francisco as the negation of social Darwinist notions in Southlands.

4.3. Starvation as a Natural Law of Extinction of the Weaker Species

It might appear harsh that the working class, widows, and orphans suffer and are left without support. However, when viewed from a broader perspective, these difficult circumstances are considered beneficial for the greater good of humanity. Capitalism and laissez-faire are deemed essential for "biological progress" because they ensure the removal of the "unfit," thereby aiding society in eliminating its unhealthy, imbecile, slow, vacillating, and faithless members (Weikart 24). Darwinism rejects the idea that aiding the disadvantaged and impoverished could lead to improvement, arguing instead that such assistance would only prolong the suffering of the unfit, hindering human progress (Patterson 73). In *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, these social Darwinist ideals are implemented in Southlands, where the government controls all water at the behest of the Corporation (Starhawk 431).

Access to essential resources like medicine and water is restricted to those who serve the Stewards and the Corporation (Starhawk 194). Anyone opposing this system is branded a traitor and left to fend for themselves, often leading to starvation (Starhawk 385). Beth laments that many children die due to lack of access to medicine (Starhawk 417), while others suffer from starvation because the Stewards monopolise seeds, oils, and food supplies (Starhawk 384). The consequences of these policies include the torture of children, forced labour, and widespread disease (Starhawk 445). Families and state schools expel children during food shortages (Starhawk 122) because welfare programs for the lower classes are condemned as perpetuating laziness and idleness (Starhawk 377).

In the interplay of the ideologies, Mutual aid, a sense of decency and sympathy is the natural characteristics of human behaviour. Even under capitalist and social Darwinist social reality, adults risk their lives to rescue the children from harm, miners risk their lives to save fellow workers, and soldiers risk their lives to carry wounded comrades under heavy fire. What strikes humans as shock are the times when aid is denied and cries of a victim being stabbed are ignored (Biehl, 115). The qualitative change in San Francisco begins when Stewards cancel the elections and declare martial law.

The four old women, Maria Garcia, Alice Black, Lily Fong and Greta Margolis march out with pickaxes on Shotwell Street and dig the pavements to plant fruit and vegetables for the community to restore the commons. They wait for the seeds to grow and pledge to feed children, the weaker members of the community first with what they have. So the food, water, air, and earth they share become sacred to them and what is sacred is held in common without a price (Starhawk 26). “May we never hunger” and “May we never thirst” become the common greetings in the directly democratic city council which manages the affairs in San Francisco (Starhawk 26). As a result of practising Mutual aid and implementing libertarian Municipalism all citizens have access to food, water, and shelter (Starhawk 27). The community gardens have enough fruits to feed the strangers (Starhawk 26). Due to Mutual aid and Municipalism, San Francisco advances in wind and solar power, (Starhawk 135) and invents supercomputers with crystal technology (Starhawk 355).

4.4. Systemic Racism, Eugenics and Exclusivity

Social Darwinism advocates racism and eugenics to systematically eliminate races “embodying earlier stages” in evolutionary progress and demands their subordination and tutelage by superior races; as lower classes by upper classes and women by men (McCarthy 15). It justifies the death

of “unfit” members of society as a cost paid for the subsequent evolutionary progress of the human race as a whole (Hensley 23). For instance, the sentiments of Spencer are voiced by Herrstein and Murray when they propose that Caucasians and blacks have a genetic basis for the fixed spread of IQs and compensatory education is, therefore, both a waste of public resources and time (Hudson 539). Extinction of races, and unable to work for a living, ensures constant progress towards a higher degree of intelligence and human progress (Weikart 23).

Social Darwinism holds capitalism as a natural system to eliminate the “unfit” members of society (Weikart 24). These Spencerian sentiments are placed into praxis in Southlands in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* when Racial Purity Laws are introduced and citizens are documented with registered races (Starhawk 386). Breeding is permissible via “Authorized” races and violation of this law leads to forced prostitution as punishment (Starhawk 435). To avoid wastage of public resources admission tests are rigged, limiting education to superior races and filtering out races considered weaker as “blacks and latins” (Starhawk 360). In Southlands, the colour of the skin determines everything about a person and the inability to secure a living wage leaves citizens with no money, food or water (Starhawk 303) ensuring systemic elimination of the unfit members.

In contrast, Libertarian Municipalism creates a free society grounded in shared humanity to eliminate and replace hierarchical systems of race, gender, kinship and other similar traits. Human civility instead of zoology serves as premises of such a society with a purpose to eradicate material exploitation and domination (Bookchin, *NR* 27). An “unwavering opposition” to racism is the prerequisite to build socialism (Bookchin, *NR* 114). San Francisco in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* is manifestation of these ideals of racial equality and in being so it is also direct negation of racist notions of Spencerian social Darwinist discourse as depicted in Southlands.

When Sara, a character from *Southlands*, interacts with Madrone, she inquires out of curiosity if it is permissible in San Francisco to let people like Madrone, implying her African descent, into universities. Madrone is confused since racial terms are alien in San Francisco. Upon repeating the question more clearly, Madrone replies that her ancestors came from Africa if that is what she meant. Madrone also explains that San Francisco has citizens with ancestors from Ireland, Spain, France, and many other places. Madrone also argues that the entire human race originated in Africa and keeping African descent out of universities would mean keeping all humans devoid of education (Starhawk 345). This interaction elaborates how race is but a social construct built to divide and oppress people (Nyborg 139) while San Francisco as a libertarian Municipalist city has abolished such understanding and classification of human race.

During a council meeting Madrone's experience depicted in the novel speaks of skin colours, bone structures, textures of hair of citizens of San Francisco illustrate that they came from east, south, west and north, that is, Europe, Asia, Africa, the Americas, the Islands. Madrone thinks of this multicultural and multi-racial view as a “palette of earth tones” like a colour palette for paint. Citizens with ancestors from all four directions of the earth sit together signifying “unity in diversity”; a principle of dialectics that regards preservation of variety as a precondition of stability (Starhawk 62, Bookchin, PSA 307).

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSION

This thesis interpreted *The Fifth Sacred Thing* as a radical literary projection of a libertarian Municipalist society grounded in Mutual aid. Through a focused analysis of its political architecture, it argued that San Francisco operates as a plausible anarchist commune grounded in mutual aid, ecological restoration, and directly democratic assemblies. The novel's social order is not merely imagined; it is recognisably aligned with real-world anarchist communes such as the Zapatistas in Chiapas, the democratic confederalism of Rojava, the municipalist politics of Cooperation Jackson, and the communal experiments in Venezuela. These analogies serve as underpinning frameworks that reinforce the novel's political vision as practically informed and structurally feasible.

In contrast, the Southlands, in the same novel, was interpreted as the embodiment of ideological logic of Spencerian Social Darwinism—its hierarchies, market fundamentalism, coercive governance, and eugenic rationalisations that formed the antithesis to San Francisco's egalitarian ethics. The novel positions these two societies in a deliberate and didactic tension. The interplay of both fictional sites in the novel, revealed the fundamental conflict between domination and autonomy, competition and cooperation, coercion and consensus. San Francisco's refusal to replicate the authoritarian strategies of the Southlands in moments of crisis signaled a narrative and symbolic negation of Spencerian ideology, replacing it with a communal ethic rooted in mutual aid, nonviolence, and decentralised power. The community's strength in San Francisco is shown to lie not in conquest, but in its principled refusal to dominate—thereby affirming the viability and superiority of libertarian Municipalism as a social form.

In doing so, this research extended the interpretive possibilities of anarchism—specifically libertarian Municipalism and Mutual aid—as literary theoretical lenses. It demonstrated that anarchist theory is not only limited to the realm of political praxis but can also function as a critical tool for reading literature structurally, ethically, and narratively. The thesis contributed to the emerging field of anarchist literary criticism by proposing libertarian Municipalism as a framework capable of foregrounding the political imagination of fiction without reducing it to allegory or escapism. This study interpreted *The Fifth Sacred Thing* while placing anarchist frameworks as internal to the narrative. Governance, resource distribution, ecological consciousness, and relational ethics are interpreted as materially organised within the story-world instead of seeing them as mere abstract ideas. This excavation of ideological dimensions in the novel resulted in portraying *The Fifth Sacred Thing* as a novel that teaches political possibility through form and structure. Its world as insurgent—rooted in collective memory, sustained through imaginative resistance, and oriented toward emancipatory futures. By tracing the novel's material politics and integrating the lived experiences of real communes, this study also added contribution to the already emerging arena of speculative fiction as a serious site of political articulation, capable of reimagining the future while remaining in dialogue with movements already shaping the present.

5.1. San Francisco as a Literary Projection of Anarchist Communes

San Francisco, as imagined in *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, offers an ideologically saturated and structurally complete projection of a libertarian Municipalist commune. This study has argued that its systems of self-governance, resource distribution, social welfare, and ecological harmony represent more than imaginative idealism—they constitute a coherent political framework articulated through narrative. The city's political economy and ethical structure are rooted in the

anarchist traditions of libertarian municipalism and mutual aid, forming an internally consistent and practically feasible model of decentralised, egalitarian communal life. Read through this lens, San Francisco becomes a literary embodiment of anarchist praxis, made intelligible and credible through analogical underpinnings with real-life movements such as the Zapatista autonomous zones, Rojava's democratic confederalism, Cooperation Jackson in Mississippi, and the communal councils of Venezuela.

At the heart of San Francisco's political structure is its municipal assembly, where the process of decision-making is decentralised and openly participatory. Political deliberation occurs within neighbourhood circles and confederated assemblies, guided by the principle that every citizen over a certain age has the right and responsibility to contribute to collective governance. This system mirrors the direct democracy of Zapatista assemblies, the grassroots council structure in Rojava, and the communal processes in Jackson Cooperation and elsewhere. The symbolic practice of passing the stick in San Francisco's assembly is more than a ritual—it enacts an ethic of dialogue, inclusivity, and collective determination that reflects an unwavering trust in the moral and intellectual agency of ordinary people. These rituals affirm the principle of consensual governance, as envisioned by Bookchin, where decision-making is animated by open disagreement, counterargument, and consensus rather than imposed authority.

The city's restoration of the commons offers an equally robust political statement. Land, water, fire, and air—elements essential to life—are reclaimed as collective resources, organised and distributed through communal stewardship rather than private ownership. These are administered according to the principle of usufruct, whereby individuals may use resources for sustenance and contribution without the right to hoard or exploit. This approach echoes the ethos of commons-based economies developed in Zapatista regions, Rojava's legal frameworks for

public wealth, and Jackson's cooperative industries, all of which restructure access to resources based on communal need rather than market value. The novel thus presents an economic system wherein value is defined by ecological and ethical principles, diverging sharply from the accumulation-driven logic of capitalism.

Crucial to this communal system is the municipalisation of the means of production. In San Francisco, guilds and workgroups manage their own labour democratically and trade via non-monetary credits. Each unit operates within a decentralised framework that preserves autonomy while ensuring confederal cohesion. These structures reject both corporate monopoly and state centralisation, aligning with Bookchin's call for municipalisation as the foundation of economic democracy. The city's decentralised labour model finds analogues in the collective manufacturing projects of Cooperation Jackson, agricultural cooperatives in Rojava, and Zapatista *trabajos colectivos*, each of which demonstrates the viability of democratic production at scale. Work is no longer alienated but reclaimed as a social and ethical act, embedded in the rhythms of the community and ecosystem alike.

The principle of the irreducible minimum constitutes the ethical backbone of San Francisco's political economy. Every resident is guaranteed food, shelter, education, and healthcare regardless of their productive capacity or contribution. This idea—central to Bookchin's vision—resonates with the Venezuelan communal commitment to basic needs, the Zapatista refusal to allow hunger or homelessness, and Jackson's assertion of healthcare as a human right. In the novel, this principle becomes evident in the universal stipend, the accessibility of medical care, the collective provision of food, and the absence of enforced labour. Work remains a voluntary and dignified expression of communal belonging, and incentive arises not through material threat but through recognition and mutual respect.

San Francisco's social and political organisation culminates in its ecological orientation, which does not treat sustainability as an external concern but incorporates it as a constitutive value of governance and production. Following the logic of social ecology, the city integrates solar and wind energy, organic farming, hemp-based paper, and other regenerative practices into everyday life. Each form of production is subject to ecological criteria, ensuring that it contributes to climate healing and energy preservation. This approach reflects real-world movements like Rojava's ecological urban planning, Jackson's regenerative economic model, and the eco-conscious practices of Afro-Venezuelan communes. Here, ecology is fused with ethics, rejecting both anthropocentric domination and technocratic tokenism.

More fundamentally, the city exemplifies Bookchin's notion of the organic society—a community whose internal relations mirror the principles of ecological interdependence and ethical mutuality. The residents of San Francisco are not arranged in ranks but live as equals, recognising difference without hierarchy. They understand themselves as part of the natural world, cultivating what Bookchin calls “organic sensibility”, and as Madrone affirms, every being—plant, animal, element—possesses a soul. This relational ontology resonates deeply with Zapatista philosophies of *ichbail ta muk'* and Rojava's holistic democracy, both of which call for the integration of ecological and social recognition. The city's use of permaculture, cyclical resource models, and non-hierarchical design signals a worldview that no longer privileges the human over the non-human but views both as mutually constitutive.

Taken together, these elements—decentralised governance, reclaimed commons, democratic workgroups, guaranteed sustenance, and ecological synthesis—construct San Francisco as a vivid literary projection of anarchist communalism. By interweaving these political principles within the narrative structure, *The Fifth Sacred Thing* presents a speculative

yet materially grounded vision of what a free and ecological society might resemble. It demonstrates that the political imagination is not detached from the realm of the possible but can—and must—draw strength from movements already building such worlds in practice. San Francisco, in this reading, becomes not simply a utopian alternative, but a theoretical and aesthetic blueprint of anarchist modernity in literary form.

5.2. Southlands as a Site of Ideological Confrontation

This research explored Southlands in *The Fifth Sacred Thing* as a literary representation of Spencerian social Darwinism, placing it in direct ideological confrontation with the anarchist paradigm of San Francisco. The analysis revealed that the dystopian structures in Southlands were not arbitrary elements of narrative horror, but carefully arranged articulations of a coherent ideological system rooted in domination, hierarchy, and exclusion—all underpinned by social Darwinist logic.

At the heart of Southlands lies the enforcement of hierarchy as a principle of social order. The society operates on a system of institutionalised domination, wherein power is reserved for the few deemed “fit” by virtue of their race, economic utility, or loyalty to the corporate authority. These ideals were traced back to Herbert Spencer’s social Darwinism, which legitimised societal inequalities as evolutionary necessities. In Southlands, Spencer’s survival of the fittest becomes the law not only of nature but of social governance. Centralised power is concentrated in the hands of the Stewards, and access to life-sustaining resources—water, food, medicine—is mediated through coercion and servitude. Those who fail to conform or comply are deemed unfit, undeserving, and ultimately expendable.

The analysis demonstrated how hierarchy in Southlands operated on multiple axes—economic, racial, gendered, and institutional. These overlapping forms of domination

reproduced a culture of exclusion, coercion, and violence. The system did not merely reproduce inequality—it naturalised it. This naturalisation was not a failure of the state but its intended function. The hierarchical mentality, as Bookchin would argue, had here reached its full institutional expression—redefining power as a birthright, inequality as meritocracy, and suffering as a cost of evolutionary progress.

Southlands was also shown to be a society sustained by capitalist monopolisation, justified through the same Darwinist framework. Corporate control over basic resources—water, land, seeds, energy, medicine—was not an incidental result of greed but a systematised feature of rule. Spencer's biological analogy of the “fittest” filtering out the “unfit” was here transformed into the ideological weapon of the Stewards, who engineered scarcity and starvation as policies of social control. Medical aid, like education and sustenance, was denied to those considered dispensable. The corporation, having supplanted all civic institutions, functioned as the state, the church, the employer, and the executioner all at once. In this system, capitalism was not a mere economic mode but a moral code—a theology of exclusion and extermination.

The institutionalisation of eugenics and systemic racism in Southlands further underscored the narrative's embodiment of Spencerian thought. Racial purity laws, restricted education, forced breeding, and criminalisation of inter-racial relationships were not dystopian inventions without precedent but logical extensions of the belief that some humans were biologically destined for rule while others were fated for extinction. The ideology of social Darwinism here became genocidal in intent and structure. It did not just support inequality—it demanded it, operationalised it, and rendered it sacred law.

Against this dystopian edifice, the anarchist constructs of Mutual aid and libertarian Municipalism—examined in depth in the previous chapter—offered a critical negation. These

constructs were not just presented as philosophical opposites, but as functional, lived alternatives. San Francisco, grounded in face-to-face democracy, restoration of the commons, ecological ethics, and the irreducible minimum, emerged as a space where hierarchy, domination, and exclusion were dismantled through praxis.

Where Southlands enforced submission to centralised authority, San Francisco's councils practiced deliberation through consensus. Where Southlands institutionalised scarcity to discipline and discard the unfit, San Francisco implemented mutual aid to ensure no one thirsted or went hungry. The ethics of care, cooperation, and shared responsibility stood in dialectical opposition to the social Darwinist glorification of individualism, competition, and systemic abandonment.

This chapter demonstrated that the confrontation between these two societies was not only geographical or military, but ideological and epistemological. It was a confrontation between two views of the human condition—one which saw hierarchy, scarcity, and competition as nature's law, and another which affirmed that cooperation, equality, and interdependence were both natural and necessary. Southlands embodied a worldview where the powerful thrived by ensuring the weak perish, whereas San Francisco affirmed a world where the thriving of one is tied to the thriving of all.

In that sense, Southlands functioned as a site of ideological confrontation—a constructed world that enabled the reader to perceive the full extent of what Spencerian logic implies when allowed to materialise as policy, governance, and culture. Yet more importantly, the chapter illustrated that Southlands did not dominate the narrative; it was consistently challenged, interrogated, and ultimately rendered unsustainable through the presence of a functioning

anarchist society in San Francisco. This narrative juxtaposition allowed the reader to witness not only the violence of hierarchy but also the feasibility of its abolition.

Each section of this chapter illuminated how this ideological confrontation operated across various dimensions. In examining hierarchy and domination, the study revealed how social Darwinist ideology rationalised the state's right to discipline and discard. This was then juxtaposed with the municipalist alternative of decentralised power, where citizens exercised autonomy without mediation by elites. The section on capitalism highlighted how corporate monopolies in Southlands enforced a form of economic natural selection, while San Francisco's communal economy restructured economic life around shared need rather than competitive accumulation. Starvation, in Southlands, was shown to be not a result of lack, but of ideology—a chosen violence against the vulnerable. Mutual aid, by contrast, emerged as both the ethical and structural rebuttal to such violence.

Perhaps the most chilling section was that on eugenics and systemic racism, where Southlands was seen implementing policies that mirror historical atrocities—from racial segregation and forced sterilisation to genocide in the name of genetic purity. These were not presented as shocking science fiction, but as logical outcomes of a social Darwinist belief in hierarchical evolution and biological worth. In this light, the inclusive, multicultural, and anti-racist ethos of San Francisco became more than a moral contrast—it became a practical negation of the very logic that produced such atrocities.

It was also made evident that anarchist ideals were not utopian abstractions but functioned in the novel as material counter-forces to the dystopia of Southlands. Libertarian Municipalism, through neighbourhood councils, rotating spokespersons, collective decision-making, and municipalisation of resources, directly opposed the centralisation and

monopolisation witnessed in Southlands. Likewise, Mutual aid in San Francisco manifested in everyday life—through community gardens, open kitchens, shared labour, and unconditional solidarity—offering not only critique but concrete alternatives.

The structural analysis in this chapter revealed how Starhawk's narrative positioning made this ideological interplay not incidental but foundational. San Francisco was not placed alongside Southlands merely to represent hope, but to act as its critique, its counter-model, and its undoing. The plot's trajectory itself aligned with this ideological confrontation, as San Francisco's resistance refused to adopt the logic of its enemy—even when under attack. The refusal to replicate domination in the face of domination illustrated how power could be redefined not through conquest but through the construction of radically different values and systems.

In doing so, the novel allowed the reader to imagine what a functioning anarchist society might look like—not in romantic abstraction, but in the detail of infrastructure, social norms, ecological integration, and economic arrangements. The negation of Southlands was not achieved merely through argument but through the presence of an alternative that already worked.

Thus, this chapter concluded that Southlands served not just as a dystopia, but as a concentrated representation of the ideological logic that governs real-world systems of domination—capitalism, racism, patriarchy, and state violence—all underpinned historically by variants of social Darwinism. Its confrontation with San Francisco allowed for an exploration of these ideologies in practice and offered a critique grounded in lived alternatives. Anarchist constructs, therefore, did not merely provide theoretical critique—they functioned as literary tools of resistance, capable of revealing, opposing, and reimagining the world.

The confrontation was not just a clash between cities but an interplay between visions of life: one that sought domination and purity through exclusion, and another that insisted on coexistence, autonomy, and the irreducible dignity of all beings. In this confrontation, the novel did not ask which side would win, but which world we would choose to build.

5.3. Future Directions (for Research in Anarchist Literary Studies)

The insights generated by this study open promising trajectories for future research in anarchist literary studies. As anarchism continues to gain renewed relevance amidst global ecological and political crises, literary explorations of anarchist themes and imaginaries offer fertile ground for expanding both theoretical frameworks and socio-political imaginaries. Through a close reading of *The Fifth Sacred Thing*, this study affirms that literature does not merely reflect political ideas but actively constructs and experiments with alternative modes of life—particularly those grounded in direct democracy, mutual aid, and communal living.

While existing anarchist literary criticism has primarily focused on identifying anarchist motifs, symbols, or characters in literature, the present study suggests a further methodological deepening by employing anarchist political theory—specifically Libertarian Municipalism—as an interpretive lens. This theoretical extension offers a concrete framework to analyse not only the structure of fictional societies but also the ethical, ecological, and socio-political commitments embedded within them. Future research can explore additional texts across genres and historical periods using this approach, thereby expanding the critical vocabulary of anarchist literary studies. Many anarchist frameworks remain underexplored in literary studies such as Joseph Proudhon's Mutualism, Malatesta's Anarcho-Communism, Bakunin's Anarcho-Collectivism, Makhno's Platformism, Stirner's Theory of Spooks or Egoism, Rudolf Rocker's Anarcho-Syndicalism, and more.

Moreover, the integration of real-world anarchist communes—such as the Zapatistas, Rojava, Cooperation Jackson, and Venezuelan communes—as analogical counterpoints within this thesis, foregrounds the importance of comparative literary-communal studies. Future projects may extend this analytical mode to a broader corpus, examining how fiction and lived anarchist praxis converge, diverge, or influence one another. Such inquiries may help construct a dynamic and historically informed archive of anarchist imaginaries across both literary and socio-political terrains.

This study also indicates that fictional narratives structured around the principles of decentralisation, municipalisation, and ecological symbiosis provide meaningful contributions to contemporary political thought, especially when viewed through the lens of prefigurative politics. Future works may examine how narrative strategies—such as symbolism, utopian worldbuilding, or dialogic consensus—function as literary correlates to anarchist practices, offering insight into the aesthetic dimensions of political experimentation.

In addition, the symbolic dialectic between San Francisco and Southlands, as undertaken in this thesis, opens avenues for analysing how dystopias serve not only as warnings but as ideological foils through which anarchist alternatives are constructed and validated. The antagonistic interplay of competing political philosophies within fictional spaces thus presents a valuable object of study—allowing researchers to trace the contours of power, resistance, and autonomy in imaginative form.

Ultimately, anarchist literary studies stand to benefit from greater interdisciplinary engagement, drawing on critical theory, political philosophy, cultural anthropology, and environmental humanities. As the urgency of global crises intensifies, the capacity of literature to imagine viable, just, and sustainable alternatives becomes an indispensable site of inquiry.

Building upon the interpretive model advanced in this thesis, future research can further theorise anarchist futures, recognising literature as a vital terrain for radical imagination and political emancipation.

Works Cited

Akuno, Kali, et al. *Jackson Rising: The Struggle for Economic Democracy and Black Self-Determination in Jackson*. Daraja Press, 2017.

Avachar, Sangeeta G. "The Air is Sick: Ozone Depletion as an Ecofeminist Issue in The Fifth Sacred Thing of Starhawk." *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science* vol. 21, no.12, 2016, pp. 22-25.

Azzellini, Dario. *Communes and Workers' Control in Venezuela : Building 21st Century Socialism from Below*. Leiden, Brill, 2017.

Bookchin, Murray. *The Next Revolution: Popular Assemblies and the Promise of Direct Democracy*. A&C Black, 1996.

Bookchin, Murray. *Social Anarchism or Lifestyle Anarchism*. AK Press California, 1995.

Bookchin, Murray. *The Ecology of Freedom*. AK Press California, 2005.

Bookchin, Murray. *Toward an Ecological Society*. Black Rose Books, 1980.

Bookchin, Murray. *Urbanization without Cities*. Black Rose Books, 1992.

Bookchin, Murray. *Social Ecology and Communalism*. AK Press, 2007.

Bookchin, Murray. *Free Cities: Communalism and the Left*. Pluto Press, 2009.

Bookchin, Murray. *Post-Scarcity Anarchism*. Ramparts Press, 1971.

Biehl, Jane. *The Murray Bookchin Reader*. Black Rose Books, 1970

Bouttier, Sarah. "Restoring Touch in Ecofeminist Speculative Fiction. *L'Atelier*, vol.15, no.1, 2023, pp. 103-117

Blanke, Stefaan. "Bringing Darwin into the Social Sciences and the Humanities: Cultural Evolution and its Philosophical Implications." *History and Philosophy of the Life Sciences*, vol. 40, no. 2, 2018, pp. 1-12.

Barnard, Alan. "Mutual Aid and the Foraging Mode of Thought: Re-readinig Kropotkin on the Khoisan." *Social Evolution & History* vol. 3, no.1, 2004, pp. 3-21.

Beavis, Mary Ann. "Feminist Utopian Visions of the City." *Women and Urban Environments*, vol. 2, no.1, 1997, pp. 45-61.

Berkman, Alexander. *What is Anarchism?* AK Press, 2003.

Baker, Zoe. "Anarchism and Democracy." *The Anarchist Library*, 2022, theanarchistlibrary.org/library/zoe-baker-anarchism-and-democracy. Accessed 4 Nov. 2023.

Burry, John N. "Social Spencerism not Social Darwinism." *Medicine and War*, vol. 5, no. 3, 1989, pp. 148-150

Ciccariello-Maher, George. *Building the Commune : Radical Democracy in Venezuela*. Random House. 2016.

Claeys, Gregory. "The Survival of the Fittest and the Origins of Social Darwinism." *Journal of the History of Ideas*, vol. 61, no. 2, 2000, pp. 223-240.

Clark, Geoffrey A. "Darwinian Dystopia: The Shape of Things to Come." *Futures*, vol. 32, no. 8, 2000, pp. 729-738.

Crook, Paul. "Social Darwinism: The Concept." *History of European Ideas*, vol. 22, no. 4, 1996, pp. 261-274.

Caudil, Edward. *Darwinian Myths: The Legends and Misuses of a Theory*. University of Tennessee Press. 2005.

Cortiel, Jeanne. "Feminist Utopia/Dystopia: Joanna Russ, the Female Man (1975) and Marge Piercy, Woman on the Edge of Time (1976)." *Academia.edu*, 2015, www.academia.edu/14933045/Feminist_Utopia_Dystopia_Joanna_Russ_The_Female_M

an_1975_and_Marge_Piercy_Woman_on_the_Edge_of_Time_1976_. Accessed 31 Dec. 2024

Calvert, Greg, and Carol Neiman. A disrupted history: the new left and the new capitalism. Random House, 1971.

Cohn, Jesse. "What Is Anarchist Literary Theory?" *Academia.edu*, 2023, www.academia.edu/235148/What_Is_Anarchist_Literary_Theory. Accessed 4 Nov. 2023.

Lummis, C. Douglas. *Radical Democracy*. Cornell University Press. 2016.

Davis, Laurence. "History, Politics, and Utopia: Toward a Synthesis of Social Theory and Practice." *Existential Utopia: New Perspectives on Utopian Thought*, Continuum International Publishing, 2012, pp. 127-139.

Downes, Robert. "Natural Dialectics, Maori & Sioux Ecosophy Encounters the Rule of Law." *The Journal of International Relations, Peace Studies and Development*, vol 9. No. 1, 2024. pp. 2-16

Fitzwater, Dylan Eldredge. *Autonomy Is in Our Hearts*. PM Press, 2019.

Firth, Claudia. "Mutual Aid and Technology in the Time of Covid." *Anarchist Studies*, vol. 31, no.2, 2023, pp. 34-52.

Fotopoulos, Takis. "Inclusive Democracy and Participatory Economics." *Democracy & Nature*, vol. 9, no. 3, 2003, pp. 401-425.

Fowler, Kris. "Tessellating Dissensus: Resistance, Autonomy and Radical Democracy - Can Transnational Municipalism Constitute a Counterpower to Liberate Society from Neoliberal Capitalist Hegemony?" *Hcommons*, Schumacher College., 31 Aug. 2017, hcommons.org/deposits/item/hc:18667/. Accessed 4 Nov. 2023.

Fuller, Laurie. "Teaching Peace in the Feminist Classroom: Starhawk's The Fifth Sacred Thing." *The Radical Teacher*, vol. 1 , no.75, 2006, 28-35

Fancourt, Donna. "Accessing Utopia through Altered States of Consciousness: Three Feminist Utopian Novels." *Utopian Studies*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2002, pp. 94-113.

Glassman, Michael. "Mutual Aid Theory and Human Development: Sociability as Primary." *Journal for Theory of Social Behaviour*, vol.30 no.4, 200, pp. 391-412

Goodwin, Barbara, and Keith Taylor. The politics of utopia: A study in theory and practice. Vol. 5. Peter Lang, 2009.

Goodwin, Adam. *Kropotkin and International Relations. Challenging Ontological Narratives*. Phd Thesis, University of Ottawa, 2010.

Graeber, David. *Fragments of an Anarchist Anthropology (Paradigm)*. Paradigm Press 2004.

Hardt, Michael, and Antonio Negri. *Commonwealth*. Harvard University Press, 2009.

Haran, Joan. "Instantiating imaginactivism: Le Guin's The Dispossessed as inspiration." *Ada: A Journal of Gender, New Media, and Technology*, no. 12, 2017, pp. 1-12.

Hudson, Christopher G. "From Social Darwinism to Self-Organization: Implications for Social Change Theory Author(s)" *Social Service Review*, vol. 74, no. 4, 2000, pp. 533-559

Haraway, Donna. "A Cyborg Manifesto: Science, Technology, and Socialist-Feminism in the Late 20th Century." *International Handbook of Virtual Learning Environments*, Springer Netherlands, 2007, pp. 117-158.

Haldane, J.B.S. "Concerning Social Darwinism." *Science & Society*, vol. 5, no. 4, 1941. pp.373-375

Hensley, John R. "Eugenics and Social Darwinism in Stanley Waterloo's The Story of Ab and Jack London's Before Adam." *Studies in Popular Culture*, vol. 25, no. 1, 2002, pp. 23-37.

Hendlin, Yogi Hale. "Colony Collapse and the Global Swarm to save the Bees: Sacred Relations with Bees in Film and Literature." *World Futures*, vol. 77, no. 7, 2021, pp. 532-558.

Hutchins, Loraine. "The Urgency of Erotic Wholeness During Strife: A Review of Starhawk's City of Refuge." *Journal of Bisexuality*, vol. 16, no. 2, 2016, pp. 270-273.

Hofstadter, Richard. *Social darwinism in American thought*. Beacon Press, 2016.

Hunt, Stephen E. "Prospects for Kurdish Ecology Initiatives in Syria and Turkey: Democratic Confederalism and Social Ecology." *Capitalism Nature Socialism*, vol. 30, no. 3, 14 Dec. 2017, pp. 7–26, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10455752.2017.1413120>.

Johnson, Leigh. *Melting Beeswax Bodies: The Queen Bee, the Hive, and Identity of Women's Writing*. MS Thesis, Western Kentucky University, 2005.

Khasnabish, Alex. *Zapatistas: Rebellion from He Grassroots to the Global*. Zed Books, 2010.

Kraemer, Christine Hoff. "Contemporary Paganism, Utopian Reading Communities, and Sacred Nonmonogamy: The Religious Impact of Heinlein's and Starhawk's Fiction." *Pomegranate: The International Journal of Pagan Studies*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2011, pp. 52-76.

Kropotkin, Peter. Mutual aid: A factor of evolution. Black Rose Books Ltd., 2021.

Kruzynski, Anna. "From Social Ecology to Community Economies: Towards Better Livelihoods." *Concordia.ca*, 2025, spectrum.library.concordia.ca/id/eprint/992360/, <https://spectrum.library.concordia.ca/id/eprint/992360/1/Kruzynski%20Take%20City%202021.pdf>. Accessed 31 Dec. 2024.

Lozano, Victoria. *There is a Place Set for You at Our Table, If You Will Choose to Join us. An Invitational Rhetorical Analysis of Starhawk's The Fifth Sacred Thing*. MS Thesis, Appalachian State University, 2013.

Miliszewski, Kamil. "Libertarian Municipalism in Murray Bookchin's Social Thought." *Torun Social Science Review*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2017.

Magliocco, Sabina. "Neopaganism." *The Cambridge Companion to New Religious Movements*, edited by Mikael Rothstein, Cambridge University Press, 2012, pp. 150-166.

McCarthy, Thomas. "The Natural Order of Things: Social Darwinism and White Supremacy." *Contemporary Pragmatism*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2007, pp. 7-24.

McCulloch, Caroline. "The Problem of Fellowship in Communitarian Theory: William Morris and Peter Kropotkin." *Political Studies*. Vol. 32, no.3, 1984, pp. 437-450.

Mirjalali, Farnaz, and Vida Rahiminezhad. "A Study of Women and Sustainability: Starhawk's The Fifth Sacred Thing." *International Journal of Management Studies*3, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 237-244.

Moutel, Noémie. "Re-enchanting Urban Wildness: To Perceive, Think and Live with Nature in Its Urban Environment." *Transatlantica*, no. 2, 2018, pp. 1-6.
<https://doi.org/10.4000/transatlantica.13550>.

Mannheim, Karl. *Ideology and utopia*. Routledge, 2013.

Marsh, Charles. "Social Harmony Paradigms and Natural Selection: Darwin, Kropotkin, and the Metatheory of Mutual Aid." *Journal of Public Relations Research*, vol. 25, no 5, 2013, pp. 426-441.

Munn-Giddings, Carol. "Links between Kropotkin's theory of Mutual aid and the Values and Practices of Action Research." *Educational Action Research*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2001, pp. 149-158.

Njiru, Henry Muriithi. *Eco-Techno-Cosmopolitanism: Education, Inner Transformation and Practice in the Contemporary US Eco-Disaster Novel*. Phd Thesis, Miami University, 2015.

Nyborg, Helmuth. "Race as Social Construct." *Psych*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2019, pp. 139-165.

Neyt, Dimitri. *West Coast Ecotopias: Green Futures at the Intersection of Speculative Fiction, Environmental Literature, and Bioregionalism*. Ms, Thesis, Ghent University,Belgium, 2013.

Ollman, Bertell. Dance of the dialectic: Steps in Marx's method. University of Illinois Press, 2003.

Pavithra, Ms M. "The Sustainability of Women with Eco-feminist Elements in The Fifth Sacred Thing." *Strength for Today and Bright Hope for Tomorrow*, vol. 17, no. 7, 2017, pp. 304–311

Perrin, Claire. "Suffering with our Dry Land: The Spiritual Relationship with the Environment in Leslie Marmon Silko's Ceremony and Starhawk's The Fifth Sacred Thing." *Caliban* French Journal of English Studies, vol. 1, no 61 ,2019, pp. 301-313

Patterson, William R. "The greatest good for the most fit? John Stuart Mill, Thomas Henry Huxley, and social Darwinism." *Journal of Social Philosophy*, vol. 36, no. 1, 2005, pp. 72-84.

Rosia, Red, and Black Maria. "Anarcho-Feminism: Two Statements." *Quiet Rumours: An Anarcha-feminist Reader*, 1971 ed., AK Press/Dark Star, 2012, pp. 15-17.

Ruether, Rosemary Radford. "Ecofeminism: The Challenge to Theology." *Christianity and Ecology: Seeking the Well-being of Earth and Humans*, Harvard University Press, 2000, pp. 97-112.

Rigoglioso, Marguerite. "Interview with Starhawk." *Feminist Theology*, vol. 13, no. 2, 2005, pp. 173-183.

Sepczynska, Dorota. "Libertarian Municipalism, or on the Greatness of the civil Society." *Res Publica, Journal of the History of Political Ideas*, vol.19, no. 2, 2016, pp. 355-370.

Sanati, Fahimeh. "An Analysis of the Eco-feminist Viewpoint on Industrialization and Environmental Degradation in Starhawk's The Fifth Sacred Thing." *Journal of Sustainable Development*, vol.4, no4, 2011, pp. 86-90

Senel, Neşe. *An Ecofeminist Reading on Margaret Atwood's the Handmaids Tale and Starhawk's The Fifth Sacred Thing*. MS Thesis, Istanbul University 2015.

Starhawk. *The Fifth Sacred Thing*. Bantam Press, 2011.

Sullivan, Dennis. "Mutual aid: The social basis of justice and moral community." *Humanity & Society* vol. 6, no. 3, 1982, pp. 294-302.

Suissa, Judith. "Anarchism, Utopias and Philosophy of Education." *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, vol. 35, no. 4, 2001, pp. 627-646.

Shelley, Cain. "Murray Bookchin and the Value of Democratic Municipalism." *European Journal of Political Theory*, vol. 1, no. 22, 2022, pp. 1-22.

Travlou, Penny. "Kropotkin-19: A Mutual aid Response to COVID-19 in Athens." *Design and Culture*, vol. 13, no. 1 2020, pp. 65-78.

Tokar, Brian. "On Bookchin's Social Ecology and its Contributions to Social Movements." *Capitalism Nature Socialism*, vol. 19, no.1, 2008, pp. 51-66.

Vézina, Valérie. *Political Ideologies and Worldviews: An introduction*. Kwantlen Polytechnic University, 2023.

Venturini, Federico. *Social Ecology and the Right to the City*. Black Rose Books, 2019.

Weikart, Richard. "Was Darwin or Spencer the father of laissez-faire social Darwinism?" *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, vol. 71, no. 1, 2009, pp. 20-28.

Winter, Bronwyn. "Feminism, Economics and Utopia: Time Travelling through Paradigms." *Feminist Economics*, vol. 16, no. 2, 2010, pp. 146-151.

Witteman, Cassandra. *The Witch: A Pedagogy of Immanence*. Ms Thesis. Lakehead University, Canada, 2021.

Wallraven, Miriam. "Apple, Are you Ready to Make Contact? The Esoteric Understanding of the Computer and the Internet in Postmodern Texts." *European Journal of English Studies*, vol. 15, no.3, 2011, pp. 237-249.

Welser, Tracie Anne. *Fantastic Visions: On the Necessity of Feminist Utopian Narrative*. Ms Thesis, University of South Florida, 2005.

Walter, Nicolas. *About Anarchism*. PM Press, 2019.

Zmarzlik, H-G. "Social Darwinism in Germany Seen as a Historical Problem." Republic to Reich, the Making of the Nazi Revolution, edited by Hajo Holbom, translated by Ralph Manheim, Pantheon Books, 1972, pp. 436–73.